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THE I NQU RY RESUMED ON MDNDAY, 10TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 2022 AS FOLLOMS:

CHA RPERSON Good afternoon. Right, good afternoon, everybody. As some of you will know, today is world Mental Health Day, the purpose of which is to raise awareness of mental health issues across the globe, and to mobilise efforts and support for mental health.

The Panel and I are very conscious of the importance of this Inquiry and its capacity to improve the treatment of those suffering from poor mental health, the poor treatment of which affects, of course, not only those patients but also, as we have seen often in this Inquiry, their wider family and loved ones.

We are also very aware that any recommendations for change that we make need to be timely in order to be relevant and to help those who are still being cared for, as well as those who come after them.

To that end, we are going to continue hearing evidence from patients and their relatives. I think this afternoon the witness is going to be given evidence here in the room and needs no other special measures.

Tomorrow, I gather, Mr. Doran, there's likely to be an application for full restriction order in relation, $I$ think, to the first witness.

MR. DORAN That's correct, Chair, yes. That's former patient P 27 , and he is in an equivalent position to the witness P21 who gave evidence on the 20th September and is the subject of Restriction Order 6. The application will be for a restriction order in broadly similar terms.

CHA RPERSON Similar terms. That's in order to comply with our obligations under the MoU with the police and the PPS?
MR. DORAN Yes, indeed.
CHA RPERSON So that is obviously very likely to be made. Also, I ought to mention that tomorrow afternoon's witness has caring duties at home and so I have allowed that she give evidence via video-link from her home to assist her. All right. Are we ready for the next witness?
MR. DORAN Yes, Chair. This afternoon's witness is the sister of the former patient P30, and Mr. McEvoy will be dealing with the witness's evidence for the Inquiry.
CHA RPERSON Exce11ent. Thank you very much. A11 right, shall we get the witness in?

## THE WTNESS, P3O' s SI STER, HAV NG BEEN SUDRN, WAS

EXAM NED BY MR. MEEVOY AS FOLLOVG:
CHA RPERSON Good afternoon. Can I just welcome you to the Inquiry. I want to thank you very much for coming along to give evidence. It's always slightly nerve-wracking when you start, for every single
witness. But I can assure you, after a few minutes, once you have got going, you will be absolutely fine, especially in the hands of Mr. McEvoy. So, he is going to start by asking you some questions. You are accompanied by your partner today?
A. My brother.

CHA RPERSON Brother, I beg your pardon. Thank you very much indeed. So you are very welcome as well, but obviously there should be no communication between you while the witness is giving evidence, unless you ask me 14:04 first and we will sort that out. All right? okay. Mr. McEvoy.

MR MEEVOY: Thank you, Chair.

Good afternoon. So, everybody has known you up to this 14:04 point as P30 but we can take it, I think, that you are content to be known by your first name, which is Lorinda, isn't that right? You are the next-of-kin of a former patient at Muckamore, P30, but we can talk about her by her first name, which is Mavis; isn't that 14:04 right?
A. That's correct.

1 Q. Lorinda, there is before you hopefully a statement in your name which is dated the 26th Ju7y 2022. I'm going to begin by reading that into the record. All right?
At the conclusion then of that, I'm going to ask you some questions about what you have told us in that statement, okay?
"I, Lorinda, make the following statement for the pur pose of the Muckamore Abbey Hospital I nqui ry. In exhi biting any documents, l will use my initials so my first document will be LS1.

My connection with Muckamore is that I ama rel ative of a patient who was at Muckamore. My sister, Mavis, was a patient at Muckamore. I attach a photo of Mavis to my statement. The rel evant time period that I can speak is fromthe late 1960s to the 14th October 2016.

Mavis was born on the 14th October 1960. There were seven children in our family, and Mavis was the fifth child. When Mavis was a toddler, she had the three-in-one vaccine. My father recalled that she was I earning to wal $k$ at the time. He told us the vaccine was at the trial stage and he was agai nst her getting it. However, he said my mother was pressured into getting it by", and then you name the person.
"Mavis's armbecame very swollen and there was a change in her overni ght. They di d not thi nk she woul d ever be able to walk properly, and my father blamed it on the vaccine. There was a tri bunal regarding this but they could not prove that Mavis's condition was linked to the vacci ne.

Mavis was di agnosed with autismand severe learning difficulties. She is non- verbal but does have the odd
word. She can get very uptight at times when she is agitated. She puts her hands up to her face when she is annoyed, like in the picture at LS1".
CHAN RPERSON I think in the circumstances, we just call it L1.

MR. MEEVOY: L1.
"Mavis went to Rathcoole initially for daycare for a year or two. She was around five or six years ol d. When she was seven or ei ght years old, she started going to daycare at Muckanore. She would get the bus in the morning and would return home in the eveni ng. She called this going to school. She becare a weekday border when she was 18 years old until she was approxi mately 22 years old. She came home on a Friday ni ght and returned to Muckanore on a Sunday. Thi s al lowed her to go to the day centre during the week. She seemed to be content but it was hard to tell. Sometimes she did not want to go back but I thi nk overall she enj oyed it.

After that, she becare a full-time patient at Muckamore. Muckamore felt that they could hel p her more as she would be more settled with them She was around 23 years old. There were ol der patients on Mavi s's ward who mothered her, and she liked that. She seemed to be very content with the other patients who were verbal. Over the years, my father would visit Mavis regularly. Wen my father died, I usually
vi sited Mavis every week.

My brother" -- and you gave his name -- "started to visit Mavis around 1994. He was going out with a mental health student nurse and they visited Mavis once 14:08 or twi ce a month. Mavis moved around various wards over the years. I cannot recall all of the wards she was in. However, she ended up in Ennis Vard, whi ch event ually merged with Erne Vard around 2013.

It was around this time that Muckamore began to close down certai $n$ wards, and a lot of patients with difficult behaviours were placed on her ward.
I nitially there was a visiting roombut they did away with that. We had to vi sit in Mavis's bedroom when we were allowed in. Mbst of the time she was in good form and we could see her. Mavis liked to be away from others in a back corridor. She was obsessed with other peopl e's beds, making themtidy, but not her own.

Mavis has behavi oural issues. For example, over the years she has had certai $n$ obsessi ons, like onl y wearing bl ack. Mavis used to enj oy going to the Cosy Corner at Muckamore but she stopped going there or out at all. Due to the visiting probl ems in Ennis Vard, the staff tried to encourage Mavis to go to the Cosy Corner agai $n$. This had onl y limited success. Mavis had not been outside in around ten years when a staff member" -- whose name you have given -- "began to work with
her. I cannot remenber the time frame of this, but she was very good with Mavis. She broke some of the habits, like her obsessi on with wearing bl ack and being able to go out. She was strict with Mavis and told her she was going out. We saw a very positive change in Mavis around this time and it showed us what Mavis could do with the right person.

When that staff member left, thi ngs went backwards agai $n$. Mavis's obsessi ons got worse when the new patients were transferred to her ward. She devel oped an obsessi on with wearing bl ack agai $n$, and another with al ways wanting to flush the toilet. She would get very agitated and di stressed if she could not access the toilet to flush it.

As a family, we had various different issues with Mavis's care at Muckamore over the years, but we became more concerned after my father died in 2012. I was more invol ved in Mavis's care after this time and becare her next-of-kin. This al so coinci ded with the cl osing of ot her Muckamore wards and the transfer of patients to Mavis's ward who we thought were incompatible with her.

I amaware of one particularly upsetting inci dent whi ch happened sometime bef ore my father died. Mavis lost part of her nose in an incident at Muckamore and is per manently di sfigured as a result. The injury is
visible in the pi ct ure at L1. I do not know the exact date of the inci dent and my father did not like to talk about it. However, l bel ieve it predated my brother visiting Mavis with my sister, so it would have been bef ore 1994.

I onl y once heard my father raise the inci dent with staff at Muckamore. At a meeting he became angry and he said that not one person had sai d they were sorry for what they had done to Mavis. I feel that my father may have been referring to the vaccine Mavis recei ved, as my other sister told himthat none of these people were al ive when it happened. He may have been
referring to both the nose inci dent and the vaccine, I cannot be certain.

Mavis started a habit of drinking water fromthe tap. It was in Mavis's care pl an that this should not happen as she needs her liquids thi ckened. We reported it to the nursing staff at the time and di scussed it at the monthly ward meetings. The ward sister said she had never seen it and was not aware of it. The speech and I anguage therapist said Mavis could drown if it goes down the wrong way. It was quite serious, but there did not seemto be communi cation across departments and 14:11 st aff.

We al ways thought that Mavis was not getting enough to eat. There did not seemto be enough supervi si on at
meal times, and patients would steal food fromeach ot her when the staff were not looking. Mavis was slow to eat. I once saw a pati ent try to steal her tea one day. I cannot recall the date. On Christmas Day, I cannot recall the year, another lady tried to take the food off Mavis's plate. I thought to myself that this must be happening all the time. Mavis would go strai ght to our pockets looking for food, or my bag for sweets. She al ways seemed to be hungry.

She does not have these behavi ours where she lives now, Tri angle Housing Associ ation", and then you give the place.
"Another concern for us was her cl ot hing and we rai sed this with the staff on a number of occasions. Some of the compl ai nts that l made are detailed in the ward meeting minutes dated the 13th August at L2. We found Mavis on occasi ons with her trousers falling down, in ill-fitting and i nappropriate cl othing. As a result, I took over control of buying her cl othes. My di ary entries attached at L3 detail some of the cl othes l had to buy for Mavis on a very regul ar basis.

For a long period of time, Mavis would not come outside with us due to her phobi as, and we could not get on to the ward whi ch meant we could not visit. I am not certai $n$ when this started but perhaps in the early 1990s, as my mother di ed in 1996 and Mavis was not
happy going out prior to this. However, on my father's first visit after my mother's death, Mavis put her hand on my father's knee, took his hand and went for a short wal $k$ outside with him

After the visitors' room was removed, visiting took pl ace in Mavis's bedroom whi ch, bef ore the resettlement started, was a sixth bedroom After this, and prior to Mavis's move into the Triangle, Mavis had a room of her own. I was told that there was a lady who took her cl othes of f on the ward, so that we could not visit with a naked patient runni ng around.
Event ually I insisted and said that we had visiting rights.

We had to visit Mavis in her bedroom and on one occasi on we were locked in by the staff, who advi sed it was for our own safety. There was an inci dent with an agitated patient. I cannot recall the exact date. St aff in the of fice, l cannot recall who, shouted to staff in the ward that they would be best to take Mavis to her roomas she had visitors and this would keep themsafe. I recall that there were only two menbers of staff in the ward and three patients. There was a patient swi nging a chai $r$ around dangerously. A male nurse, I cannot recall his name, was trying to talk her down. Mavis and another patient" -- who you have named" -- were terrified. The other nurses just sat and wat ched and did not hel p. They di d not take Mavis
and the other patient out. There were not enough staff to cope with patients. I wondered what happened when I was not there.

After my visit, I could not get out of the roomas no staff menbers were around. I had to ring the switchboard to al ert the staff to let us out. Around 2013 onwards, I noticed a change of at mosphere on the ward. I did not feel safe any more. It was not cal m any l onger. There was another occasion, I cannot recall the date, when Mavis wet herself. She would hol d herself for ages bef ore she would go to the bathroom but there was someone using it and she could not get in. She wet herself outside the door. The nurse said that she would clean it up but there was no one to change Mavis, sol had to take her and change her myself.

I became aware that Muckamore was cl osing around 2013. Staffing levels and continuity of staff on Mavis's ward started to deteriorate, and Mavis became increasingly more agitated and upset. Mavis was normally very good around $90 \%$ of the time. If she was agitated, there was something bothering her. I attach di ary entries for some of these incidents in 2013 to 2014 at L3.

Mavis became very unsettled and, as she is non-verbal, she started to exhi bit further behavioural problens such as banging her head off $t$ he wall. She banged her
head on her brow when she became agitated, and she had a Iarge bump on her forehead. The staff just told her to sit down when this happened. Sometimes l turned her around as I thought this would stop her, but she continued to bump the back of her head. We rai sed this 14:16 with" and you name the staff member.
"She said it was the best place to bang her head as she could be doing worse things than that. I thi nk that Mavis was perhaps copying the behaviour of the new patients on her ward. I did not feel that it was appropriate for some of these patients to be on the same ward as Mavis due to their difficult behavi ours.

The patients who were bei ng noved to Mavis's ward should not have been placed with her. Mavis likes to be with verbal patients but they pl aced her with other non- verbal patients. There was a lot of screaming and shouting, which she did not like. The transferred patients were much more aggressive, and Mavis was being attacked regul arly by other patients, resulting in si gnificant injuries. I noticed several other unexpl ai ned brui ses and marks on Mavi s's body. I have attached a copy of diary entries frommy pi nk notebook which I used to record incidents in 2015 at L4.

We were very concer ned about this and invol ved the safeguar di ng officer. I cannot remenber their name.

Wen I recei ved the news that Muckanore was cl osing, I was hesitant. The Trust said that they were going to resettle Mavis into the commity. It took many years to resettle Mavis into appropriate accommdation. She was event ually offered somewhere suitable after some adj ustments and she now lives in the Triangle. I had numer ous resettlement meeti ngs bet ween 2013 and 2016. I have attached a copy of the letter from" -- and you name the acting consultant psychiatrist -- "to myself dated the 15 th of $\mathrm{Jul} y$ 2013. I amencl osing minutes of resettlement meetings in June 2013 and July 2013 at L5.

The resettlement that the Trust was proposing was compl et el y unsuitable. It was either too far away, or the accommodation was not safe or suitable for Mavis. My brother would take time of fork to vi sit numerous places that were suggested but it was a waste of his time. We were not keen to have Mavis resettled to begi $n$ with as Mavis was rel ativel y content in Muckamore, but after the ward closures and the deterioration in the standard of her care, we wanted to have her resettled as soon as possi ble. However, we felt we were being put under pressure to accept the unsuitable options that were being suggested. We rej ected them as we wanted Mavis to be placed in accommodation that was right for her. It was very frustrating, and as a family we were accused of obstructing the process.

I attach the mi nutes of a ward meeting on 13th August 2013, and a copy of a letter sent to the Chai r of the Parents and Friends of Muckamore dated the 11th August 2014 at L6 and L7 respectively. These documents detail some of the issues and frustrations we faced.

Mencap was the appoi nted advocate by Muckanore, whi ch we were not happy with as they si ded with Muckanore. At some meetings Mencap would be present to support us, but they ended up sayi ng that the Muckamore suggestions 14:19 were the best pl aces available at the time.

We asked AutismN to attend a meeting with us and found themtotally different from Mencap. They, for example, arranged for an autismassessment to be carried out of the premises that were proposed for Mavis. I was worried about Mavis moving and I felt the options were not in her best interests. We dug in and i nsi sted on something more suitable. The Trust seemed to try to move patients out in bulk from Muckamore.
There was no consi deration of their indi vi dual needs, i ncl uding Mavis. The family were not told who she was moving with. It all seemed very secretive. I feel that the families were treated badl $y$.

Eventually, I accepted a place in the Triangle and she has been there ever since. Mavis has 24-hour care. She is with another two I adi es from Muckamore. There are three staff on during the day, and two staff who
are awake at ni ght. We thought that Mavis needed nursing care but were told that "We did not need a nurse living with us 24/7"; theref ore, this applied to Mavis. Our worry was that as Mavis is non-verbal, the staff would not notice a medical problem Mavis so far 14:21 appears to be well looked after in the Triangle. Blood tests et cetera are done on a regul ar basis. There is restricted access due to Covid, and hopef ully this will change in due course. Only my husband and I can visit at the moment.

The kitchen is at the front of the house now. The attached garage was converted to make the kitchen l arger, and prior to this the garage was going to be turned into a sensory room and access would have been via the kitchen. Mavis has no sense of danger and could have injured herself coming through the kitchen by grabbi ng somet hi ng. However, we got that sorted out. I thought she needed to have nursing care and I persisted to get that for her. A door al so has to be locked for Mavis. I am happi er where she is now.

I feel that Mavis's care at Muckamore deteriorated after the ward closures, and the staff levels were not sufficient to provide an adequate level of care for the 14:22 patients, incl uding Mavis. Thi s resulted in Mavis being regul arly attacked by other patients. I al so feel that the resettlement scheme was very poorly managed, and that families like ours were pressurised
i nto accepting community resettlement whi ch was unsuitable".

So, Lorinda, that's the body of your statement. Do you wish to adopt that statement and then the exhibits that 14:22 you mentioned as your evidence to the Inquiry?
A. Yes.

2 Q. So, Lorinda, as I said just at the start, there were some things I'd like to ask you about that you have mentioned in your statement, if you wouldn't mind, if you can help us and he1p the Inquiry.

Maybe by way of background, can you give us a bit of an indication of your family growing up. You told us that Mavis was the fifth of seven children, is that right?
A. Aye.

3 Q. And where do you fall in the line-up then?
A. I am number one.

4 Q. okay. And your brother who is with you, where does he fal1?
A. Number seven.

5 Q. Okay. So, the oldest and the baby of the family?
A. Yeah.

6 Q. Okay. You were very clear in your statement that Mavis is non-verbal but she has the odd word?
A. The very odd word.

7 Q. Can you give the Inquiry any idea of what those odd words might be?
A. Well, she used to go to Muckamore as a day centre. My
mummy called it going to school. And when she wants something, she is looking for something, she gets totally upset. One morning she was looking for something, and because of being non-verbal, we didn't -- mummy had no idea what she was looking for. So, my mummy says to her, "I'm sorry, Mavis, the other boys and girls have to go to school, $I$ am going to tell the driver to go on", and Mavis ran out and put her hand up and shouted "No". That pleased my mummy, because she thought she was forcing her to go to school so she realised Mavis wanted to go there.

Just the odd word. She would maybe try to say "Back"; you know, struggling to get it out. That's maybe the on1y two words she can say, you know. She will take you by the hand. You know, if she wants something, she will take you somewhere. Say this desk, you are going "Is it this"? You know, you are asking her because she just knows it's on the desk somewhere but she doesn't -- you know, she does her best to communicate that.
8 Q. So she would use gestures, really?
A. Uh-huh, yes.

9 Q. And is she able to understand when you talk to her? Are you content she can understand what you say to her? 14:24 A. Most things I think she can understand. Sometimes, you know, you get no reaction so you are not really sure whether she is just ignoring you or she just doesn't pick it up, you know. You have to, you know, repeat,
you know, your instructions. As I say, if you asked her to bring something, you don't know whether she doesn't understand or whether she's just deciding to ignore you but.
10 Q. Of course. You told us the photo. I'm not sure if I can bring the photo up. The photo is 043-12, if it can be brought up on the screen. In the photograph there, we can see Mavis. How would you describe her form in the photo there?
A. That photograph was taken just after she went to the Triangle. They think it's a lovely photograph but we know when she puts her hand up, she is agitated, she is cross, you know. When she gets really cross, the two hands go up. But she is not -- you know, we know that she's not entirely happy in that photograph. It looks a nice photograph but when the hands are up, you know to step back because she might be a bit cross with you.
11 Q. And if she was content, could you tell?
A. Oh, yes. There's times she will go into -- you might say something and you think what did I say there, and she will go into real hysterics, laughter, you know. Just laugh and laugh. She can maybe laugh for ten or fifteen minutes solid, and you are trying to analyse what you did or what you said that she has picked up on, you know. Sometimes you just don't know.
12 Q. Okay. You talked about her childhood and her time at Rathcoole in particular. You said during that period of time, as far as you can recal1, she seemed content. Can you tell us how she seemed in those days before

Muckamore?
A. We11, when she was young, I always remember her as being content, you know. She was allowed out, you know. I don't mean out out. We had a big -- we lived in a big old farmhouse and there was lots of ground around it, and she could wander about, you know. We were never allowed to take her with us over the fields or anything like that there. We weren't trusted that way. But, you know, there's different things. Like my brother had goldfish in like an old -- one of them old sinks. One disappeared, and they said to -- "Where is this fish"? she lifted the stone up and there was the fish. We don't know whether she lifted it out, you know, realised she had done something wrong, or whether she was covering if you did it. So we don't know but she seemed to always be content, and I don't really remember her being not content, you know.
13 Q. Not content. I have worked out, although my maths are terrible, she was born in 1960 and she went into Muckamore, you think when she was 23 , so that would have been about 1983, is that right?
A. It might be slightly before but around about that there, aye.
14 Q. From that period up until you start to describe difficulties in around 2013, I mean, what's your
general overall impression of those years at Muckamore?
A. I found any time I went up to see her, she seemed content. There was a lot of older people in Muckamore who could talk, and they would tell us you know "I've
taught her to say 'cake' ", you know. And she seemed content there, you know. There was actually patients in there that I used to think I wonder who this lady is visiting, and it turned out she was a patient, you know they were... She seemed content.

15 Q. During that period, you describe her as getting on very well with patients who were verbal?
A. Yes. She seems to like being people who are verbal. I mean, where she is moved to now, the two ladies that moved with her are non-verbal but because she has got 24-hour care, there's staff and they're obvious7y talking to each other.
16 Q. So she's got a bit of interaction that way?
A. That way. She just likes listening, I think.

17 Q. Of course, of course. You told us then in your statement that you took over as Mavis's next-of-kin after your father passed away, so it was around 2012. Is that right?
A. Yeah. My brother and I are joint next-of-kin, plus we are controllers.

18 Q. Joint next-of-kin, and controllers as well?
A. Yeah.

19 Q. Okay. Well look, I wonder if I could take you to something. You have very helpfully included some very detailed exhibits. In those, you say that -- you talk about a resettlement meeting which took place in June 2013, or an attempt at it anyway. I think the number that I have should be at page 90. I think it's dated. We might have to clarify this but there's a minute of a
meeting. If you look at page 90 , it says "Muckanore Abbey".
A. Thank you.

20 Q. So, the date on it is Tuesday the 9th Ju7y 2012, but on the previous page, just on the immediate previous page - there's a letter referring to it - are the minutes and it's dated 15th July '13. The letter itself is dated 15th July '13. So, it seems that whoever was putting the minutes together has made a bit of a typo there and the meeting took place in 2013. would that be right?
A. Uh-huh, I think so.

21 Q. Can I ask you just a bit about the background then to this meeting. It says at the start that you wanted to record the meeting but you were informed that it wasn't 14:31 possible.
A. They weren't -- you weren't allowed to record the meeting. They would give you minutes of the meeting.
Q. Yes.
A. I think it's just the way we weren't happy with things in the meeting and we thought if we had this recorded, then we could bring it up.

23 Q. Yeah, okay. When you asked to do that, then they -- I think we can take it from this that that request was refused, is that right?
A. That's right. It seems it's a general thing that you can't record the meeting.

24 Q. Yes. Can you remember much about this meeting? Can you remember much about the discussion?
A. Not offhand.

25 Q. This was a meeting, I think, which was an initial effort to discuss another placement?
A. This was -- I remember part of it now. We knew that Mavis was going to get moved with two other patients from Muckamore. They told us not everybody had that great privilege of getting moved with two people that they knew. But we asked who these two patients were, but that was confidential information. I thought like this is going to be Mavis's home, and even if she had been a student living in student accommodation that you would get to know who you were living with. She wasn't going to get to know who she was moving with at that point until she moved, you know. We did find out later before she did move who the two were, but at that stage 14:32 I thought it would be nice to get to know their families and all. But, again, we found they were kept apart. We didn't get meeting the other families. If you look across to, it's page number 2 and it says 91 at the top, and just about below halfway, maybe about two-thirds of the way down the page, in the paragraph there it says:
"Family was angry that they were not allowed on ward to visit Mavis. They stated that other patients stripping 14:33 are not their problem They were al so angry that Mavis's cl othes don't fit her and her trousers were falling down. Family states they made an appoint ment to visit but was unable to visit Mavis."

It goes on:
"Lorinda wants to know why visits stopped recently.
Family states that Mavis wanted to see her family and feels upset when her family can't see her. Family states that when manager is on the ward, they are ref used access to the ward".

Then it goes on:
"Family was advi sed they can make a formal compl ai nt. Family feels there are double standards, as Mavis has no di gnity with her cl ot hes not fitting properly but ward are concerned about ot her clients stripping."

Is there anything you would want to tell the Inquiry about that?
A. There was -- my other sister was up, and Mavis -- at the meeting, she was quite angry with them. when she came in there, Mavis was being brought back from the day centre and the trousers were too big so they were slipping down on her. Unfortunately, there was a lady in the ward who just wanted to have no clothes on, and we weren't allowed in. They did -- they did try to she wanted us in, which we normally visited. So it was hard. I mean, I did ask could I go in on my own without my brother, because it was a lady who was
undressing, but no, you know, she had to have her privacy. You can see both points of view but it's hard when you can't visit, you know. Yes. I was just going to ask you actually. You said Mavis wasn't having it; what effect did that have on her?
A. She just seemed so agitated, you know. She wanted to bring us in where she normally would visit -- where we'd normally visit. They did try to coax her out, we tried to coax her out but, you know, she wasn't having it. You know, she was more content. I don't -- I just don't know. You know, it's because I think with autism, there's a place for everything, you know. Because they queried why she would do her puzzles in the day centre, and in the ward when they tried to get her to do her puzzles, she wouldn't do. It's like the children doing their homework, you do your schoolwork in school and you don't do that at home; there's a place for everything. I think she was going through that stage, the place for to visit was in the ward and she wasn't having it that we were going to be visiting somewhere else; it wasn't the right place.
28 Q. Turning over the page then. The number at the bottom is 3 and the number at the top is 92 . It's still the same document. There's a bit more of a discussion about what you were telling us a few moments ago, just about the nature of the resettlement and the people that Mavis might be going to live with. There's a discussion around the plans at the property. Just
about the third paragraph down:
"The family wanted to knowif Mavis had to furni sh the house and if the trial failed, where would the furniture go. Expl ai ned that other clients have sold the furniture and recei ved the money back. Di scussed a smaller envi ronment would be beneficial for Mavis due to her autism Family was worried about inexperienced staff, as Lorinda had seen in the paper "No Qualifications Needed". It was explai ned that staff woul d be trai ned and that the turnover rate in Triangle was low."

Then $I$ think it's "another family menber mentions that they were aware of a client who resettled and was doing 14:37 well until there was a high staff turnover and that that patient returned to Muckanore."

Is there anything you want the Inquiry just to know about what you have said there, what's recorded in the meeting minute?
A. We11, just that, you know, the Antrim Guardian was stating that there was a higher number of people had died after resettlement than they would have expected. so, we were worried about that there, whether it was just a natural thing or whether --
29 Q. Sorry, you said the Antrim?
A. Antrim Guardian. I think it's the Antrim Guardian.

30 Q. Is that the local paper?
A. That would be the local -- one of the local papers. 31 Q. okay?
A. So, we worried about, you know, were we sending Mavis out and, you know, that she would survive a couple of months, because of this report. We didn't know whether it was just one of those things, it was their age and natural causes and it would have happened whether it was Muckamore or not, but the papers indicated that there was higher than expected number. But the Trust did say to us that if that was the case, they would have had a meeting with us and said and told them and owned up that there was, you know...
32 Q. okay. That specific concern -- I think we will come to it -- but did you raise that, that you had seen this information in the public domain?
A. We did raise that there to say, you know, that's what we were concerned about. You know, we didn't want her to be moved and become one of these statistics, you know. Basically, we didn't know whether -- whether it was just one of the natural occurrences that would have happened anyway when these people were in Muckamore. I thought it was up to the Trust to confirm it one way or the other.

33 Q. And you were looking for reassurance then, is that --
A. Yes. We were worried that, you know ... we wanted nursing care for Mavis. Because of her being non-verbal, we thought that they would notice something that you and I wouldn't notice, you know, us not having the training.

34 Q. Yes, of course.
A. That's what our concern was. Then we were worried that if these were increased deaths in the community, was it because they'd gone to a non-nursing place, where something that would have been picked up in Muckamore, maybe they'd say, you know, was overlooked and left it too late.

35 Q. Is that why you raised the query, for example, around inexperienced or unqualified staff?
A. Yes. We were worried that Mavis had something, you know, like -- she doesn't really demonstrate pain that much. I think when she is agitated, sometimes Muckamore used to give her painkillers just in case it was something like that there, and then monitor her. We were worried that something would happen to Mavis that an inexperienced person, a layperson, wouldn't pick up, whereas a trained nurse would notice she has a temperature or something that would need to be looked into.
okay. Following on then, on the next, or overleaf, there is a meeting, which is a minute meeting, entitled "Ennis resettlement meeting". The date on it, it's a bit before this, I think, it's 11th June 2013. A 1ist of people from Muckamore, I think, there, and yourselves at the bottom. It says:
"At the outset it was discussed that the Trust are hol ding meetings for families" -- and it gives a date -- "for the families to speak with indi vi dual s fromthe

Trust regarding any queries they may have and would like to di scuss".

I suppose, in general do you feel that Muckamore and the authorities there engaged with you properly in terms of this resettlement at this point in time?
A. They had lots of -- they had a monthly meeting, and a lot of the times they just went over what they went over before. You know, we found that we were going to meetings that we could have just referred to the meeting before.
37 Q. Did you feel your concerns were being taken on board?
A. Not fully because there was still, you know -- I mean, they felt Mavis didn't need nursing care; we felt that they did, you know. We did find a place that we were happy with and they said no, she didn't need nursing care yet. They sort of contradicted themselves because we were sent to a place in Ballymoney that was nursing care. The main reason we didn't accept that, it was too far away from us to ensure we could visit her on a regular basis. So it was a bit confusing when they said no, you don't need nursing care, and then they send you -- sent us to two nursing care places. They sent us to Larne Care Home. There's an upstairs in the care home where patients who can manage a bit, you know. She was going -- it was suggested she went upstairs there, where there was a wee kitchen and they could make a cup of tea et cetera. But Mavis has no -you know, couldn't make tea, everything has to be
cooked for her. You know, she is just not independent that way.
38 Q. So do you think this staff appreciated what you were saying when you were raising those issues?
A. Not entirely, because of the fact that they sent us to places that were totally unsuitable. You'd think, you know... We were sent to a place that was like a fold only they were individual buildings, and they said to us, "This is where she'll do her cooking and this is where she'll do her washing and ironing", and I thought 14:43 Mavis can't do any of that there. And there's a bell there, and the best we could have hoped for was she would have kept pressing the bell but only because the noise would have attracted her and she wouldn't have been able to do anything if they left her in there. She needs help dressing et cetera, you know. In this resettlement meeting, there's a number of other items that are mentioned. It says at the bottom of that same page, "Family di scussed the followi ng concerns: III-fitting cl othes", which I think you mentioned in the body of your statement. And then who Mavis will be sharing with and will they have access to the background information, which is something you have also told us about.

Then overleaf, it talks about visiting on the ward also being an issue for you.
"That Mavis can sometimes get nore agitated when family
are visiting on the ward. It was di scussed that it may be better for the family to call the ward before visiting and arrange to meet Mavis with staff in the Cosy Corner. If the process works, it will be less di stressing for all invol ved, especially Mavis. Family 14:44 are agreeable to same and arrange to visit this Sunday at 3: 30 in the Cosy Corner."

Can you remember who -- I am not exactly looking for the specific name of the person, but from whom that suggestion came in terms of the meeting point in the Cosy Corner?
A. I think it was the ward sister but I am not $100 \%$ sure. It might have been the doctor, you know. The first visit, from what I remember, they had to ring the Cosy Corner and tell us to come around to the ward because Mavis wouldn't go out.
40 Q. What do you think about the proposal when it was suggested there?
A. We11, I thought, you know, if we got seeing her and if she came around to the Cosy Corner, you know, it was a step in the right direction, but that she has a fear of going out. It was the walk from the ward to the Cosy Corner. We found once she got to the -- you know, the subsequent weeks when we got her to the Cosy Corner, once she got in, she was able to sit and relax and sit in the Cosy Corner. But to bring her back, you know, it was like, you know, you are going all right, we are nearly here, you know.

41 Q. Yes. So the ward sister seemed to think it would be less stressful for her, but what was your thinking on it?
A. It was mainly not the stress, it was mainly because we couldn't get into the ward to visit her. So this was an alternative, to try and get her to come out to visit us type of thing, that we'd meet in the --
42 Q. And did it work out?
A. As I said at the beginning, the first week they had to phone the Cosy Corner and tell us to come around because she wouldn't come out. Then it wasn't bad, they got her out a few times but, you know, the walk there and the walk back again was really stressful for her. She just -- I don't know what she thought was going to happen.
43 Q. Looking across to the next page, there's an e-mai 1 from you, I think, $I$ think it is to someone on the staff at Muckamore, and it's got a date of the 21st June 2013. You started by saying:
"As I amsure you are aware, our visit to the Cosy Corner was unsuccessful".
A. That might have been the first visit, because at the beginning it was hit-and-miss whether she would come out at all.

44 Q. Mm-hmm.
A. They tried to walk her around. Then they had us trying to walk her around, you know, to see would it make any difference. At the beginning, you know, it wasn't
successful but then, you know, she gradually got used to it but once she got to the Cosy Corner, she just totally relaxed. Because at the day centre, they would take her to the Cosy Corner there. But it was getting from the ward to the Cosy Corner was like, you know, this was the end of the world and everything was happening. No matter how you tried to say you are all right, you are holding my hand, Harry is holding your other hand, you are all right, you know.
of course. In the next paragraph you are telling the person on the staff that you asked the ward sister what had upset Mavis, was it the beds. You recollect that:
"... the ward sister said to you that they could not gi ve into Mavis's whims as this would only make them worse, and appeared surprised when we told her it had been agreed the beds would all be the same. She then told us that she could not be responsible for what came back fromlaundry whi ch we understand up to a point. She $t$ old us that everyone on the ward was upset, and then went on to upset us with the followi ng remark: "Is there a full moon toni ght? Oh, no, that was Iast week", and then I aughed."
A. Yeah. That day, we weren't allowed into the ward but you could hear all the patients. When I'm saying all the patients, I'm only assuming it ws all because there was an awful lot of yelling and screaming. You could hear that it appeared to be the whole ward appeared to be stressed, whatever had caused it.

Mavis, it was part of her rituals at that stage, it was her calming method. You know, beds had to be all the same, and we spent some of our visiting time making the beds. We had to make them, and she wasn't happy with them and we had to make them again, and we couldn't see what was wrong with it. The odd time you could see that the pillow wasn't the same colour as all the rest of the pillows. I'd go around to the laundry cupboard one day to try and get a pillow the same, you know, to try and calm her down, you know, but.
46 Q. The reference to the laundry there is about the --
A. Yes.

47 Q. -- (inaudible) the beds?
A. If it is possible could you get the laundry to send the 19:49 covers all the same and the pillows all the same so that she would be happy. Like, she would have sat on the top of her bed and her bed could be all untidy but, no, it wasn't her bed she was concerned about, it was every other person's bed in the room that she was. We 19:49 could never understand that either.
48 Q. The upset and the noise that you have described that you heard on the ward, when did you start noticing that?
A. It's really when they started merging the wards. You 14:49 got an awful lot of people that were non -- when I say non-verbal, they could yell plenty. And they were really, you know ... You know, you could see that they were stressed out but you don't know what was causing
their stress, but then it was rubbing off and stressing Mavis and many of the other ones.

49 Q. Thinking back to the merger, was there discussion with you as a family about the merger and before it took place? In other words, about what it might involve?
A. No. There was no -- there was no discussion. They just merged the wards. You know, as they moved the more able patients out into the community, they started closing wards down and moving them in. I mean, there were quite a lot of patients who were quite stressed, and then Mavis seemed to get more stressed. She used a lot of things for calming, you know. She would rock and flush the toilet. One of the -- one of the -- I'm not sure whether it's OT. One of the workers anyway said that it was as if she was counting. She can't count, you know. But I think she was listening. She had realised when it was -- because she would rock. She would flush and she would rock, and then when it was full, she would rock. I think it was her hearing, she would rock and flush.

And then she started, if anything didn't -- if there was any bits of dirt on there was the main reason they wanted to stop it, she would put her finger down. Then the more they tried to stop her, the worse she got. She wanted in there, you know, and she would be banging her head. But it was a calming thing for her because of all the other patients, $I$ think.
50 Q. Was that a behaviour that only started after the
merger?
A. That was only -- I think she always had an obsession with the beds, which was easy enough dealt with, you know, we just had to learn to make them. But this toilet thing. I can understand when they said of her putting her finger down the toilet bowl but it was whatever way -- you know. we always thought that maybe there was something they could give her that would try to keep her calm. But it's hard to think all the noise, we assume all the noise et cetera, that was what 14:52 was -- especially as it's quieter now and there's none of that behaviour. There's no banging the head, there's no -- you used to have to give her. PRN to calm her down, tablets. They haven't had to do that there. At the beginning when she moved to the Triangle, yes, they had to do it because there was a strange environment and strange staff and all, but now they haven't had to give her PRN for a couple of years. she seems content there.
51 Q. That behaviour in the toilet, was that something that
A. That only really occurred after the influx of the other patients, so it did.
52 Q. Okay. Not long after the meeting minutes that we've looked at there, you began to keep a diary. You've kindly enclosed your diary entries as exhibits to the statement. I just wanted to ask you about some of the things that you have referred to in the diary, if that's okay. Before I do that, what was it that
prompted you to start keeping a diary?
A. I'm not really sure. They kept -- you know, they would ring you and say like such-and-such happened. You know, one patient put her -- some I think maybe were accidents, others not. Like a patient put their leg out and she fell over it, which could be deliberate or accidental. Things like that there. Just to keep a record of what was happening.
53 Q. Yeah, okay. One of the things that you touched on, one of the matters that you touched on in your statement that you told us about, it is something in your diary, was that you felt it necessary to bring in clothing for Mavis on a fairly regular basis. If I can just take you to some examples. For example, we can see there at November, in November '18, it's page 19. You might not 14:54 be able to see it.
CHA RPERSON what is the date in November?
MR MEEVOY: It's November the 10th, 2013.
CHA RPERSON Yes.
MR MEEVOY: It should be page 19. It's Sunday, November the 10th, 2013.

Just for illustrative purposes there, we can see that you dropped in a pair of pyjamas, a fleece coat, leggings, two jumpers, a body warmer, a gilet.
overleaf, maybe if you go right to the next page, in fact, the very next week you have left in some thermal vests. You have made a note there, "Mavi s's jeans too bi $g$, fell of $f$ her, asked nurse to change them"

Maybe if you go ahead. There are numerous examples but this is just really so you can help us. If you'd turn over to the next page then, until the 24th November. Can you find the 24 th, Sunday the 24 th? You have left off four jumpers and trousers, socks and some toiletries, $I$ think, deodorant and shower gel. You have made a note, I think, of the brands, is that right, Jules and Cotton Traders?
A. Yes. I think it's mainly for a record because my brother and I became her controllers, and then... were somebody else's clothes. I don't know whether they were at one time buying her clothes too big. But she was always -- she's put on a lot of weight since she has moved out, she was always very thin. But I were too big or whether she got somebody else's clothes. It's hard to know.

57 Q. Yeah, okay. And you brought things in for her on a
regular basis then?
A. Yes, to make sure. Then we could say, you know, there's no need for you to have trousers on that are too big because we have definitely bought you clothes that should be all right on her.

Now, staying on that same diary, then, if we move forward a wee bit until Christmas time. Can you find the week of Christmas, December '13. It starts on December the 23rd, so it's maybe forward. I don't know if you can see it at the top of your bundle but you should see page 043-28. Have you got it?
A. I got it, I think, yes.

59 Q. Great. So, it says on Christmas Day, you got slippers and socks?
A. Yeah.

60 Q. And sweets et cetera. Sweets et cetera from the rest of the family, small shower ge1, perfume, make-up.

Can I ask you then just about what's said there in terms of Boxing Day. It says you were told by a staff member that Mavis had a bad night.
"She was upset after supper, banging doors, and staff she di dn't know were on duty due to the merger of Erne/ Ennis". Is that Mallow? Does that say "Mallow"?
A. There's another word called Mallow. They merged three. Again, they thought it was because there were strange staff on that she didn't know. I mean, towards the end before she moved out, we would have known it was a new
member of staff because she wanted her hands rubbed and she would have gone after -- the other staff that were there knew not to do it, tell her to do it herself. she would test that new member of staff as if she was training them, this is your job to massage my hands.

61 Q. okay?
A. And, you know, it's constant.

62 Q. Maybe the Inquiry might like to know a bit more about that. Can you just explain what you mean about the hand rubbing?
A. I think it's something to do with the autism. She wants her hands rubbed, you know.
Q. By someone else?
A. You know, yes. She would test -- we found this with particularly a new member of staff. We would say to them you are new, aren't you, and they would go yes, as if -- we used to joke with her are you trying to train this person this is their job. when she moved to the Triangle, the staff have all been told not to do it. You know, it's harmless except that she would want it constantly from the time she woke up to the time she went to bed, and you can't expect a member of staff just to be employed just to do that all day long.
64 Q. Mm-hmm. Mm-hmm. You record there that she was upset after supper. As you say "staff she di dn't know on duty due to the merger of the wards." I know it's some time ago now but is that your opinion or was that what the member of staff --
A. That's what the staff told us, that they thought it was
because there was trained staff on that she didn't know, you know. Her routine. That's what they
thought. I don't know, because she was crying on Christmas Day. I vaguely remember there was staff talking about the closure of Muckamore, and we didn't know whether that was why she was crying, or what she was crying; if she had been crying that she didn't get for christmas what she was deep down hoping for, that none of us knew. Because her not being able to tell us, we didn't know...
65 Q. Okay. At the end of 2013, you have recorded across the page on the next page, on Sunday the 29th.
A. The blood in her ears.

66 Q.
"No one knew about it, it had not been reported. They will get doctor." Then you note at the bottom, "Mavis very, very unsettled", and you've underlined "Very" twice?
A. The blood in her ears, that would be very painful, yet they hadn't noticed it. You know, we noticed it running out of her ears. They couldn't seem to get an appointment with the ear specialist. The Triangle were able to get her one quite quickly.
67 Q. Was the blood fresh or did it look like it had been there for a while, can you recall?
A. No. It was actually running out of her ears. They hadn't noticed it. of course, it might have happened just five minutes before we came, we don't know. But they weren't able to get her -- they weren't able to
push hard enough to get. The Triangle just pestered the ear clinic to get her.

The crying could have been because she must have been in pain, although they say autistic people can have a high threshold for pain. You know, what you and I would be screaming in agony, she --
68 Q. Might not exhibit it?
A. Yeah. Again with her being non-verbal, we don't know. well look, if you turn just then a couple of pages, in fact four pages forwards. Hopefully that should be January 14th at the top of the page. It should be the friday. If I could ask you to look at the Sunday at the bottom, Sunday 12th January. You have made a note here again some clothes, I think left off and effects. But you have also noted then, "Mavis unsettled, pulling trousers and pants down".
A. Yeah.
was that a behaviour that you had seen or noted prior to the merger?
A. We didn't see it very -- it was not very often that happened, you know. In her assessments from Muckamore for the move out, they would decide that that was one of her habits, but we only -- that's maybe been only the once. I mean, the time that she couldn't get into the toilet, it was just done in the corridor, we don't know -- very seldom we would have seen that there, you know.

71 Q. Yeah. Okay. Moving a number of pages forward, the top
of the page should be numbered -- hopefully you can see it -- it should be 38. 043-38. It should be February 2014. It should be Sunday the 9 th is what I am hoping you will bring up. There's mention of a rash on Mavis. Appears to be coming out on her temple. Then it mentions Mavis being attacked by another patients. May have been she wanted crisps. It says:
"Mavis very unsettled after the inci dent".
A. I sort of vaguely remember that there. You can understand that they are like children in a way. You know, if you have got a bag of crisps and I wanted some of your crisps and you wouldn't share, that way. The patient tried to get the crisps and I think that, you know, upset Mavis. You know, like children, they don't ${ }_{\text {15:05 }}$ want to share.
72 Q. Do you know whether that patient had been in the same ward or had come from another ward? Can you recall? You maybe don't know.
A. I'm not sure but she was one -- they moved up some of the patients who were going to nursing care; they moved them out one Sunday in bulk, you know. I can't remember whether this lady was there prior. But she was non-verbal, so she was probably one of the ones -because most of the patients in the ward with Mavis prior to the resettlement could talk. Not them all, but they could talk.
73 Q. okay. Then hopefully, moving two pages forwards, you will find Sunday the 23rd February 2014. There it
says:
"Mavis unsettled, banging head on doors and walls. She did settle" is that perhaps "down"? I am not sure. "About hal fway through visit. Her eyes roll up during the unsettled period. Not as bad as last week. Was reported to nurse, who di dn't do anything. Another family member arrived and Mavis was pleased to see hi m"

Can you tell us anything about that? Do you remember anything about that incident?
A. Right. I think now Mavis can do that deliberately, you know, if she is annoyed. You know, if she just tries to tell you, you know. They weren't sure whether it was something to do with epilepsy, you know, like a seizure. But she's been doing it a wee bit lately in the Triangle, but we think it -- when she does it with me, I think, you know, she's trying to tell me wise up.
74 Q. But was it a behaviour you had seen before, the eyes rolling, as you describe?
A. No, we hadn't, we hadn't. You didn't notice it? No. We didn't really notice it then. That's why I think I have marked it down. It was something that we had thought was new but I don't know. I think from what Muckamore are saying, it could have been happening for a while.

75 Q. Okay. Moving forward to March '14, Sunday the 9th. It should be 043-43 at the top.
A. Yeah. there "Mavis still scratching".
A. Yeah.
Q. I won't take you through every entry but there are a number of entries in the diary where you have made reference to Mavis scratching herself. Was that a behaviour you had seen before?
A. No. Really from the rash appeared, she was scratching herself, and I don't know whether she was allergic to something. You know, they did say they couldn't be responsible what soap powder was being used in the 1aundry. It could have been that there. Now, you know, they use sensitive, you know. She doesn't seem to have that problem now -- well, not that they have told us. When we have her out, there's no scratching, so we assume that it was something she ate, or soap powder.
78 Q. When she is scratching, it's at the rash then that you mentioned?
A. It wasn't a behavioural habit.

79 Q. Okay. Okay. Now, do you recall then being told about Mavis's care plan being changed during this period of time?
A. No.

80 Q. No?
A. I don't recal1.

81 Q. okay. Then if I take you then to March '14, and there's the 12th March, which should be 44 at the top. There's a meeting with a Trust official, I think. You
have made a note there:
"Patients should only be noved for betterment". You have noted there "one patient tried to commit --
A. Sui ci de.

## 82 Q.

> "El even ti mes, and 44 over i nci dents bef ore she was ret ur ned to Muckanore."

Can you tell the Inquiry what that's a reference to?
A. I can't remember who told me that there. I think I brought it up at one of the ward meetings, you know. I wasn't worried of Mavis committing suicide because I don't think she would have the idea how to do it. I worried that, you know, if this patient was that stressed, this was happening to them, I was worried that Mavis would become that stressed. There would be more banging heads and more things that could ... The times that she would bang her head in the ward and her brow, I had turned her around thinking it was only her brow that she wanted to get at, but then she tried to go backwards. The doctors said the best place to bang your head is on your brow, you can do less damage that way.

So, things like that there, that if Mavis went out and she was stressed and would bang her head too much, you know. But it was the odd incident at the beginning in the Triangle where she banged her head, but that's because everything was new and strange to her, I think.

83 Q. At this meeting here, in this discussion when you mentioned this, were you given any reassurance when you mentioned this?
A. I think they just told us that this was just -- you know, a one-off. You know, that they would monitor her 15:12 when she moved out, and obviously if she was distressed, they wouldn't let it go that far.
84 Q. Were you reassured?
A. You just had to hope for the best, you know, that if -I mean, I thought if this happens, when do I say -- I had to give a chance when she moved out, when do I say enough is enough and move her back? If I said that to them, would they move her back? I am scared of them saying no, we haven't persevered enough, that length of time. But it's worked out all right.
85 Q. Yeah. Moving on into Apri1 2014, if you can turn up page 51. Hopefully you will find Thursday the 17th April.
A. Yeah.
Q. Have you got it there?
A. I am here, yeah. I have it.

87 Q. It just records you as having said you rang wanting an update on Mavis. Thought we would have been -- would have seen a bungalow.
"Told her about inci dent in Ballymoney. Not aware of it and said we cannot bel i eve everything the papers say. Advi sed us to speak to the Trust re these reports."

Can you remember what that is a reference to
A. I can't remember. I know that the bungalows, we referred to the bungalow as where she is now. But I can't remember what the incident was. You know, they must have reassured us or told us it was a one-off thing that happened. I can't remember.
88 Q. okay.
CHA RPERSON Sorry, just before you move on, the reference to the bungalow, was that part of the resettlement?
A. Yeah. She is in the bungalow now and we have always referred to it as the bungalow, you know, that that's where --
CHAN RPERSON So this was before Mavis moved?
A. Aye.

CHA RPERSON And they were hoping that you would have seen the accommodation that she might be moving into?
A. I think that was -- she didn't move until October, 14th October 2016. But then they had to do -- when we went 15:15 to see the bungalow, there was health and safety issues there. Both us and one of the other families pointed this out to them, and they had to do building work.
CHA RPERSON Right.
MR. MEEVOY: Is that the building work that you have
described in your statement, the movement of the kitchen and garage and that and so on?
A. Uh-huh. I didn't realise -- I think that's when I referred to the bungalow, as we always called it the
bungalow, I didn't realise it was over two years before she moved.
89 Q. Okay. You don't know what the incident is in Ballymoney --
A. I can't honestly remember.

90 Q. -- that you've mentioned. okay. If I can take you forward a bit until -- sorry.
A. There was an incident in Ballymoney where one of the residents in one of the buildings got out, and I think something happened to them, but I can't -- that's coming to me vaguely, that some, you know, the staff -CHA RPERSON Is it something you read in the paper?
A. I think it was -- now, I might be totally wrong but I think it was somebody from the friends of, you know, Friends --
CHA RPERSON Friends and Patients of Muckamore.
A. I think somebody there, but I could be totally wrong. CHAI RPERSON Right. We don't need to worry. MR. MEEVOY: we don't need to worry. okay.

Can I ask you then just to move on a number of months, into August. It's actually into the note section of your diary. Hopefully the page reference at the top, if you can see it, is page 65. I know it may be difficult to see.
A. What date in August?

91 Q. It should be the 8th August. I think you've got it.
A. I've got it here.

92 Q. 8th August. Is it the previous -- it might be -- just go back one page there. I think you've just gone past it.
A. Is this when she tried to put something down the toilet?
93 Q. okay. Got it okay?
A. Uh-huh.

94 Q. It says there 8th August 2014, "meeting with", and you have named some Trust people. Have you got it there, about halfway down? It's 65. So it's halfway down, it should say the 8th August. Are you with me? Great.

Meeting with H183, H186 and another Trust person and a person from you Autism NI also there. You have recorded that H183 said:
"Felt we were putting as many obstacl es in thei $r$ way. We expl ai ned that we have ot her commitments and need more time. The other Trust official then said we'd stop coming to the ward meeting as we di dn't want the Triangle, yet were still happy to attend."

Can you tell the Inquiry what was going on there, from your recollection?
A. We11, I remember we were going to monthly meetings and they just discussed the same thing over and over. Every month was the same thing, and we weren't getting any further. We were sent to places that were totally unsuitable.

95 Q. Mm-hmm. Okay. Then at the bottom of the page, you
have recorded:
"H183 is querying Mavi s's financi al arrangements. A lot of money would be requi red for day-to-day running of Triangle, i.e. food and el ectricity."
A. Mm-hmm.

Overleaf you go on to say:
"Still approxi mately $£ 500$ in Mıkanore. I think benefit still being paid". I think it says "I spoke to RO2 when he rung to cancel our meeting".

Anyway, can you tell the Inquiry what the concern there was or what the issue was around finances, if there was one?
A. There wasn't -- it was just the Trust said, you know, before she moves, she will have to give them $£ 500$. Whereas when they actually came to the thing, you know, the other two families and ours, it was agreed, you know, a weekly amount for electricity and oil and groceries, you know. But the lady from the Trust, you know, said we had to put, you know, at least $£ 500$, a downward deposit, you know, in cash.
97 Q. For the Triangle?
A. Yes, you know, for like a fund to start off with. That's what she was trying to do.
98 Q. And what did you think about that?
A. I thought, like, for insurance purposes, you are only covered for $£ 500$, you know. If we give $£ 500$ and then we are giving a weekly amount on top of that there,
insurance-wise she might not be covered, you know, if anything did happen. But when it came to the bit, it was a weekly amount. You know, they just asked for the money each week.
99 Q. What was your feeling when you came away from that meeting about the Trust? I mean, you have recorded there that you had the view that they thought you were putting obstacles in their way?
A. we went to everywhere that they suggested, you know. We went to that place that was like -- we didn't know it was like a fold. And they didn't know. They said they didn't know it was like a fold, but I thought but they are paid to look into this here to see say before they went, rather than telling us to go to A, B and C and they are all totally unsuitable.
CHAI RPERSON I didn't understand that. what did you mean, it was folding?
MR. MEEVO: No, no. I think you were saying was one of the places they had sent you to see was a fold?
A. It was like a fold in that it wasn't under one roof, like most folds are, it was wee individual houses. One of the tenants let us in to see the house, and she told -- the Trust staff said to us this is where she will do her washing and this is where she will do her ironing, and they told us afterwards they didn't realise this you know, can't do any of those things, why are we being sent here? Then they tell us they had to let us see everything that's out there. You don't have to let
us see everything that's out there that is unsuitable, you know.
100 Q. So you are being directed to places that Mavis wouldn't have been --
A. If they had put -- if we had accepted that there, for some unknown reason, she would be left there and she couldn't cook. She couldn't, you know, do anything. she would be just ...
Yes, of course. You continued, Lorinda, you continued keeping a record of matters at muckamore into 2015. I just wanted to give you an opportunity to tell the Inquiry about September 2015. If you can turn up page 70, it's the first page actually of your -- I think this is your pink notebook. You have described it as your pink notebook. At the top it should say the 8th of the 9th 2015. Yeah. About halfway down then under the date again, there's a phone call from an RO2 where it says:
"Mavis slapped in the face by another patient. This patient is a lot bi gger than Mavis. She was quite verbal. Staff were waiting for something to happen. Mavis was in her bedroommost of the day. She care up for her tea. Staff turned her back for a second and Mavis's face looks as if she was badly sunburnt. Other ${ }^{15} 24$ patient intended to do harm"
A. They would ring you, you know, when an incident happened, but they never told you what patient had done it. Basically, they'd turned their back on it but they
were expecting it, so they really shouldn't have turned their back. But things happen that quick.
102 Q. Yeah, yeah. Then the next day, just on the top of the next page, you have noted yourself as having had a phone call about 8:30 about the incident.
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
"The person you spoke to was only in and wasn't aware of it. Told that person what you had been told and advi sed that if Mavis was seri ousl y hurt, you would have no option but to go for corporate negl i gence or corporate mansl aughter. We were thi nking of looking into whet her we could get an injunction and woul d speak to someone el se in the Trust, H90, who is in charge of nursi ng. "

On down the page, there's more discussion that same day and into the next day, the 10th September, about Mavis, this incident and that other patient. was it satisfactorily resolved, do you remember?
A. Not really. They did say they would try to keep them apart but there was always the worry and you were always getting phone calls, you know, things had happened. Some could have been like the patient put her foot out and Mavis fell over it; it could have been deliberate or accidental. But, I mean, we were told that if Mavis had herself done something, the first we would know about it was if the police turned up at the door, if Mavis had seriously injured somebody that way.

So I would assume that that's the same with these patients, their families wouldn't have been told unless they done something serious and the police had arrived up on their doorstep to say they had injured another patient quite badly.

But we don't know whether before the resettlement, whether there was any incidents like that there because my father would have took the call. But I think he would have said if he knew that she was being hit or things like that there.

104 Q. Yeah.
A. Further back, maybe they didn't tell you things like that there, you know. If it happened and she wasn't hurt, why do we need to know? I don't know.
105 Q. I think in your statement you had described your impression that the Trust wanted to move patients out "in bulk", is the phrase you used. Can you tell the Inquiry what you mean by that?
A. We11, there was one Sunday that we were there and like a minibus came and took suitcases and -- it was my husband actually seen it -- you know, quite a number of patients out. When we had asked at the ward had they gone to some place like Mavis was going to, the ward sister said no, they went to nursing. The doctor, the doctor gave the ward sister, you know, you know the way they say looks could kill, she wasn't pleased that we were told these people had gone in bulk to nursing. As far as we know, the patients that were moved had no
family, you know, so there was nobody really -- so I don't know whether that's of any significance or not.

106 Q. Just can you remember roughly approximately when that was, even the year?
A. I don't know. I don't know because, I mean, when Mavis was resettled, there was only four, four patients left in the ... Four. I think there was only four left.

It could have been that year there, because she moved in 2016. Because once the bulk of the troubled patients were moved, these other ones were left were quite quiet so she wasn't as stressed at that stage.

107 Q. You told us she moved in October '16 then?
A. uh-huh.

108 Q. It was on her birthday?
A. Uh-huh.

109 Q. How is she getting on now?
A. She seems more content. There's no banging the head and they haven't had to give her PRN for a number -you know, a couple of years. So she seems -- she seems content. But there's the odd time when the fist will go up and she will yell. I think if they find out what's annoyed her and can, you know, change it as far as they can, you know, that will calm her down. He said in Muckamore you couldn't get her out and now we get her out every Sunday for a drive in the car.
110 Q. That's exactly what I wanted --
A. We have got her out in the car three times. No, four times. I tell a lie, I think it's four times. We took her to the donkey sanctuary. She has always been used
to animals at home. we got her out of the car, walked her over to the donkeys, thinking this is going to be good; I've never seen her move so fast to get back to the car. I got my husband to drive up to the gate and I said you get out and pet the donkey. So he gets out and I said "Mavis, look at Harry, he is petting the donkey and he is not doing any harm, and the donkey is here", and she goes [facial expression]. She wasn't going to be tricked into getting out of the car again. I don't know. She has always been used to animals at home so I don't know why she didn't like this.

111 Q. But as you say, she is at least prepared to go out on a trip.
A. At Muckamore, I think at christmas, they had a magician in. Mavis didn't go to watch him but they brought the rabbit around and let it sit on her knee so she was able, you know. We don't know why, whether the donkey was too big. My brother had a goat. I know a goat is not as big as a donkey, so I don't know. Maybe the goat went for her one day when we weren't looking.
Four times we got her out in the car and she will just not get out of the car for us.

112 Q. But she is at least prepared to go that far?
A. To get into the car with us, yeah. when she comes back, that's why we think she is content too, she will get out of the car to go back into the houses. We worried the first time we got her into the car and that would be it her, we wouldn't be able to get her out again and we'd have to feed her and dress her and
everything else in the car.
113 Q. Of course, of course. There's two specific things I would just like to ask you about, because one of the aspects of the Inquiry's Terms of Reference is the work and the role of two particular bodies, and you have mentioned them in the course of your exhibits. If I could ask you just to turn up page 043, and it's 91. So it's gone on a wee bit, it should be back to that minute that we looked at at the start. okay.

Now, at the top of the page there, it's about the third line down, there's a minute. It just says:
"Lorinda was concerned in the house with three I adi es with no verbal communi cations, they would not be able to informanyone of any concerns." Then it says "expl ai ned RQ A woul d regul at e. "

Do you know anything about the RQIA or what it does?
A. They basically regulate care homes. I think they do hospitals as well. Just they do an inspection once a year, $I$ think.

114 Q. okay.
A. When they do the Triangle, we were contacted and asked if we have any concerns.
115 Q. okay.
A. And I think if we do have any concerns, they will see that they are dealt with, you know. But at the minute, we are overall happy. I mean, there was teething
problems at the beginning when she moved but that's only to be expected, so she seems to be all right.
116 Q. Okay. So you've had some dealings with them then?
A. Yes, they ring. when they are doing an inspection, we get a phone call from them.
117 Q. okay. Could you turn then just two pages before that, then. It's the last of your handwritten notes there. It should be 043-88. At the top of the page, it says:
"No previ ous mention of policy change. No account taken of stress, di gnity, ot her patients, including children and the doctor". Then you have written "Patient and Cl ient Council" and a phone them there.

Just to put this in context, I think this note, to be fair, relates to one of the teething problems at Triangle, it's not to do with Muckamore. Isn't that right?
A. I think so. I am not $100 \%$ sure.

118 Q. Yeah. But that organisation, the Patient and Client Council, had you any dealings with it and do you understand what it does?
A. They were in contact with me at the beginning of this process. I think the first time I talked to them was at the Balmoral show at one point.
119 Q. When you say "the process", Lorinda, what do you mean?
A. I remember the gir1 ringing me and saying my concern about this here and her nose, but I could be thinking different. They rung me about that there and said they
would keep in touch but I never heard from them again. okay. okay. I suppose finally, Lorinda, as a family member, as Mavis's next-of-kin, is there anything about the resettlement process that you would like to say?
A. Well, I think they made it more stressful. This is my own opinion, I don't know what other families feel. I just think they made it more stressful than they needed to do. They had meetings every month. I'm not working so it wasn't too bad for me, but, you know, my brother had to take time off work to go. I think there was too 15:35 many meetings when they had nothing really else to say other than what they said the month before.

You know, Mavis was moving with two ladies from Muckamore, and I don't know why they couldn't just say this is the proposed ladies that are going with her. we were have understood if it changed, if they found there was somebody more compatible with them. Because my concern too was see the way that they tell you she's been hit or tripped up, was it one of them two ladies that had done it and that they were moving them with her? You will never know because they never tell you. They could have been, you know. But because they have got three staff on during the day and two at night and they are all kept in the room, they are all kept separate. You know, they are together in the room and they have got each other's company but they are never, you know, near enough to come and thump somebody. whether they did it because they were stressed, I don't
know, because the whole three, from what I can see, are all more content.
121 Q. Yes. Okay. I suppose finally, is there anything else you'd like to say to the Inquiry on Mavis's behalf or on your family's behalf?
A. I don't think so, no.

MR. MEEVOY: Those are all the questions I have. It may be that the Chair and the Panel members have some questions.

## END OF EXAM NATI ON BY MR. MEEVOY

## THE WTNESS WAS QUESTI ONED BY THE I NQU RY PANEL

AS FQLONG:
CHA RPERSON: Professor Murphy has a few questions for you.
PROF. MRPHY: Usually when you go to a hospital, you go for treatment, don't you? I am just wondering whether you were aware of any treatment that your sister was receiving? Because she had a number of difficulties, like not going out. I think in your statement somewhere, it says for ten years?
A. She is on quite a lot of medication which I don't remember her ever being on at home. When she was at home, you know, when she went off her bottle, it was have sat her on her knee with arms held down and somebody held another arm and somebody hold her feet, and as soon as she yelled, the spoon went in. Provided
there was no lumps in it, it was smooth, she would eat it. But if there was, it would be spat out. Things like that there.

It was very difficult. I know autistic people can have 15:37 problems when they are coming off that there. But in Muckamore they have got her to eat, although her food has to be now mashed, and her drink. They got her to eat then. But she is on an awful lot of medication. I think if she was on medication when we were younger at home, I would have known because we would have had to get the tablet into her some way, you know. We were all involved in this team effort to get her, at the beginning, to eat, and then, you know, gradually, as long as she went up and spoon-fed her, you know, at the ${ }_{15: 38}$ beginning. Now she can eat all right, both hands can, you know, you know. She can't use like a knife and fork, it will be like a spoon. But I don't know whether --
122 Q. You didn't hear anything of behavioural specialists or psychologists coming to help her with things like not going out, with head banging, with her obsession with the toilet, things like that? As far as you knew, it was just the medication that was treatment, is that right?
A. As far as I know because I don't think -- to reason with her, I don't think, you know, they could reason with her, you know, to say why are you doing this here? Because she is non-verbal and I don't think she would
understand. They wouldn't be able to get from her why she was doing it, you know. These tablets, they just give her an extra tablet if she was that stressed, you know, to calm her down.

They would try -- in Muckamore, they told me they would try a painkiller first case if it was coming from -- if the pain was causing the stress. We noticed when the patients moved that were more stressed themselves, that's when she got worse, you know, so we assume that that's what was causing it, because now she is so calm. They are starting to try to reduce her medication in the Triangle.
123 Q. But as far as you know when she was in Muckamore, they didn't draw up behavioural guidelines to try and help her with that kind of stress?
A. Not that I'm aware of, no.

124 Q. Okay. Thank you.
DR. MAXXELL: Can I just ask you a little bit about the resettlement process. You were having monthly meetings with the staff at Muckamore Abbey. It also says in this attachment that in one of the meetings they talked about the Northern Trust -- I presume NT means Northern Trust -- were going to hold meetings and that you were going to go to a meeting on the 14th June 2013. Can you tell me a little bit about how much engagement you had with the Northern Trust, who I think were responsible for paying for the care after she left?
A. There usually was somebody from the Northern Trust at
the meeting, because I think we asked, you know, because there seemed to be the Belfast Trust and the Northern Trust. It was explained that Mavis's home address was in the Northern Trust, that that's why they were involved. It was really them they was pushing. As far as I am aware, it was them that was looking for the new placement.
125 Q. So the Northern Trust were really pushing to get their patients out of muckamore?
A. Uh-huh.

126 Q. Do you have any relationship with Northern Trust now, because they are responsible for paying the Triangle?
A. Mavis has now been moved into the community now and she is under a lady who is based at whiteabbey Hospital. I suppose she is still in Northern Trust but she doesn't have any direct dealings with the ones that were higher up in the Northern Trust, that came to the meetings. she is more, as far as I am aware, more under community rather than...
127 Q. But do you speak to this person?
A. Yes. They have a meeting, an annual review once a month, and we have got her telephone number. If we have any concerns, we can go to her and say such-and-such has happened and we have spoken to the Triangle and we couldn't come to an agreement, can you help. So far, that's not happened, you know.
128 Q. Okay. You have talked very clearly, very powerfully, about how you were being offered placements that clearly Mavis wasn't going to be able to cope with,
with doing her own laundry and cooking and things. Do you think that the staff on the wards knew she wouldn't be able to do that when she was in Muckamore? Do you think the staff on the ward knew that?
A. They would have known that there but I don't know whether the staff on the ward -- because any time we went to these placements, it was somebody from the Northern Trust went with us, you know. I don't know whether they involved the staff on the ward.
129 Q. So maybe the information from the staff who are actually with her on a day-to-day basis wasn't getting through to the resettlement team?
A. It could just be a breakdown in communication, we don't know. Because the staff would have clearly known that couldn't have done that. Her liquid has to be thickened now, and like if she was put somewhere unsuitable, she could just turn the tap on and take a drink out of it, you know, that could be a major problem for her. We don't know what way they are involved in it.
130 Q. Just one final question from me. You talk about things deteriorating when they started to close Muckamore and they were merging wards sometime after 2012. You did mention the staff had said to you around that first Christmas that Mavis was distressed because there were staff she didn't know. Did the staff ever talk about the effect that it was having on them, the merging of the wards?
A. No, not really. I mean, no, not really. One nurse got
attacked by a male patient. She did tell us about that there because obviously she had this big black mark on her face, but she didn't say it was anything to do with the merger, you know. They just said it was lucky there was another member of staff there to intervene because she was quite small and I think -- I don't know this man -- from what she described, he was quite tall. But there was no talk -- you know, they never talked about I am losing my job or anything like that. They didn't talk about that.
CHA RPERSON I just want to understand something about timing, and Mavis's behaviour. Originally, she was in Muckamore when she was in her teens as a weekly boarder, up until about 18 ?
A. What we can gather is back when she was 18 , there wasn't really very many places in the community for daycare. We have always realised that she has enjoyed the daycare then since that incident going to school. So we think that my parents were told that the only way to get daycare was to come in as a boarder, she would be entitled to it.
131 Q. Right. Then she becomes a full-time patient?
A. Yes.

132 Q. Which must have been in around 1982?
A. Yeah.

133 Q. Once she was a full-time patient, did you still get to see at home? Did she still come home? Did she come out of Muckamore?
A. No. Because I think my mother wasn't $100 \%$ well. I
think too because they had said that they could do more for her in muckamore by not having this, you know, change, I think that's what they decided.
134 Q. So, in 2016 she's moved to the Triangle?
A. Uh-huh. Mavis out of Muckamore?
A. She wouldn't come, you know. we have tried to get her to go out for a walk in the grounds. I mean that incident when my mother died, we don't think my father actually told her but she seemed to sense something was wrong. But to get her out the door was like, you know, torture to her.
137 Q. Since she's left Muckamore, you have succeeded in getting her out of the Triangle a couple of times at least?
A. We take her out every Sunday in the car but we can't get her out of the car. We have got her out in the car four times, we can't get her out of the car. She seems to enjoy the drive and the music, which is always on quite loud. She seems to like it quite loud, you know, 15:47 like one of these teenager's cars going around and you can hear the music outside. She likes it quite loud. we have tried to get her out and it seems to stress her too much.
Q. Sure, I understand that. What do you think has changed to enable you at least you can get her into the car, even if you have to play loud music at her? what has changed that allows you to do that?
A. I think the Triangle staff had been, you know -- at the 15:47 beginning, she went to a day centre, Ellis Court in Carrickfergus. They got a taxi and they persevered in getting her out and in. So, we had a go.
139 Q. Right. So the Triangle staff actually started this by bringing her out?
A. And then we had a go and she was all right with it.

140 Q. A11 right. I want to go back to another topic, because you mentioned PRN.
A. Uh-huh.

141 Q. What did you understand was the use of PRN with Mavis?
A. It's additional medication -- I can't remember what the name of the tablet is -- if she gets really stressed and they can't calm her down. They have told us at times they would give her painkillers just in case she is in pain somewhere, that that is causing the stress. Then if everything else fails and they can't get her calmed down, they will give her the extra wee tablet to calm her down rather than banging her head and continuing for a couple of hours.
142 Q. And obviously you don't know how often that was used, 15:48 but would they tell you on occasions when it was used?
A. We asked for them in Muckamore to give us a record of how often it was done, and we have asked in the Triangle as well. They haven't had to give it, you
know -- at the beginning they had to give it to her because she was adjusting to a completely strange environment.
143 Q. At the Triangle?
A. Yes. Now they haven't had to give it to her for a couple of years, so all seems to be well and there's no banging the head. You know, there's still the yelling when she is annoyed.
But when you asked for a record from Muckamore about how often she'd been given PRN, did you get that record?
A. I got that there.
Q. And an explanation of why it had been used?
A. I'm not sure whether they -- I think it's because she was just upset but then they didn't say. It's hard to know what upset her, you know. If it was the beds, you know, they might have said, you know, that didn't come back from the laundry. You know, we have got a pink pillowcase and yellow duvet covers and, you know, she wants that the same.
146 Q. And that would upset her?
A. That would upset her, yeah.

147 Q. That change?
A. It didn't matter it was on her bed, it was these other beds, for some reason. Maybe because she was sitting on the bed, she couldn't see her own bed.
148 Q. Just give me a second, I am sorry. Then we have seen in your diary that there seems to have been quite a lot of contact from muckamore when things had happened, if
she got an injury or something like that?
A. Yes, they would ring but they would never tell you. As I said, they would never tell you who it was, you know, that way.
149 Q. And that must have been frustrating, I imagine?
A. It was worrying in case it was the two ladies who were moving with her were the ones, you know. Then it would have been out of the frying pan and into the fire.
150 Q. I can understand that because you wanted to know something about the people she'd be living with?
A. Yeah, even the names at the beginning.

151 Q. A11 right. Was there one key person who would make the telephone calls to you to let you know when something had happened to Mavis?
A. No. It was whichever nurse was on charge on the ward that day when it happened.

152 Q. But it would seem to happen on the day that something bad had happened to Mavis?
A. Yes. They usually did ring on the same day.

153 Q. All right. Just give me a second. You have mentioned in your statement something about $£ 500$ in benefit, and the money that was going to be needed for Mavis. Also, you talked about buying clothes and things for her.
A. Yeah.

Did you ever ask for an account from the hospital or from the Trust as to what had been spent?
A. We did get an account, because I got the account main1y to see what was being spent on her and to make sure that, you know, I spent at least, you know, the same
amount, you know. You know, that I wasn't being mean to her, you know, that way.
155 Q. I am sure you weren't. But you did ask for an account from the hospital, and you did get one?
A. Uh-huh, yes.
Q. And do you still have that?
A. Yes.

157 Q. Okay. Would you be able to hand it over if we ask for it?
A. uh-huh.

158 Q. Al1 right. Also, the PRN, do you still have that record or not?
A. It's probably in the diaries but $I$ could have -- I can have a look.

159 Q. It's just you said that they had handed over to you a record of when the PRN had been used?
A. I did but --

160 Q. That might have got lost.
A. I'm not sure. I probably have it somewhere but I will check.

161 Q. Don't worry too much. If you come across it, can you let us have it?
A. Yes.

CHA RPERSON That is all that I have. I will just ask my colleagues if they have anything else. Mr. McEvoy?
MR. MEVOY: No, thank you, Sir.
CHA RPERSON Can I just thank you very much. Is there anything else you wanted to say after that string of questions from us?
A. No, I am okay. Unless you want to whisper something quickly? No, I think that's it.

CHAL RPERSON A11 right. Can I just thank you very much indeed for coming to tell us about Mavis. It's been very useful indeed. Thank you. If you'd like to 15:53 go with Jaclyn.

## THE WTNESS THEN WTHDREW

CHA RPERSON Right, thank you very much. 10 o'clock 15:54 tomorrow morning. Thank you very much.

THE I NQU RY WAS THEN AD OURNED TO TUESDAY, 11TH OCTOBER AT 10: 00 A. M

