MUCKAMORE ABBEY HOSPITAL INQUIRY SITTING AT CORN EXCHANGE, CATHEDRAL QUARTER, BELFAST

HEARD BEFORE THE INQUIRY PANEL ON TUESDAY, 7TH JUNE 2022 - DAY 2

Gwen Malone Stenography Services certify the following to be a verbatim transcript of their stenographic notes in the above-named action.

GWEN MALONE STENOGRAPHY SERVICES

APPEARANCES

MR. TOM KARK QC CHAI RPERSON:

MR. TOM KARK QC - CHAIRPERSON PROF. GLYNIS MURPHY DR. ELAINE MAXWELL INQUIRY PANEL:

COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY:

MR. SEAN DORAN QC MS. DENISE KILEY BL MR. MARK MCEVOY BL MS. SHIRLEY TANG BL MS. SOPHIE BRIGGS BL MR. JAMES TOAL BL

MS. LORRAINE KEOWN SOLICITOR TO THE INQUIRY

SECRETARY TO THE INQUIRY: MS. JACLYN RICHARDSON

ASSISTED BY: STEVEN MONTGOMERY

MR. STEVEN MONTGOME MS. KAREN MCGUIGAN MS. FINOLA FRIEL

FOR ACTION FOR MUCKAMORE & SOCIETY OF PARENTS AND FRIENDS OF MUCKAMORE:

INSTRUCTED BY:

MS. MONYE ANYADIKE-DANES QC MS. HELENA WILSON MR. STEPHEN McQUITTY

PHOENIX LAW SOLICITORS INSTRUCTED BY:

MR. CONOR MAGUIRE QC MS. VICTORIA ROSS FOR GROUP 3:

O'REILLY STEWART SOLICITORS INSTRUCTED BY:

FOR BELFAST HEALTH & SOCIAL CARE TRUST:

MR. JOSEPH AIKEN QC MS. ANNA MCLARNON BL MR. MATTHEW YARDLEY BL MS. LAURA KING BL

DIRECTORATE OF LEGAL SERVICES INSTRUCTED BY:

MR. ANDREW McGUINNESS BL FOR DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH:

MS. EMMA TREMLETT BL MRS. SARA ERWIN BL

DEPARTMENTAL SOLICITORS OFFICE INSTRUCTED BY:

MR. MICHAEL NEESON BL MR. DANIEL LYTTLE BL FOR RQIA:

DIRECTORATE OF LEGAL SERVICES INSTRUCTED BY:

MR. MARK ROBINSON QC FOR PSNI:

MR. COLIN HANNA DCI JILL DUFFIE INSTRUCTED BY:

COPYRIGHT: Transcripts are the work of Gwen Malone Stenography Services and they must not be photocopied or reproduced in any manner or supplied or loaned by an appellant to a respondent or to any other party without written permission of Gwen Malone Stenography Services

<u>I NDEX</u>			PAGE					
CONTINUED	OPENING	SUBMISSION	BY	MR.	DORAN			5

1	THE INQUIRY RESUMED ON TUESDAY, 7TH JUNE 2022 AS	
2	FOLLOWS:	
3		
4	CHAIRMAN: Good morning everybody. Just two very	
5	shorts bits of housekeeping before Mr. Doran continues	10:31
6	his opening.	
7		
8	Can I just remind everybody that 8:30 is the start time	
9	and I'm afraid if you do turn up early, you won't be	
10	able to get in. And if people could leave their	10:31
11	lanyards rather than take them home, otherwise we're	
12	going to rapidly run out, so leave them on the desk or	
13	leave them at the front reception. Thank you,	
14	Mr. Doran.	
15		10:31
16	CONTINUED OPENING SUBMISSION BY MR. DORAN:	
17		
18	MR. DORAN: Thank you, Chair. Yesterday I covered the	
19	first five topics in my opening introduction: Core	
20	Participants, background to the Inquiry, legal	10:31
21	framework and the history of Muckamore Abbey Hospital.	
22	Today I hope to finish my opening. The remaining	
23	topics are: Snapshot of the Hospital today, position	
24	of the Hospital within the health and social care	
25	structure, provisions governing admission, terms of	10:32
26	reference, witness evidence, production of documents,	
27	history of reporting on the hospital, criminal	
28	proceedings, schedule and conclusion.	
29		

1 I should flag up at this stage that topic 9, terms of 2 reference, and topic 12, history of reporting on the 3 Hospital, will take considerable time. The other topics, I will deal with more briefly. 4 5 10:32 6 Yesterday I closed my survey of the history of the 7 Hospital with the observation that patient numbers had 8 fallen to 41 by August 2021. That leads me on to a 9 snapshot of the Hospital and its patients today. 10 10:32 11 I want to look at the physical composition of the 12 Hospital first. You can see on the screen a 13 colour-coded map or site plan of the premises. The 14 Inquiry has also had video footage taken of the 15 Hospital buildings and the grounds. I'm going to ask 10:33 16 for that footage to be played in a few minutes' time. 17 Many of those present today will be very familiar with 18 the Hospital, others may not. I hope that the footage 19 will assist in setting the scene for the Inquiry. 20 footage lasts around nine minutes or so. 10:33 21 22 Just before we play the footage, we can have a brief 23 look at the site plan. The colour-coding indicates the 24 state of play in May 2020. The areas highlighted in 25 green are known as the core hospital. These areas are 10:33 the Cranfield Ward, number 13 on the plan; Six Mile 26 27 Ward, number 3; the Social Training Centre, number 2; the Ardmore Ward, number 14 - and as we will see in a 28

29

moment in the footage, Ardmore is now known as the

1	Donegore and Killead Wards; the Erne Ward, number 4,	
2	which closed in August 2021; the Moyola Day Care Ward,	
3	number 17; the community centre, called the Cosy	
4	Corner, number 9; and lastly, the swimming pool, which	
5	is marked number 23.	10:34
6		
7	You can see that the support services and	
8	administration buildings are highlighted in light blue.	
9	The library is located on the right-hand side as one	
10	drives into the Hospital. On the opposite side is the	10:35
11	Administration building, marked number 26. The other	
12	buildings in blue are Estates, number 19; the boiler	
13	house, number 20; the general stores, number 21; the	
14	garages for vehicle repairs, number 22; and the	
15	laundry, number 24.	10:35
16		
17	The buildings in red show the areas which are now	
18	closed, which are the Greenan Ward, marked 5; the	
19	Moylena Ward, marked number 6; the Mallow Ward, marked	
20	15; and the Moyle Ward, marked 16.	10:35
21		
22	The dark blue buildings are the ones which have been	
23	identified as suitable to be demolished.	
24		
25	Finally, you will see some buildings highlighted in	10:36
26	yellow to the top left-hand side of the plan. These	
27	are bungalows and houses which are used for	
28	resettlement. I will come back to the wards in a	
29	moment. First, we are going to play the footage,	

T	which, as I've said, lasts for about nine minutes or	
2	so.	
3	CHAIRMAN: Okay.	
4		
5		10:36
6	VIDEO FOOTAGE PLAYED TO THE INQUIRY	
7		
8	CHAIRMAN: Mr. Doran, can I just mention, for the sake	
9	of transparency, that I have visited, as you know, the	
10	Hospital and the grounds on two occasions; once with	10:46
11	many members, I think, or a number of members of the	
12	counsel team and once with the Panel. Those were	
13	simply familiarisation visits - obviously it's a very	
14	large site - and on the last occasion we went into, I	
15	think, two of the wards. But obviously we didn't	10:46
16	receive any information, other than familiarisation	
17	with the Hospital. Thank you.	
18	MR. DORAN: Today, the Hospital provides inpatient	
19	assessment and treatment facilities for adults aged 18	
20	years and over who have severe learning disabilities	10:46
21	and mental health needs, forensic needs or challenging	
22	behaviour. It provides that assessment and treatment	
23	in an acute psychiatric care setting. The Inquiry	
24	asked the trust to provide details of the current	
25	number of patients at the hospital. The total number	10:47
26	of patients at the hospital today is 37.	
27		
28	Inpatient assessment and treatment now takes place	
29	across three units on the Hospital site. We can see	

1	those units on the map that is now to the right of the	
2	screen. Those buildings contain the following wards:	
3	Cranfield 1, marked 13, is an adult male admission ward	
4	for assessment and treatment of those with a learning	
5	disability and challenging behaviour. Seven patients	10:4
6	currently reside in Cranfield 1.	
7		
8	Cranfield 2, also at 13, provides ongoing treatment for	
9	adult males. Eight patients currently reside at	
10	Cranfield 2.	10:4
11		
12	The Psychiatric Intensive Care Unit Cranfield PICU was	
13	closed in December 2018. At that time, the Trust	
14	stated that ward closure was temporary, to ensure safe	
15	staffing levels across the site. No patients have	10:4
16	resided there since December 2018.	
17		
18	Six Mile, marked 3 on the site plan, provides forensic	
19	services for the assessment and treatment of men with a	
20	learning disability who have been referred by the	10:4
21	criminal justice system and require low secure	
22	accommodation. Three patients currently reside in the	
23	Six Mile assessment ward and six patients reside in the	
24	treatment ward.	
25		10:4
26	Killead and Donegore, formerly Ardmore, marked 13 on	
27	the site plan, are female admissions wards for	
28	assessment and treatment. Eight patients currently	

reside in Killead and five patients reside in Donegore.

1 Patients also have access to the on-site day care 2 centre, called Moyola, which is marked 17 on the plan. 3 The Inquiry will, of course, be hearing more about the 4 5 working arrangements in the Hospital in due course. 6 very general terms, treatment is provided by a core 7 multidisciplinary team, consisting of psychiatrists, 8 psychologists, social workers and nurses. Patients can 9 also be referred to other disciplines, such as 10 physiotherapy, speech and language therapy, dietetics, 10:50 11 behavioural services, pediatry, occupational therapy 12 and therapeutic services. The most recent RQIA report 13 on the Hospital, dated July 2021, noted that admission 14 to wards within the Hospital is now significantly 15 restricted, any decision to admit new patients is risk 10:50 16 assessed on an individual patient basis, alternative 17 options must be fully explored before admission is 18 made. 19 20 Of course, the Panel will be aware that the broad 10:50 policy objective of resettlement remains in place. 21 22 a result of that policy and the limited number of new 23 admissions, the patient population is now significantly 24 lower than before. The current patient profile is very 25 far removed from that of earlier years of the Hospital 10:51 that I described yesterday afternoon. 26 27 28 I hope that this synopsis of the current position at

29

the hospital will be of assistance to the Panel.

1 Panel will receive more evidence about that in due 2 course. 3 4 I will now look at the position of the Hospital within 5 the health and social care structure in Northern 10:51 6 The Inquiry will be receiving documentation 7 and hearing detailed evidence about this matter in the 8 course of its work. There are two basic flowcharts 9 that may be helpful for illustrative purposes at this 10 They have been prepared by Inquiry counsel to 10:51 11 assist with the opening. I fully acknowledge that 12 these are attempts at simplification and will not 13 capture all of the intricacies of the true position. 14 15 If one looks at the first flowchart, one can see that 10:52 16 overall responsibility for health and social care in 17 Northern Ireland lies with the Department of Health. 18 with certain duties delegated to organisations which 19 report to the Department. Those organisations can be seen at the second tier of the flowchart. 20 10:52 21 22 The Public Health Agency provides specialist clinical 23 advice and guidance in relation to the health of the 24 general population. This includes advising on the effectiveness of healthcare initiatives, providing 25 10:53

26

27

28

health in the population.

quidance on health protection measures, monitoring

health needs and undertaking work to promote better

The Business Services Organisation provides key business support right across the health and social care service. Its functions include paying salaries, recruitment of new staff, providing legal advice and procuring supplies and vital equipment. Services were, 10:53 until very recently, commissioned by the Health and Social Care Board. The Board was dissolved on 31st March 2022 and, from 1st April 2022, its functions transferred to a new body. The new body is called the Strategic Planning and Performance Group, or SPPG, 10:53 which is part of the Department of Health.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

while services are commissioned by the Strategic Planning and Performance Group, they are provided by five health and social care trusts. Muckamore Abbey 10:54 Hospital today, as one can see from the flowchart, is operated by the Belfast Health and Social Care Trust. The Belfast Trust was established in April 2007. the largest integrated Health and Social Care Trust in the United Kingdom. The Inquiry will receive more 10:54 detailed information about the governance, management and accountability structures of the Belfast Trust at a later stage. The Inquiry will also wish to hear about how those structures have evolved over the years. For present purposes, this is a just a brief synopsis in 10:55 order to assist in understanding where the Hospital sits within the Trust today.

2829

Looking now at the second flowchart, the Trust is

1 managed by a Board of Directors. The Board is made up 2 of a chairperson, seven non-executive directors, five executive directors and seven other directors. There 3 are a further three directors who are not members of 4 5 the Trust Board. 10:55 6 7 The Director For Mental Health and Intellectual 8 Disability Services is responsible for the efficient 9 and effective running of all mental health and learning disability services for the Trust. This, of course, 10 10:55 11 includes Muckamore Abbey Hospital. 12 13 Learning disability services are headed up by a senior 14 team, which includes a co-director and the Chair of Division, working alongside professional group leaders 15 10:56 16 for medical, nursing and social care staff. 17 Professional leaders are managerially responsible to 18 the Director For Mental Health and Intellectual Disability Services, but also report to the executive 19 20 director for their professional group on any 10:56 profession-specific issues. 21 22 Medical leadership is provided by the clinical 23 24 director, who manages all of the medical staff within 25 the division. Nursing leadership is provided by the 10:56 divisional nurse, to whom all nursing staff are 26 27 expected to report any professional issues. divisional social worker is the professional lead for 28

all social care staff within the division.

1	Under the senior divisional team, learning disability	
2	services are managed by service managers, who report to	
3	the co-director. There is a specific service manager	
4	for Muckamore Abbey Hospital who is responsible for the	
5	day-to-day running of the Hospital. That includes all $_{ ext{10}}$):57
6	of the services that are provided at the hospital,	
7	including inpatient care, day services and outpatient	
8	clinics. The service manager is then supported by	
9	three assistant service managers and together they are	
10	responsible for the delivery of care within the):57
11	Hospital. Now, whilst it is managed by the Belfast	
12	Trust, Muckamore Abbey Hospital provides services to	
13	people with learning disabilities from the Belfast, the	
14	Northern and the Southeastern Health and Social Care	
15	Trust.):58
16		
17	I should say, Panel, that the transcript, the site	
18	plan, the footage and, of course, the slides will be	
19	available for all to peruse at their leisure after	
20	today's sessions.):58
21	CHAIRMAN: Thank you.	
22	MR. DORAN: Before I turn to the Terms of Reference, I	
23	want to touch upon a topic that will require more	
24	focused examination at a later stage in the Inquiry.	
25	This relates to the law on mental health in Northern):58
26	Ireland and, in turn, to the legal basis for admission	
27	of patients to the Hospital.	

29

Patients can be admitted on a voluntary basis or

The central piece of legislation governing detained. admission to the Hospital as a detained patient has been the Mental Health (Northern Ireland) Order 1986. The 1986 Order contains detailed provisions governing the care, treatment and protection of persons with a 10:59 mental disorder. The Order distinguishes between detention in hospital for assessment and detention for treatment. The Order prescribes detailed criteria that must be met for admission, founded on examination and recommendation by a suitably qualified medical 10:59 professional. The Order also contains multiple provisions governing continued authorisation for detention and for the discharge of patients. The Order further provides for the constitution of a Mental Health Review Tribunal, now known simply as the Review 11:00 Tribunal. Detained patients or their nearest relative may apply to the Tribunal, which has power to direct discharge of the patient from hospital.

1920

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

The law in this area is in a state of flux in this jurisdiction. I've already mentioned the Bamford Review and its recommendations for reform of learning disability services. Another of Bamford 's recommendations was total reform of mental health legislation in Northern Ireland. It recommended a single comprehensive legislative framework governing both mental health and mental capacity. It proposed legislation based on respect for decision-making capacity, where it exists, regardless of whether a

11:00

11:00

person has a mental health issue.

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

Considerable time was taken to develop the new legislative framework and in May 2016 the Mental Capacity Act was enacted by the Northern Ireland 11:01 The Act's provisions are being implemented Phase 1 implementation took place between in phases. October and December 2019. However, until the Act is fully commenced, the provisions of the 1986 Order will continue to operate alongside the provisions of the new 11:01 legislation. Crucially, for our purposes, the 1986 Order was the sole legislation governing admission to the Hospital until late 2019. Since that time, and even today, where the critical for admission under the 1986 Order are met, the framework of the 1986 Order 11:02 must be applied. That will continue to be the case for some time while the new provisions are being introduced in stages.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

I do not need to say anything more about these

provisions for the purposes of the opening. The

Inquiry will have the opportunity to examine the
relevant provisions in greater detail at a later stage.

The Inquiry will also, at an appropriate time, wish to
consider the provisions of the 2016 Act in greater

detail. The Inquiry will also, of course, wish to be
kept fully informed of the progress of the Act's
implementation.

This leads me to the Terms of Reference. I've already 1 2 referred to the Terms of Reference and to their 3 fundamental place in the Inquiry. I'm now going to look at those terms in some detail. I will pause. 4 5 where appropriate, to reflect on how the Inquiry might 11:03 address the various issues raised. 6 7 8 The Terms of Reference begin by stating the Inquiry's 9 core objectives. Those are: To examine the issue of abuse of patients at Muckamore Abbey Hospital; to 10 11 · 03 11 determine why the abuse happened and the range of 12 circumstances that allowed it to happen; to ensure that 13 such abuse does not occur again at Muckamore Abbey 14 Hospital, or any other institution providing similar services in Northern Ireland. 15 11:04 16 17 It is, I think, helpful to have the core objectives of the Inquiry set out in such concise terms. The core 18 19 objectives remind us that this Inquiry is not only 20 committed to investigating past abuse, it is also 11:04 charged with the significant responsibility of seeking 21 22 to ensure that such abuse does not occur again in the 23 future. 24 25 Importantly, the Inquiry must not only investigate what 11:04 happened, it must also determine why it happened and 26 27 the range of circumstances that allowed it to happen.

28

29

This will, of course, require the Inquiry to receive

evidence from a wide range of sources, both through

1 witness evidence and the receipt of relevant 2 documentation. I will say more about the Inquiry's 3 approach to those matters in due course. 4 5 The next part of the Terms of Reference deals with 11:05 6 Paragraph 2 says that the Inquiry will 7 report and make findings on events that occurred between 2nd December 1999 and 14th June 2021. 8 first, the date of 2nd December 1999 may appear 9 artificial. The reason for that date is related to the 11:05 10 11 legislation. Section 30(3) of the Inquiries Act 2005 12 provides that the Minister may not, without the consent 13 of the Secretary of State, include in the Terms of 14 Reference anything that would require the Inquiry to 15 inquire into events during certain specified periods. 11:06 16 Those periods are (a) before 2nd December 1999 or (b) a 17 period when devolved government in Northern Ireland is 18 suspended. 19 20 Importantly, however, paragraph 3 provides that the 11:06 Inquiry will be able to receive and take account of 21 22 evidence outside of the timeframe of the Terms of Reference where such evidence will assist the Inquiry 23 24 in examining, understanding and reporting on matters 25 within these Terms of Reference. That is very 11.07 important, as otherwise the Inquiry would be precluded 26

27

28

29

from hearing evidence predating 1999 that may be

capable of assisting it to address the Terms of

Reference. On the other hand, the timeframe cannot

1	simply be ignored. When confronted with evidence	
2	relating to earlier events, the Inquiry would have to	
3	consider carefully whether that evidence should be	
4	received and whether it ought to be taken into account	
5	by the Inquiry.	11:0
6		
7	The next part of the Terms of Reference relates to the	
8	next part of the core objective. It is titled simply	
9	"What Occurred".	
10		11:0
11	Paragraph 4 provides generally that the Inquiry will	
12	examine the nature and extent of abuse of patients at	
13	Muckamore Abbey Hospital.	
14		
15	Paragraph 5 goes on to provide a non-exhaustive	11:0
16	definition of abuse. It states that the term "abuse"	
17	may include, but is not restricted to, the following:	
18	(a) physical abuse; (b) sexual abuse; (c) psychological	
19	abuse; (d) mental or emotional abuse; (e) patient	
20	neglect; (f) inappropriate or negligent care; (g)	11:0
21	appropriation of, or improper interference with,	
22	patients' finances or belongings; and (h) other	
23	misbehaviour towards patients.	
24		
25	The words "may include but is not restricted to" are	11:0
26	significant. It would arguably be quite wrong to adopt	
27	a rigid definition of abuse. The examination of abuse	
28	does not lend itself to a tick-box exercise. The	
29	Inquiry Panel may hear evidence of conduct involving	

one of the above forms of abuse or a combination of 1 2 different forms of abuse. The Panel may be told about other forms of misbehaviour that can be characterised 3 as abusive. Critically, the definition in paragraph 5 4 5 is non-exhaustive. It should not be regarded as a 11:09 6 restrictive provision; rather, it should be regarded as 7 an aid to identifying the types of conduct that 8 resulted in this Inquiry being established. 9 Paragraph 6 states that: 10 11:10 11 12 "The Inquiry will examine the role of frontline staff, 13 those with responsibility for clinical and professional 14 oversight, those with leadership and/or management 15 responsibilities within the relevant Health Trusts and 16 any other relevant persons or bodies in respect of such 17 abuse. " 18 19 This paragraph makes it clear that the Inquiry will be looking at the role of staff at all levels - staff on 20 11:10 21 the wards, those with oversight roles and those in 22 positions of management and leadership. The Inquiry 23 will not only examine those roles on paper, it will 24 seek to hear evidence from relevant personnel at all of 25 those different levels of responsibility. 11:11 26

to paragraph 6, which says:

27

28

29

The Panel will also be aware of the important footnote

1	"Note that all references in this document to the	
2	Health Trusts and other bodies with roles and	
3	responsibilities in respect of health and social care	
4	shall include any precursors of such bodies that	
5	existed within the timeframe of these Terms of	11:11
6	Reference. "	
7		
8	Within the Inquiry timeframe there have been	
9	significant structural changes within the healthcare	
10	system. This note makes it clear it that the Inquiry's	11:12
11	remit extends to the pre-existing authorities, as well	
12	as the present ones.	
13		
14	Paragraph 7 provides that:	
15		11:12
16	"The Inquiry will also consider, to the extent	
17	necessary to enable the Inquiry to examine the nature	
18	and extent of abuse of patients, the adherence by staff	
19	and management, the Trusts, the Board and the	
20	Department of Health to relevant statutory obligations,	11:12
21	the regulatory framework, protocols, policies and	
22	guidance in respect of all aspects of service	
23	del i very. "	
24		
25	This paragraph will require the Panel to become	11:12
26	familiar with the relevant law, protocols, policies and	
27	guidance that govern working practices at the hospital	
28	and that govern the management of the Hospital. It	

will also require an understanding of the systems of

1 inspection and regulation. The paragraph invites the 2 Inquiry to consider the issue of compliance: extent were governing rules, policy and guidance 3 complied with? Again, that applies to those working at 4 5 all levels, from those working on the ground right up 11:13 to those working within the relevant authorities. 6 7 8 Paragraph 8 provides that: 9 "The Inquiry will examine the primary and secondary 10 11 · 13 11 causes of such abuse and will address the question of 12 whether the abuse resulted from systemic failings 13 within Muckamore Abbey Hospital or the wider healthcare 14 system in Northern Ireland." 15 11:14 16 This paragraph makes it absolutely clear that the 17 Inquiry will not only look at the conduct of 18 individuals, but will also ask whether there were 19 broader issues in play that resulted in the need for 20 this Inquiry. 11:14 21 22 Looking beyond the conduct of individuals, the Panel 23 may wish to address questions such as: Was there a 24 culture within the Hospital that enabled abuse to go 25 unchallenged? If so, how and why did such a culture 11 · 14 develop? Were there failings at the level of 26 27 management and oversight that contributed to the abuse?

28

29

Moving beyond the Hospital itself, were there systemic

failings within our healthcare system that enabled

1	abuse at the hospital to go unchecked? That does not
2	mean that the Inquiry should undertake a general review
3	of healthcare provision in this jurisdiction. All
4	roads along which the Inquiry can travel must lead back
5	to the Hospital itself. Critically, however, 11:15
6	paragraph 8 will enable the Panel to examine the
7	Hospital within the broader context of the system in
8	which it is located.
9	
10	Chair, that might be a suitable time to take a short 11:16
11	break.
12	CHAIRMAN: Certainly. I think you've got, is it four
13	sections that you're hoping to conclude today?
14	MR. DORAN: Yes. I can say that I will conclude today.
15	CHAIRMAN: Excellent. Well, thank you. It's much
16	cooler in here today, by a simple measure of opening
17	the windows, but that's a relief to all of us. Thank
18	you, Mr. Doran. Quarter of an hour? 15 minutes?
19	MR. DORAN: Yes. shall we say 11:35?
20	CHAIRMAN: Yes, certainly. Fine. Thank you very much. 11:16
21	
22	THE INQUIRY ADJOURNED BRIEFLY AND RESUMED AS FOLLOWS:
23	
24	CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr. Doran.
25	MR. DORAN: The next part of the Terms of Reference 11:36
26	addresses recruitment, retention, training and support.
27	Paragraph 9 provides that:
28	
29	"The Inquiry will examine the policies and practices

1	malating to magnifuset materation toolwing and
1	relating to recruitment, retention, training and
2	support of staff and management at all levels within
3	the Hospital and, where necessary, within other
4	facilities offering comparable services."
5	11:
6	The Terms of Reference then move on to deal with the
7	subject of identifying and responding to concerns.
8	Those paragraphs, 10 to 13, read as follows:
9	
10	"10. The Inquiry will inquire the adequacy of methods
11	available to communicate concerns, including
12	allegations of abuse by staff, patients, relatives and
13	others about the treatment of patients at the Hospital.
14	
15	11. The Inquiry will examine the response to such
16	concerns by frontline staff, those with responsibility
17	for clinical and professional oversight and those with
18	leadership and/or management responsibilities within
19	the relevant Health Trusts, the Health and Social Care
20	Board, the Public Health Agency and the Department of
21	Heal th.
22	
23	12. The Inquiry will examine the operation of all
24	relevant commissioning, supervisory and regulatory
25	agencies, including, but not limited to, those agencies 11:
26	specified in paragraph 11 to determine whether, and if
27	so why, there were failures in the early
28	identification, investigation and resolution of issues

raised about the treatment of patients."

Paragraph 13 reads:

"The Inquiry will also examine the response of other relevant agencies, including the Police Service for Northern Ireland (PSNI), the Patient and Client Council 11:39 (PCC), the Health and Safety Executive (HSE) and the Regulation and Quality Improvement Authority (RQIA) when allegations of abuse of patients at the Hospital were reported to them."

11:39

11:40

11:40

Those paragraphs are largely self-explanatory: When concerns arose, how could those concerns be ventilated? How effective were the responses to those concerns? Significantly, were there failures in the early identification, investigation and resolution of issues raised about the treatment of patients? This last question, in particular, goes beyond the handling of individual complaints; it will require the Inquiry to consider whether there were earlier opportunities missed to detect the issues that were brought to the attention of the relevant authorities when the CCTV footage was uncovered. I will return to this matter when I look at earlier reviews and investigations that have been conducted in respect of the Hospital.

11:40

The list in paragraph 13 is not exhaustive. It mentions four organisations specifically, beginning with the PSNI. I've already mentioned, briefly, the remit of the RQIA, which is a Core Participant in this

1	Inquiry. The paragraph also mentions the Patient and	
2	Client Council and the Health and Safety Executive for	
3	Northern Ireland. The PCC was established by the	
4	Health and Social Care (Reform) Act (Northern Ireland)	
5	2009. In broad terms, it is an enabling body, helping	11:4
6	to articulate the voice of patients and service users	
7	in the planning and delivery of health and social care	
8	services. The PCC interacts and undertakes	
9	consultations with the public to help to ascertain	
10	their views about care services available and also	11:4
11	about proposed changes. The PCC also liaises with	
12	health and social care organisations to channel the	
13	views of the public. The PCC may also support	
14	individuals who wish to complain about care standards	
15	or who need assistance with expressing their views on	11:42
16	the provision of services. As I mentioned yesterday,	
17	the PCC played a role in the public engagement	
18	regarding the Terms of Reference of this Inquiry.	
19		
20	HSENI is an executive non-departmental body sponsored	11:42
21	by the Department for the Economy. It was established	
22	in 1999. It is the enforcing authority for health and	
23	safety standards in the workplace in Northern Ireland.	
24	Its remit covers many areas of employment, including	
25	hospitals. Its focus in respect of Muckamore has been	11:42

26

27

There's one further important point to be made in

on regulating the legal duties owed by the Hospital

authorities to the staff working there.

respect of paragraph 13 of the Terms of Reference. Inquiry will, of course, be looking at how complaints of a criminal nature were dealt with historically in accordance with that paragraph. As the Panel is aware, however, there is an ongoing police investigation that resulted from the recovery of CCTV footage at the Hospital. There is also, or there are also prosecutions arising from the investigation. I will be saying something more about these criminal proceedings later in my opening statement. Importantly, these are 11 · 44 ongoing, live proceedings. It goes without saying that the subject matter of those proceedings is of direct interest to the Inquiry. The Inquiry will need to be fully informed of the basis of the evidence within those criminal proceedings. It will also need to be 11:44 fully informed of the progress of those proceedings. Importantly, however, the Inquiry will not be examining how those ongoing proceedings are being managed by the PSNI and the PPS.

20 21

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

As I will discuss in greater detail later, the Inquiry entered into a Memorandum of Understanding with the

22 23

PSNI and the PPS in March of this year. The MoU is

published on the Inquiry website. The MoU sets out how the Inquiry, the PSNI and the Inquiry -- and the PPS,

11:44

11 · 45

25

I'm sorry, are going to manage their respective 26

responsibilities as the criminal proceedings and the

Inquiry move forward.

29

27

1	Paragraph 17 of the MoU states:	
2		
3	"The subject matter of the investigation and	
4	prosecutions is of direct interest to the Inquiry, but	
5	the Inquiry is not examining the response of the PSNI	11:45
6	and the PPS that has followed from the seizure of the	
7	CCTV footage."	
8		
9	So, to summarise, the Inquiry will be examining how	
10	complaints arising from the Hospital have been managed	11:46
11	historically by the police and prosecuting authorities,	
12	but this does not apply to the current criminal	
13	investigation and prosecutions. And as I've said, I	
14	will be coming back to that matter later.	
15		11:46
16	Returning to the text of the Terms of Reference.	
17	Paragraphs 14 to 17 address a number of discrete	
18	issues. I do not propose to elaborate on those issues	
19	at this stage.	
20		11:47
21	Paragraph 14 relates to the installment of CCTV at the	
22	Hospital. The Inquiry will examine the effects of	
23	installment, operation and use of CCTV at Muckamore	
24	Abbey Hospital.	
25		11:47
26	Paragraph 15 deals with safeguards, mechanisms and	
27	policies regarding other patients:	
28		
29	"The Inquiry will examine the safeguards, mechanisms	

1	and policies in place to ensure that patients were not	
2	subject to abuse or other disturbing behaviour by other	
3	residents/patients and whether those controls and	
4	policies were sufficient."	
5		11:47
6	Paragraph 16 addresses resettlement:	
7		
8	"The Inquiry will examine the adequacy and workings of	
9	the policy and process of discharge and resettlement of	
10	patients of Muckamore Abbey Hospital."	11:48
11		
12	Paragraph 17 raises the wider issue of resourcing:	
13		
14	"The Inquiry will consider the adequacy of financial	
15	resources to ensure:	11:48
16		
17	(a) appropriate numbers, skills, quality and training	
18	of staff;	
19		
20	(b) appropriate care, treatment and accommodation for	11:48
21	patients with mental health conditions and/or learning	
22	disabilities treated or cared for at Muckamore Abbey	
23	Hospi tal . "	
24		
25	I have already touched on the legal and regulatory	11:48
26	framework. This is dealt with specifically in	
27	paragraphs 18 and 19. Paragraph 18 states:	
28		
29	"The Inquiry will examine the following:	

1	(a) the relevant primary and secondary registration;	
2		
3	(b) the regulatory framework;	
4		
5	(c) any relevant codes, policies, guidelines, reports	11:49
6	and other documentation relating to management,	
7	administration and working practice at Muckamore Abbey	
8	Hospital and, where necessary, of other comparable	
9	facilities."	
10		11:49
11	And paragraph 19 states:	
12		
13	"The Inquiry will consider the adequacy of the above to	
14	provide a framework to prevent abuse of patients with	
15	mental health conditions or learning disability in	11:49
16	Muckamore Abbey Hospital and other such settings in	
17	Northern I rel and."	
18		
19	I've mentioned some of the relevant legislation and I	
20	have referred, in passing, to the regulatory framework.	11:50
21	As I will be explaining shortly, the Inquiry is	
22	currently receiving documentation from many different	
23	sources. This will include codes, policies,	
24	guidelines, reports and suchlike. The counsel team	
25	will, in due course, collate all material of this kind	11:50
26	for the Panel and Core Participants in order that this	
27	aspect of the Terms of Reference can properly be	
28	addressed.	

1	Paragraphs 20 to 22 address matters of practice and	
2	procedure. Paragraph 20 echos Section 17 of the	
3	Inquiries Act 2005 that I mentioned yesterday	
4	afternoon:	
5		11:51
6	"The Inquiry Chair will determine how the Inquiry is	
7	conducted, including the procedure, the nature of	
8	evidence and calling of witnesses to the Inquiry."	
9		
10	Paragraph 21 provides:	11:51
11		
12	"Aspects of practice and procedure may be governed by	
13	protocols to be established at the outset of the	
14	I nqui ry. "	
15		11:51
16	And as I've already mentioned, to date the Inquiry has	
17	published protocols relating to production and receipt	
18	of documents, Core Participants, funding of legal	
19	representation and redaction, anonymity and Restriction	
20	Orders. And those protocols have been augmented, where	11:52
21	necessary, by Chair's statements. All of this material	
22	is published on the Inquiry's website.	
23		
24	Paragraph 22 refers to witness services:	
25		11:52
26	"Appropriate witness services will be made available in	
27	the course of the Inquiry."	
28		
29	And that is important. I will return to the matter of	

1	support for witnesses later when I have finished with	
2	the Terms of Reference.	
3		
4	The final part of the Terms of Reference relates to the	
5	report and recommendations. The three paragraphs read	11:52
6	as follows:	
7		
8	"23. The Inquiry will submit its report to the Minister	
9	of Health. The Inquiry may make findings on matters	
10	within the Terms of Reference as outlined above,	11:52
11	including the issue of abuse and whether such abuse	
12	resulted from systemic failings."	
13		
14	Paragraph 24:	
15		11:53
16	"Having regard to, and dependent on, those findings,	
17	the Inquiry will make recommendations in respect of the	
18	following:	
19		
20	(a) the core objective of ensuring that any such abuse	11:53
21	and any such failings do not recur at Muckamore Abbey	
22	Hospital or at any other facility providing similar	
23	services in Ireland;	
24		
25	(b) improvement of the training of staff and management	11:53
26	at Muckamore Abbey Hospital and comparable facilities;	
27		
28	(c) improvement of management, policies, systems and	
29	processes within Muckamore Abbey Hospital, including	

1	those relating to whistleblowing and corporate	
2	governance;	
3		
4	(d) improvement of competence, quality and internal	
5	governance of the Board of such hospitals;	11:54
6		
7	(e) to the extent that it is necessary and appropriate,	
8	the role of wider adult social care services and the	
9	relevant health and social care bodies (including, but	
10	not limited to, the Health and Social Care Trusts, the	11:54
11	Health and Social Care Board, the Public Health Agency	
12	and the Department) in ensuring the safety of patients	
13	and best practice in service delivery at Muckamore	
14	Abbey Hospital and comparable facilities;	
15		11:54
16	(f) the legal and regulatory framework and related	
17	matters;	
18		
19	(g) the requirement or desirability of the provision of	
20	redress to meet the particular needs of victims of	11:55
21	abuse within Muckamore Abbey Hospital."	
22		
23	Paragraph 25:	
24		
25	"The Inquiry Chair may, if necessary and appropriate,	11:55
26	issue an interim report or reports with	
27	recommendations."	
28		
29	As we embark on the Inquiry, the report and	

1 recommendations may appear to be beyond the horizon. 2 Paragraph 5 is, however, worth noting, and you 3 mentioned it specifically yesterday, Chair --CHAI RMAN: Paragraph 25. 4 5 MR. DORAN: Sorry? 11:55 6 CHAI RMAN: Paragraph 25? 7 Paragraph 25. MR. DORAN: 8 CHAI RMAN: You said 5. 9 Oh, sorry. Paragraph 25 is worth noting. MR. DORAN: It affords you, Chair, with the discretion to issue an 10 11:56 11 interim report with recommendations. And that is 12 obviously an option that you will wish to keep under 13 review at all times as the Inquiry proceeds. 14 15 I'm conscious that it has taken some time to cover the 11:56 16 Terms of Reference. They are wide ranging. 17 multiple issues to be addressed. The Inquiry will, of 18 course, be mindful of the need to adopt a proportionate 19 approach to its work where that is appropriate. The 20 nature of the issues that have given rise to this 11:56 Inquiry clearly required the timeframe to be an 21 22 extended one. It was also important that the Inquiry's work should not be confined to a narrow fact-finding 23 24 exercise. The core issue of abuse cannot be examined in isolation from the context and structures within 25 11:57 which it occurred. Recommendations to improve future 26 27 practices, procedures and structures cannot properly be made without a sound basis in evidence. 28 The Inquiry 29 will need to draw upon a significant body of evidence

and information in order to discharge its responsibilities.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1

2

It is, however, worth sounding a slight note of caution at this point. The Terms of Reference do indeed cover multiple issues, but the core objectives must always be kept in plain view. The Inquiry will need to adopt a suitably proportionate approach to the issues in order to complete its work within a reasonable timeframe. It would be virtually impossible to pursue every single 11 · 58 line of inquiry that will arise from the evidence in the same degree of detail. As the Inquiry moves forward, it will have to make decisions as to where its resources will most effectively be channelled. would be quite wrong to be prescriptive about this at 11:59 the beginning of the Inquiry. I am raising the matter now as counsel to the Inquiry because it is important to be realistic about what the Inquiry can achieve.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

The Inquiry will hear from as many people as possible who were affected by the events at Muckamore. The Inquiry will also hear from staff, the various organisations and public authorities that are connected to the Hospital or that have an interest in the Hospital. Individuals, patient groups, and indeed the relevant authorities will have their own particular concerns and their own particular priorities. They will naturally wish those concerns and priorities to be addressed by the Inquiry. The Inquiry will, of course,

1 be responsive to matters that are raised by Core 2 Participants and by others with an interest in the Inquiry's work. The Inquiry does, however, have a 3 wider responsibility to deliver on the core objectives 4 5 within a reasonable time. 12:00 6 7 It is also to be remembered that the role of a Core 8 Participant is wider than the Core Participant's own 9 particular interest. Core Participants have a role in furthering the work of the Inquiry and assisting it in 10 12:01 11 fulfilling its Terms of Reference. Therefore, I trust 12 that everyone involved in the Inquiry will understand 13 that not every single matter raised by them will be 14 capable of being explored to an equivalent degree. 15 12:01 16 I have looked in detail at the Terms of Reference, I 17 now want to consider what the Inquiry will need in 18 order to address those Terms of Reference. 19 20 The Inquiry will be assisted both by witnesses 12:01 21 providing evidence to the Inquiry and by individuals 22 and organisations providing relevant materials to the 23 Inquiry. As regards both witnesses coming to give 24 evidence and as regards the provision of documents, the 25 Inquiry intends to rely on voluntary cooperation, where 12:02 possible. 26 27

28

29

Having said that, as I outlined earlier in the opening,

the Chair of a Public Inquiry is vested with important

1 powers to compel the attendance of witnesses to give 2 evidence and to compel the production of documents. 3 I'll just pause for a moment. (Short pause) 4 CHAI RMAN: Thank vou. 5 MR. DORAN: In the Inquiry protocol on the production 12:03 6 and receipt of documents, that is Protocol No. 1, dated 7 10th November 2021, it is made clear that the Chair 8 will exercise those compulsory powers if that should become necessary. Paragraph 18 of that protocol reads: 9 10 12:03 11 "The Chair will exercise his powers under Section 21 to 12 obtain relevant documents where, for example, a request 13 is refused, the response to a request is incomplete, 14 there has been no response to a request by a stated 15 deadline or a delay is requested which appears to the 12:03 16 Chair not to be reasonable. Some document providers 17 may be facilitated in their production of documents by 18 receipt of a Section 21 Notice, whether in general 19 terms or in respect of certain documents or categories 20 Such document providers should alert the of document. 12:04 solicitor to the Inquiry promptly." 21 22 23 It has not been necessary, to date, for the Chair to 24 issue a Section 21 in the face of resistance, although 25 understandably, some document providers have requested 12:04 the issue of a Section 21 Notice in order to facilitate 26 27 them in the production of documents. Such notices have been issued accordingly. Many documents will be of a 28

29

personal and sensitive nature. The notice provides a

clear and unambiguous legal basis for the production of 1 2 such documents to the Inquiry. 3 Similar principles will be at play as regards witness 4 5 It is anticipated that voluntary cooperation 12:05 6 will be the norm, but resort to compulsory powers will 7 be considered where that is necessary to enable the 8 Inquiry to do its work. 9 10 Very soon after the establishment of the Inquiry, you, 12:05 11 Chair, encouraged engagement with the Inquiry by those 12 who had relevant information to provide. An early 13 notice issued on the Inquiry's website read as follows: 14 15 "One of the Inquiry's core objectives is to examine the 12:05 16 issue of abuse and neglect of patients at Muckamore 17 Abbey Hospital. It is important that we hear from as many people as possible about their experience of 18 19 Muckamore Abbey Hospital, regardless of when it 20 occurred or what information they want to give. 12:06 21 this stage we are interested in hearing from anyone who 22 feels that they have information that the Inquiry 23 should consider, whether they are a current or former 24 patient, a relative, a carer, a current or former

25

26

27

28

29

member of staff, or a member of the public who

negative or positive experience of Muckamore.

witnessed events at Muckamore and whether they had a

your help to conduct our Inquiry fairly and fully."

12:06

We need

That message was reinforced in engagement sessions that were held to promote and publicise the work of the Inquiry. The message was also reiterated in Chair's statements on the website. More recently, you, Chair, have issued a similar invitation by way of a local radio announcement. It may be that the opening of the Inquiry will prompt others with relevant information to come forward.

12:07

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

Witnesses were asked to fill in a short contact form in 12:07 the first instance. The form asks witnesses to provide basic details about their connection with Muckamore and the kind of information that they may have to give. date, 126 individuals have made contact with the Inquiry in this way. The Inquiry has appointed Cleaver 12:08 Fulton Rankin Solicitors to take statements from those witnesses who have relevant evidence to give. work is being undertaken by a statement team. has received training in working with witnesses who may be vulnerable or who may be anxious. Where necessary, 12:08 the team has access to specially appointed registered intermediaries who can assist witnesses in communicating. The intermediaries can also be called upon to assist witnesses when they are giving their evidence. 12:09

26

27

28

29

There will also be witness support available throughout the hearings to ensure that witnesses have the support that they need. As you have indicated Chair, if anyone

1 needs to speak to a witness supporter, they can easily 2 identify them, as they will be wearing a yellow lanyard within this chamber. During hearings, they will be in 3 the back row of the public gallery. The support 4 5 services we have in place have been sourced directly by 12:09 6 the Inquiry, but they are, of course, totally 7 independent of the Inquiry. If, for any reason, 8 someone cannot find the witness support person who is 9 attending, they should ask a member of the Inquiry team and the member of the team will find that person for 10 12:09 11 them. 13 Up to today's date, the statement team has been in 14 contact with 111 witnesses. The team has taken 15

12

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

statements from 40 individuals. That figure includes 12:10 some statements that have been completed but not yet formally signed. Some of those witnesses are scheduled to give evidence in the final week of this month and in the first week of July. A provisional schedule for those weeks will be issued very soon to Core 12:10 Participants. I'm going to say something a little bit more about those evidence sessions later in the opening.

24

25

26

27

28

29

Beyond this first phase of evidence, the Inquiry intends to hear, also, from staff, ranging from frontline staff to those in positions of management and leadership. The Inquiry will also seek evidence from relevant persons within the various authorities that

12:10

27

28

29

have responsibility for the organisation, management, oversight and inspection of the Hospital. The Inquiry may also seek assistance from independent expert witnesses where it appears necessary to do so. This will be an incremental process. Core Participants will 12:11 be kept fully informed of progress as the Inquiry

Before I leave the topic of witnesses, I want to draw attention to the undertaken given by the Director of Public Prosecutions. You mentioned that undertaking in your opening remarks, Chair. The undertaking is dated It is worth rehearsing the precise wording.

12 · 12

12:12

12:13

"1. No oral evidence given by a natural person before the Inquiry will be used in evidence against that person in any criminal proceedings, or for the purpose of deciding whether to bring such proceedings, save as 12:12

2. No written statement drafted for the purpose of giving evidence to the Inquiry by or for such a person identified at paragraph 1 will be used in evidence against that person in any criminal proceedings or for the purpose of deciding whether to bring such proceedings, save as provided in paragraph 3 herein. This shall include any statement made by or for such a person for that purpose preparatory to giving evidence

1	to the Inquiry or during the course of their testimony	
2	to the Inquiry."	
3		
4	And then paragraph 3 states:	
5	12	:13
6	"Paragraphs 1 and 2 do not apply to:	
7		
8	(a) a prosecution in which that person is charged with	
9	having given false evidence in the course of this	
10	Inquiry or having conspired with or procured others to 12	:13
11	do so; or	
12		
13	(b) a prosecution in which that person is charged with	
14	any offence under Section 35 of the Inquiries Act 2005	
15	or with having conspired with or procured others to 12	:14
16	commit such an offence."	
17		
18	I mentioned Section 35 yesterday afternoon, Chair. And	
19	the undertaking is, I understand, available on the	
20	Inquiry's website.	:14
21		
22	I want to make four observations on the undertaking.	
23	First, undertakings of this kind are frequently given	
24	in the context of public inquiries. Prominent recent	
25	examples are the Historical Abuse Inquiry and the	:14
26	Grenfell Tower Inquiry.	
27		
28	Secondly, it is very important not to confuse an	
29	undertaking of this kind with immunity from	

1	prosecution. It is, categorically, not an immunity.	
2	It prevents the use of evidence given to the Inquiry in	
3	criminal proceedings, it does not shield an individual	
4	from criminal proceedings that are instituted on the	
5	basis of evidence other than evidence given to the	12:15
6	Inquiry.	
7		
8	Thirdly, as you have indicated, Chair, the effect of	
9	the undertaking is, effectively, to deprive an	
10	individual of the evidential protection of the	12:15
11	privilege of self-incrimination at the Inquiry. This	
12	privilege is preserved in the Inquiry context by	
13	Section 22 of the Inquiries Act 2005. The undertaking	
14	means that a person cannot say 'I will not speak to the	
15	Inquiry, because that might leave me open to criminal	12:16
16	charges'. Because of the undertaking, that would not	
17	be a legitimate basis on which to refuse to give	
18	evidence or to refuse to answer questions when giving	
19	evidence.	
20		12:16
21	Fourthly, and critically, the rationale for seeking an	
22	undertaking of this kind is to ensure that the Inquiry	
23	will hear from the widest possible spectrum of	
24	witnesses. Put simply, the absence of the undertaking	
25	would make it more difficult for the Inquiry to achieve	12:17
26	its core objectives.	
27		
28	Chair, I'm wondering if that might be a suitable time	

to break for lunch today?

29

1	CHAIRMAN: Yes, you've warned me that you might want to	
2	stop a bit early today. But you're still satisfied, as	
3	I understand it, that you will finish today? Would it	
4	be sensible to start rather earlier than two o'clock,	
5	say quarter to?	12:17
6	MR. DORAN: 1:45 would be perfect, Chair, yes.	
7	CHAIRMAN: 1:45?	
8	MR. DORAN: Yes.	
9	CHAIRMAN: All right. Well, it's a slightly extended	
10	lunch break, but provided we're going to get you done,	12:17
11	as it were, in the afternoon, that will be absolutely	
12	fine. All right, thank you very much.	
13	MR. DORAN: Thank you.	
14	CHAIRMAN: Can I just mention that the undertaking	
15	which you have just referred to, there is an area on	12:17
16	the website for it to go up and it will be going up in	
17	fact at lunch today. It couldn't go up earlier because	
18	apparently it mucks up the streaming. But it will be	
19	there.	
20	MR. DORAN: Thank you for that clarification.	12:18
21	CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. Thank you.	
22		
23	THE INQUIRY THEN ADJOURNED FOR LUNCH AND RESUMED AS	
24	FOLLOWS:	
25		12:18
26	CHAIRMAN: Thank you.	
27	MR. DORAN: Chair, I can confirm that the transcript of	
28	yesterday's hearing and the undertaking given by the	
29	DPP have both been posted on the Inquiry's website.	

1	CHAIRMAN: Indeed they have. Thank you.	
2	MR. DORAN: I return to the issue of production of	
3	documents to the Inquiry. This process remains	
4	ongoing. I've referred to the timeframe of the	
5	Inquiry, which extends back to 1999. I've also	13:4
6	referred to multiple organisations and authorities with	
7	a connection to Muckamore, or with responsibilities	
8	touching on Muckamore, including the Belfast Trust and	
9	other Trusts, the Department, the RQIA and the PCC.	
10		13:5
11	In addition to those authorities, the professional	
12	regulatory bodies have handled complaints arising from	
13	the Hospital. Representative bodies will have provided	
14	assistance to persons subject to disciplinary	
15	proceedings. The PSNI and PPS will have been involved	13:5
16	in handling complaints of a criminal nature. Patients	
17	from Muckamore will have been resettled at a range of	
18	locations.	
19		
20	These are just examples of organisations and	13:5
21	authorities that may be in possession of material that	
22	will assist the Inquiry. "Document provider" is the	
23	shorthand term we have been using to describe	
24	organisations and authorities of that kind.	
25		13:5
26	The Inquiry has contacted 73 different potential	
27	document providers within the last six months. The	
28	Inquiry has obtained details of documents held by them	
29	that may assist the Inquiry. The process of having	

documents produced to the Inquiry is, as I have said, ongoing. You, Chair, have also directed that all material that may be relevant to the Inquiry's work must be retained by the relevant authorities and must not be destroyed.

13:51

13:52

This exercise is onerous and time-consuming. It is important that I should acknowledge the work that is being conducted by the document providers and their representatives to assist the Inquiry in this regard.

It is also important that I should emphasise the need to ensure that this exercise is conducted in a proportionate, properly organised and properly targeted manner.

In a large scale exercise of this nature, care is needed to ensure that the Inquiry's focus is not distracted by an avalanche of material that is peripheral to the issues that the Inquiry must consider. The Inquiry team has spent considerable time 13:52 engaging with the various document providers to identify the material that needs to be obtained. The material that is obtained then requires to be assessed to determine whether it will in fact assist the Inquiry in addressing its Terms of Reference. Prior to 13:53 disclosure to Core Participants, material may need to be redacted - for example, I've referred to the orders that you have made, Chair, that require redaction of personal details and that grant anonymity to patients,

unless that anonymity is waived.

As you said yesterday, Chair, one possible approach to adopt would be to wait until all of this work is completed before starting the oral hearings. You have, 13:53 understandably, decided that such an approach would unduly delay the important public-facing work that the Inquiry must undertake. I have mentioned the lengthy wait that patients, their families, friends and carers have had for this Inquiry. I have also emphasised the 13:54 forward-looking aspect of the Inquiry, the need to ensure that abuse does not occur in the future at the Hospital or at any comparable facility in Northern Ireland. Having regard to those considerations, it was imperative that the Inquiry should move on to the stage 13:54 of oral hearings at the earliest opportunity.

One consequence of that is that Core Participants will not, at this early stage, have received disclosure of documents. They ought not to feel in any way disadvantaged by that. The work on obtaining documents and preparing documents for disclosure will continue. Core Participants will, of course, be updated on progress and will receive material on an ongoing basis.

-

13:55

13:54

The Inquiry counsel team has prepared a preliminary set of documents for the Panel. That preliminary set of documents will be shared with Core Participants very shortly, although there are some of the documents that

1	remain to be redacted. Some further time will be	
2	needed before those particular documents can be shared.	
3		
4	Another consequence of this approach is that the	
5	Inquiry is not going to retrieve records and other	13:55
6	documents relating to a particular patient before a	
7	witness can come along and speak to the Inquiry about	
8	that patient's experience. As witnesses make their	
9	statements and give evidence, the Inquiry team will	
10	constantly monitor what records and other material the	13:56
11	Inquiry will need to obtain in relation to the patient	
12	concerned. The Inquiry will strive to ensure that no	
13	one is disadvantaged by this approach.	
14		
15	If records are later produced that the Inquiry thinks	13:56
16	the witness should be asked about or should have the	
17	opportunity to comment on, the necessary arrangements	
18	will be made for that to happen. If there is a	
19	particular situation in which the Inquiry feels that	
20	some records simply must be retrieved before a witness	13:57
21	gives their account, the Inquiry can adapt its	
22	appropriate accordingly.	
23		
24	The objective, therefore, is to hear what witnesses	
25	have to say at the earliest opportunity, while	13:57
26	remaining alert to matters arising in the course of	
27	their evidence that might need to be further explored.	
28		

I am now going to move on to the topic of history of

reporting on Muckamore Abbey Hospital. I've looked at the background to the Inquiry, the Terms of Reference and the work that is being done to enable the Inquiry to address its Terms of Reference. I now want to mention some previous investigations and reports that 13:58

In the course of the oral evidence, the Inquiry will hear about individual complaints and how they were dealt with. It will also hear about disciplinary 13:58 proceedings and criminal proceedings that have arisen as a result of complaints at the Hospital. As I have mentioned, the Inquiry will also be considering the work of the RQIA and its predecessor, the Mental Health Commission. That work has resulted in multiple 13:58 inspection reports. The Inquiry has been gathering in those reports and, where relevant, they will be made

Going beyond these individual cases and individual 13:59 inspection reports, however, there have also been a number of exercises aimed at addressing broader or systemic issues affecting the patient experience and the management of the Hospital. I want to review those exercises at this stage, as I anticipate that they will 13:59 be mentioned frequently in the course of the hearings.

27

28

29

The first exercise that I want to address dates back to 2013. This was the Ennis Ward adult safeguarding

1	investigation. The investigation was prompted by	
2	concerns raised by a care assistant employed by a	
3	private care provider. She was working on the ward as	
4	part of an induction programme for patients who were	
5	moving to a care home managed by the private provider.	4:00
6	The care assistant alleged that, while working on the	
7	ward in November 2012, she witnessed staff being	
8	physically and verbally abusive to four named patients.	
9	The safeguarding investigation was established on	
10	receipt of the allegations. This was led by a	4:00
11	designated officer from outside the Hospital, who was	
12	assisted by two social workers from the Trust's	
13	Community Learning Disability Team. As some of the	
14	allegations were of a criminal nature, the	
15	investigation was carried out jointly by the Trust and	4:01
16	the PSNI.	
17		
18	As well as the care assistant who initially raised the	
19	concerns, other employees of the private care provider	

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

concerns, other employees of the private care provider also then expressed concerns about conduct that they 14:01 had witnessed during shifts at the Hospital. As a result of these allegations, three staff members - that is, two nurses and a healthcare assistant and a student nurse - were placed on precautionary suspension. The nurses were referred to the Nursing and Midwifery 14:01 Council and the Assistant to the Disclosure and Barring Service.

28

29

I'm not going to delve into the detail of the

1	investigation. The investigation report was produced	
2	in October 2013. As a result of the PSNI	
3	investigation, a nurse and a healthcare assistant were	
4	charged with assault and ill-treatment. The nurse was	
5	acquitted. The healthcare assistant was found guilty	14:0
6	of one count of common assault, which was subsequently	
7	overturned on appeal. The healthcare assistant	
8	retired. And there was also a disciplinary process	
9	conducted in respect of the nurse.	
10		14:0
11	The Inquiry will have the opportunity to consider the	
12	Ennis investigation and the materials generated by the	
13	investigation in due course. There are four reasons	
14	for drawing attention to the Ennis report at this	
15	stage.	14:0
16		
17	First, the concerns that triggered the investigation	
18	fall squarely within the Inquiry's Terms of Reference.	
19	I would refer the Panel in particular to the	
20	non-exhaustive definition of abuse at paragraph 5. The	14:0
21	allegations encompassed both verbal and physical abuse.	
22		
23	Secondly, the concerns went beyond a single allegation	
24	of assault perpetrated by a single individual on a	
25	single patient. The concerns related to four staff,	14:0
26	four patients and encompassed multiple allegations.	
27		

by the Trust and by PSNI.

28

29

Thirdly, the concerns were the subject of investigation

1	Fourthly, the concerns were the subject of multiple	
2	case conferences and strategy meetings in the months	
3	after the concerns were first voiced. As a result,	
4	various steps were taken, aimed at improving staffing	
5	levels, improving the living environment and enhancing $_{14}$: 04
6	patient support on the ward.	
7		
8	The Inquiry will no doubt wish to examine the	
9	out-workings of the Ennis review carefully. The issue	
10	of identifying and responding to concerns is integral 14	: 04
11	to the Terms of Reference. There are, in fact, three	
12	fundamental and closely related questions arising from	
13	the Ennis episode that the Inquiry may wish to	
14	consider, albeit with the benefit of hindsight: First,	
15	can it be said that the evidence considered in the	: 05
16	course of that review was possibly indicative of a	
17	wider culture of abuse within the Hospital? Secondly,	
18	given the nature of the allegations, were the	
19	investigation and the response sufficiently	
20	far-reaching and robust? Thirdly, was this a missed 14	: 05
21	opportunity to detect and to address the very problems	
22	that are now the subject of this Inquiry?	
23		
24	The second report that I want to address, at this	
25	stage, is the report of November 2018 titled "A Review $_{14}$: 06
26	of Safeguarding at Muckamore Abbey Hospital: A Way to	
27	Go." This report postdated the allegations of abuse	

that surfaced in 2017.

28

29

1	In January 2018, the Belfast Trust commissioned an	
2	independent review team, chaired by Dr. Margaret Flynn,	
3	to examine safeguarding issues at the hospital between	
4	2012 and 2017. Dr. Flynn had previously led a serious	
5	case review into abuse at Winterbourne View private	14:0
6	hospital in Gloucester in 2012. The review's broad	
7	remit was to identify the principal factors responsible	
8	for the historic and recent safeguarding incidents at	
9	the Hospital. The review examined safeguarding files,	
LO	RQIA reports and Health and Social Care Board	14:0
L1	information from the relevant period. The team	
L2	interviewed patients, families and staff and	
L3	representatives of the RQIA.	
L4		
L5	Again, I'm not going to go into the review in detail	14:0
L6	for the purpose of the opening, the Panel will have the	
L7	opportunity of considering its work and its findings in	
L8	due course. I would just like to flag up some of the	
L9	themes that emerge from the review that this Inquiry	
20	will have the opportunity of exploring in greater	14:0
21	depth.	
22		
23	First, the review commented on the fact that the RQIA	
24	inspection process tended to be word-specific and,	
25	therefore, did not present an overarching view of the	14:0
26	Hospital. As the review commented, a hospital is more	

27

Secondly, the review commented on inconsistencies and

than the sum of its wards.

gaps in records relating to safeguarding events and 1 2 concluded that it could not be determined how closely adult safeguarding practice accorded with operational 3 4 safeguarding procedures. 5 14:09 6 Thirdly, on the question of culture, the review 7 observed: 8 9 "There was, indeed, a culture, a tolerated set of norms or work practices which were harmful and 10 14 · 09 11 di sproporti onate. It was shaped by the use of power, 12 relationships and place in which the wards were closed. 13 Visitors, relatives, as well as professionals were 14 advised whether or not they could visit due to 15 'unsettled patients'. Individual staff members were 14:09 16 comfortable working with certain staff and cut and 17 paste records concerning the use of seclusion, for 18 example, were not challenged." 19 20 Fourthly, the review also contrasted that culture with 21 valued practice. The report recorded the wish of 22 families for it to be known that there were some staff who conscientiously provide compassionate care and 23 24 treatment. That is, of course, important. 25 engagement sessions that have been conducted by the 14 · 10 26 Inquiry, you, Chair, have emphasised that the Panel will wish to hear of experiences, both good and bad, 27 Just as abusive conduct on the 28 positive and negative.

29

part of some must be investigated, so too must

1	diligence and care on the part of others be recognised.	
2		
3	Fifthly, the report questioned the use of "seclusion"	
4	and commented:	
5		14:11
6	"Current scrutiny of seclusion would suggest that the	
7	use of seclusion was not benign in all circumstances."	
8		
9	Sixthly, the report commented on the frequent use in	
10	safeguarding files of the term "has a history of making	14:11
11	allegations". The review questioned whether the use of	
12	that term might risk the assumption being made from the	
13	outset that an allegation lacks credibility. The	
14	review also considered whether perhaps too much was	
15	expected of patients with learning difficulties in	14:12
16	terms of identifying the times or dates when incidents	
17	may have occurred. The team envisaged a much more	
18	proactive role for advocacy to ensure that the voice of	
19	the patient was heard.	
20		14:12
21	Seventhly, the report highlighted delays in discharge	
22	and commented:	
23		
24	"The Hospital is plagued by mental health delayed	
25	discharges; that is, although a clinical	14:12
26	multidisciplinary decision has been made that a patient	
27	is ready to be discharged and the patient is safe to be	
28	discharged, the Hospital's delayed discharges are	
29	compromising its capacity to provide assessment and	

treatment. While the reasons behind the delayed discharges are multifactorial, patients subjected to protracting waiting for non-acute hospital provision are likely to deteriorate."

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1

2

3

4

This is, by necessity, a selective survey of the Way to Go findings. There may be other aspects of the report that the Inquiry and Core Participants will wish to examine. There may be aspects of the report with which some will take issue. I hope, however, that I have fairly represented the tenor of the report and identified some of the key themes that will be of interest to the Inquiry.

14:13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

That, then, leads me to the third report in this 14:13 sequence. This report is titled "A Review of Leadership and Governance at Muckamore Abbey Hospital" and it's dated 31st July 2020. This report was produced by an independent review team commissioned by the Health and Social Care Board and Public Health 14:14 Agency at the request of the Department of Health. The remit was to review leadership and governance arrangements within the Belfast Trust between 2012 and 2017. The team was also asked to consider the degree to which those arrangements may have contributed to the 14:14 abuse of vulnerable patients going undetected. Department of Health sought this further review because, in its view, the Way to Go report had not sufficiently addressed matters of leadership and

governance.

The review examined a range of Trust documents and conducted meetings with a range of individuals and authorities. The team identified the Ennis Ward

14:15 concerns, the incidents revealed by the CCTV footage of 2017 and the handling of a specific complaint in 2017 as key events in its review. This is a very detailed report. Again, I do not propose to drill into the detail. It is, however, worth highlighting a number of 14:15 the key findings.

First, the Trust had extensive governance systems in place, but their complexity hindered the ability to be responsive.

14:16

14:16

14 · 16

Secondly, there was an apparent disconnect between the governance arrangements in place at Trust level and what was occurring on the ground at the Hospital. High level strategies and leadership frameworks did not result in good practice at the Hospital. To quote the report:

"The review team concluded that there was a culture within MAH of trying to resolve matters on site. The location of MAH at some distance from the Trust and the lack of curiosity about it at Trust level caused the review team to view it as a place apart. Clearly, it operated outside the sight lines and under the radar of

1	the Trust."	
2		
3	Thirdly, the team found the leadership team at the	
4	Hospital to be dysfunctional. The report identified a	
5	lack of continuity and stability at directorate level	14:17
6	and a lack of interest and curiosity at board level.	
7		
8	Fourthly, the report concluded, bluntly, that these	
9	failings resulted in harm to patients. The team	
10	concluded that while senior managers at MAH may not	14:17
11	have been aware of the culture of abuse, their	
12	responsibility for providing safe and compassionate	
13	care remained. The review team also acknowledged the	
14	more recent efforts of the Trust to promote and monitor	
15	a safe, person-centred environment at the Hospital.	14:18
16	The report made 12 recommendations directed at the	
17	Department of Health, the Health and Social Care Board	
18	and the Public Health Agency and the Trust.	
19		
20	A public inquiry will, by its very nature, require a	14:18
21	broader and more penetrating investigation than would	
22	have been possible in any of the three review processes	
23	that I have mentioned. The Inquiry will not, of	
24	course, be bound by the conclusions of those previous	
25	reviews. It will have the benefit of the evidence and	14:18
26	material presented to those reviews and it will, where	
27	appropriate, revisit the matters addressed in	

accordance with the Terms of Reference.

28

29

As I have mentioned, the concerns that gave rise to the Ennis review emerged in 2012. Before I complete this section of the opening, I'm going to go further back in time and flag up an earlier episode for the attention of the Inquiry. The beginning of this episode predates the Terms of Reference. As I have indicated, however, paragraph 3 of the Terms of Reference permits the Inquiry:

"To receive and take account of evidence outside of the 14:19

"To receive and take account of evidence outside of the 14:15 timeframe where such evidence will assist the Inquiry in examining, understanding and reporting on matters within these Terms of Reference."

I should say that the Inquiry is still in the process
of obtaining all relevant material relating to this
episode. I simply want to provide a broad outline of
what occurred at this stage for contextual reasons.
The Inquiry and Core Participants will be in a position
to analyse the relevant events in appropriate detail in 14:20
due course.

In 1996 a former patient of the Hospital made allegations that he had been sexually abused by other patients at the Hospital and one member of staff. The allegations related to a period predating the Terms of Reference from 1970 to 1982. The allegations were referred to the DPP, but there was no prosecution. The case was also the subject of civil proceedings that

14:20

ultimately resulted in a civil settlement in 2004.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1

Subsequent to that, the Eastern Health and Social Services Board, one of the Boards that amalgamated to become the HSCB, appointed a review group to undertake a fact-gathering review of all clinical records relating to the care of the complainant while he was at Muckamore. The review group had a representative from the Board and the North and West Belfast Trust. chaired by the Assistant Director of Legal Services in 14:22 the Central Services Agency. The review group was also asked to identify any other salient information arising from this review relating to activity of a similar nature involving any other patients in the care of the Hospital from in or around 1971 to 1986. In addition 14:22 to that, the group was asked to identify and document other sources of potentially relevant information that might require further exploration.

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

The review group undertook a review of records relating 14:22 to the complainant and other patients. They extracted what they described as "the most significant entries in which staff have documented inappropriate behaviour between patients and other entries which may be relevant. " They also highlighted that some records were missing and others difficult to decipher. also advised that it was difficult to identify which members of staff had made the various entries in the records.

14 · 23

1	The review group reported their findings to the Board	
2	in November 2005. The work of the group did not end at	
3	that point and it went on to review further files in	
4	addition to those covered by the initial exercise.	
5	Some of the records identified by the review in the	14:23
6	course of its work dated back to the early 1960s.	
7		
8	That was not the end of the matter. The results of	
9	this process were referred to the PSNI. The PSNI then	
10	undertook a phased investigation into the matters that	14:24
11	had been identified by the review group. The	
12	investigation was conducted in and around 2006 to 2008.	
13	The investigation was primarily focused on alleged	
14	incidents between patients that had been identified in	
15	the records by the review group.	14:24
16		
17	As I have said, the Inquiry and Core Participants will	
18	have the opportunity of analysing the relevant material	
19	in due course.	
20		14:24
21	It appears that the investigation did not ultimately	
22	result in prosecutions for a variety of reasons,	
23	including, first, the historical nature of the	
24	allegations and the consequent loss of forensic	
25	opportunities; secondly, the lack of supporting	14:25
26	evidence; and thirdly, in some instances, the lack of	
27	capacity of alleged victims to be interviewed.	
28		
29	Aside from the PSNI investigation, the Eastern Health	

T	and Social Services Board and the North and West	
2	Belfast Trust also conducted a review of policies and	
3	procedures to safeguard children and vulnerable adults	
4	in Muckamore Abbey Hospital. This review team was	
5	chaired by the Director of Hospital and Community	14:2
6	Learning Disability Services. The group produced a	
7	report in December 2005 that "set out current practice	
8	at Muckamore Abbey Hospital which seeks to ensure that	
9	children and vulnerable adults are safe during their	
10	stay at the Hospital." The report included a number of ${}_{1}$	14:2
11	recommendations that were aimed at improving	
12	safeguarding practices within the Hospital.	
13		
14	Again, it is not necessary for me to go into the finer	
15	detail of that report for the purpose of my opening	14:2
16	statement.	
17		
18	It is important to draw attention, at this stage, to	
19	these earlier reviews in 2005 and to the police	
20	investigation that followed. The allegations that were $_{ ext{ iny 1}}$	14:2
21	being investigated were, for the most part, different	
22	in character to the more recent allegations of abuse	
23	perpetrated by staff on patients. Nonetheless, the	
24	allegations gave rise to serious safeguarding concerns.	
25	There was an awareness of those concerns at a high	14:2
26	level within the relevant public authorities at the	
27	time.	
28		

In determining why the abuse happened and the range of

1	circumstances that allowed it to happen, as required by	
2	the Terms of Reference, the Inquiry will need to	
3	consider carefully the response of the authorities to	
4	those earlier allegations: Ought those earlier	
5	allegations to have prompted a root and branch review	14:27
6	of policy and practice at the Hospital? Ought there to	
7	have been more radical intervention at that point in	
8	time? What was the reason for decisions taken at that	
9	point in time? Were decisions that ought to have been	
10	taken not taken? Again, was this an earlier missed	14:28
11	opportunity to consider deep-seated cultural issues	
12	within the Hospital which were later identified in the	
13	Way to Go report?	
14		
15	Chair, I'm going to move on shortly to deal with the	14:28
16	present criminal proceedings. I think this would be an	
17	appropriate time to take a short break. I will then	
18	have a fairly short closing sequence.	
19	CHAIRMAN: Shall we say quarter to?	
20	MR. DORAN: Yes, that's very helpful.	14:29
21	CHAIRMAN: Does that give you enough time?	
22	MR. DORAN: Oh, yes indeed. Thank you, Chair.	
23	CHAIRMAN: And just to say, I'm not giving the five	
24	minute warning when we have these short breaks, I'm	
25	afraid it's up to you to make sure that you are nearby	14:29
26	when the gong goes. But I do, obviously, in the	
27	morning and after the lunch adjournment.	
28		

29 т

Then in the break, if you could just confirm the order

1	in which Core Participants are going to address us. It
2	may be you know that already, but I just want to make
3	sure we know who is speaking when.
4	MR. DORAN: I'll confirm that after the break.
5	CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. Okay, thank you. 14:
6	
7	THE INQUIRY ADJOURNED BRIEFLY AND RESUMED AS FOLLOWS:
8	
9	CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
10	MR. DORAN: Chair, I will confirm the order of play for 14:
11	the rest of this week later in my opening.
12	CHAIRMAN: Okay, thank you.
13	MR. DORAN: I'm now going to move on from past reviews
14	to other significant processes that are going on at
15	present.
16	
17	The allegations of abuse at the Hospital that first
18	came to public attention in late 2017 are the subject
19	of an ongoing police investigation and prosecutions.
20	There are two preliminary points to be made about this 14:
21	investigation: First, the subject matter of the
22	investigation - that is, allegations of abuse at the
23	Hospital - falls squarely within the Inquiry's Terms of
24	Reference; secondly, and importantly, the remit of the
25	Inquiry extends significantly beyond the incidents that 14:
26	are being investigated.
27	
28	When announcing the Inquiry in the Assembly on 8th
29	September 2020, the Minister commented:

1 "We must take account of the ongoing major police 2 investigation, because I want to ensure that any 3 process that is put in place does not jeopardise this investigation." 4 5 14:47 The Inquiry itself has taken steps to ensure that its 6 7 work does not jeopardise the investigation. 8 Memorandum of Understanding was agreed following 9 consultations between the Chair of the Inquiry, the Police Service of Northern Ireland and the Public 10 14 · 48 Prosecution Service for Northern Ireland. 11 The MoU is 12 dated 9th March 2022 and is published on the Inquiry's 13 website. 14 15 The PSNI investigation to date has been primarily 14:48 16 focused on Cranfield Psychiatric Intensive Care Unit, 17 or PICU to use the acronym. PICU was a secure unit for 18 patients with learning disabilities who presented a 19 risk of harm to themselves or others. Patients were 20 either detained under the Mental Health Order or 14:49 admitted on a voluntary basis. Only patients and staff 21 22 had access to the ward. The ward was staffed primarily 23 by healthcare support workers and staff nurses. 24 25 CCTV was installed in the Hospital in or around early 14 · 49 2017, including a number of cameras being installed 26 27 within PICU. The system was tested in March 2017 and

28

29

was, it appears, mistakenly left operational.

system was recording in the period before it was

officially due to go live. The fact that the system was recording was not known to staff on the ward. This was discovered in September 2017, after it was reported to PSNI that a PICU patient, Aaron Browne, had been assaulted by a staff member. The patient's father,

Glynn, whom I have mentioned, raised concerns that he had not been informed about the incident at the time that it had occurred. Mr. Browne requested that the CCTV be checked. It became apparent, then, that the CCTV had in fact been operative and footage of the

incident had, in fact, been captured.

12

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

If I could just have one moment, Chair? (Short pause)

1415

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

13

Thank you, Chair. As I said, it became apparent that 14:51 the CCTV had in fact been operative and footage of the incident had in fact been captured. This discovery prompted further examination of the footage. first instance, the Trust dip-sampled the footage and this revealed further evidence of abuse committed by 14:51 staff on PICU patients. The footage was then subject to review by senior social workers and designated adult protection officers appointed from outside the Hospital staff. The Trust referred 143 incidents to police relating to PICU, involving eight patients and 90 staff 14:52 members between March and September 2017. Subsequently, in February 2019, PSNI seized the entirety of the footage, reviewed the footage and noted

728 incidents in the course of that review. Given the

1	large scale of the investigation, PSNI decided that	
2	suspects would be split into groups for the purposes of	
3	police investigation and prosecution.	
4		
5	PSNI submitted its first investigation file to the PPS	14:53
6	on 10th April 2020. That file related to seven staff	
7	and alleged offences committed against eight patients.	
8	The reported offences included common assault,	
9	ill-treatment, wilful neglect, false imprisonment,	
10	fraud and forgery of notes and misconduct in public	14:53
11	office.	
12		
13	On 6th April 2021, the PPS confirmed that a decision	
14	had been taken to prosecute those seven individuals in	
15	relation to alleged ill-treatment of patients at the	14:53
16	hospital. A further staff member was added to the	
17	first file in August 2021, as the staff member in	
18	question had similar shift patterns to the other	
19	suspects. Those cases are before the Magistrates	
20	Court, with committal proceedings yet to take place.	14:54
21		
22	A second file, relating to eight staff members on PICU	
23	was submitted in late 2020. A third file, relating to	
24	nine suspects was submitted in June 2021. A fourth	
25	file has also been submitted.	14:54
26		
27	This is an ongoing investigation. Arrests continue to	
28	be made. On 19th May it was reported that the 34th	
29	arrest had been made in connection with this	

Т	investigation. There may be further arrests, there may	
2	be further prosecutions.	
3		
4	The live nature of these ongoing criminal proceedings	
5	is fully acknowledged in the Memorandum of	14:55
6	Understanding. The MoU sets out a shared understanding	
7	of how the Inquiry, the PSNI and the PPS will discharge	
8	their respective responsibilities as the Inquiry, the	
9	investigation and the prosecutions move forward.	
10		14:55
11	I do not need to go through the MoU in detail for the	
12	purpose of the opening, the document is available on	
13	the website. I do, however, want to draw attention to	
14	some of its key features.	
15		14:56
16	Paragraphs 16 to 18 enshrine the Inquiry's basic	
17	commitment to respecting the integrity of the	
18	investigation, while at the same time getting on with	
19	the work required to address the Terms of Reference.	
20	Those paragraphs read as follows:	14:56
21		
22	"16. The Chair of the Inquiry acknowledges the need to	
23	make every effort to ensure that the work of the	
24	Inquiry does not impede, impact adversely on or	
25	jeopardise in any way the PSNI investigation into abuse	14:56
26	at the Hospital and the prosecutions that result from	
27	that investigation.	
28		
29	17. The subject matter of the investigation and	

1 prosecutions is of direct interest to the Inquiry, but 2 the Inquiry is not examining the response of the PSNI and the PPS that has followed from the seizure of the 3 4 CCTV footage. 5 14:57 6 18. The Chair, in accordance with Section 17(1) of the 7 Act, shall make every effort to ensure that the 8 procedure and conduct of the Inquiry respects the 9 integrity of the investigation and prosecutions, while continuing to address its Terms of Reference." 10 14 · 57 11 12 Given the importance of the subject matter of the 13 investigation and prosecutions to the Inquiry, the MoU 14 provides that the Inquiry will be provided with 15 material relating to the investigation. Documents 14:57 16 relating to the ongoing criminal proceedings will not 17 be disclosed to Core Participants without reasonable 18 notice having been given to the PSNI and the PPS. where the PSNI or PPS adopt the view that there would 19 20 be a risk of disclosure impeding, adversely impacting 14:58 on or jeopardising the criminal proceedings, they may 21 22 ask the Chair to make an order restricting what can be 23 disclosed. The Chair will have due regard to the live 24 nature of the investigation when determining such 25 applications. 14:58 26 27 where material is not disclosed, there is provision for Core Participants to be provided with a summary or gist 28

29

of the material in question. This will ensure that

1	Core Participants are properly informed of the	
2	Inquiry's ongoing work, while at the same time	
3	respecting the autonomy of the criminal proceedings.	
4		
5	The MoU also provides for the viewing of the CCTV	14:5
6	footage under restricted conditions by the Inquiry	
7	Panel. The first phase of that viewing is scheduled	
8	for next week. Importantly, paragraph 41 of the MoU	
9	provides that:	
10		14:5
11	"The Chair of the Inquiry, in consultation with the	
12	PSNI and the PPS, will keep under review the matter of	
13	whether wider viewing of the CCTV footage on behalf of	
14	Core Participants may be appropriate or necessary,	
15	while at all times making every effort to ensure that	14:5
16	the integrity of the investigation and the prosecutions	
17	is protected."	
18		
19	Paragraph 43 restates a recurring theme within the MoU:	
20		15:0
21	"In considering any issue relating to the viewing of	
22	CCTV footage, the Chair will have particular regard to	
23	the live nature of the investigation and any ongoing or	
24	prospecti ve prosecuti ons."	
25		15:0
26	The MoU includes detailed provisions on witness	
27	statements and the giving of oral evidence to the	
28	Inquiry. Those provisions are also designed to ensure	

that the Inquiry can conduct its work in parallel with

1 the criminal proceedings and in such a way as to avoid 2 hampering the criminal proceedings. Within the MoU, there is also a mechanism for resolving any issue that 3 appears to give rise to a risk of the criminal 4 5 proceedings being jeopardised. 15:01 6 7 That is all I need to say at this stage about the 8 criminal proceedings. 9 Before I finish my opening remarks, Chair, I want to 10 15:01 11 mention, briefly, the schedule from now until the 12 summer recess. Representatives of Core Participants 13 will be addressing the Panel tomorrow, Thursday and 14 first thing Monday. Tomorrow's hearing will be 15 starting at 2:00 p.m. and the Panel will hear from 15:01 16 Mr. Andrew McGuinness on behalf of the Department of 17 And the opening statement on behalf of the 18 Department of Health will be followed by the opening 19 statement on behalf of the PSNI, which will be 20 presented by Mr. Mark Robinson QC. There is a 10:30 15:02 21 start on Thursday morning and the first Core 22 Participant to provide an opening statement on Thursday will be the Trust, and that will be presented by 23 24 Mr. Joseph Aiken QC. The Trust's opening statement 25 will be followed by the opening statement on behalf of 15.02 26 the RQIA, given by Mr. Michael Neeson. At 2:00 p.m. on 27 Thursday the Panel will hear the closing statement on

CHAI RMAN:

28

29

behalf of the unaffiliated Core Participants --

It should be the opening, I think.

1	MR. DORAN: Did I say closing? Wishful thinking,	
2	Chair!	
3	CHAIRMAN: Yes, two o'clock Thursday.	
4	MR. DORAN: Two o'clock Thursday. That's for the	
5	unaffiliated Core Participants represented by O'Reilly	5:03
6	Stewart. And that statement will be given by	
7	Mr. Connor Maguire QC.	
8	CHAIRMAN: Yes.	
9	MR. DORAN: Then the final opening statement will be	
10	given on Monday morning at 10:00 a.m. by	5:03
11	Monye Anyadike-Danes QC on behalf of individuals	
12	affiliated to Action For Muckamore and individuals	
13	affiliated to the Society of Parents and Friends of	
14	Muckamore Abbey.	
15	1	5:04
16	So, that's the running order for the next few days.	
17	And that timetable has, I think, been formally issued	
18	to all.	
19		
20	As I've mentioned, next week has been set aside for the 1	5:04
21	closed viewing by the Panel of the CCTV footage. The	
22	Inquiry intends to have seven days of witness evidence	
23	at the end of June and the beginning of July. The	
24	first day of oral evidence will be on Tuesday, 28th	
25	June. The Inquiry will also sit on Wednesday 29th and	5:04
26	Thursday 30th June and then again from Monday 4th July	
27	to Thursday 7th July. And the hearings will then	
28	resume in September on a date to be fixed.	

1 As you have said, Chair, the questioning of witnesses 2 will be conducted by Inquiry counsel. Core Participants will be issued later this week with a 3 document that sets out the timetable for witness 4 5 statements to be provided to Core Participants and for 15:05 Core Participants to propose questions to Inquiry 6 7 counsel in advance of a witness' evidence. And there 8 is also a form on which the proposed questions must be 9 submitted. The actual schedule for those hearing days will be issued at the earliest possible opportunity. 10 15:05 11 CHAI RMAN: Yes. 12 Now, It is, of course, a matter for Core MR. DORAN: 13 Participants as to whether they wish to propose 14 questions for a witness. It should be borne in mind that this first phase of evidence is all about the 15 15:06 16 patient experience. The witnesses will have made their 17 statements. Inquiry counsel will try to assist those 18 witnesses in telling their stories to the Inquiry. 19 20 It may be that the procedure for proposing questions 15:06 will be utilised more extensively at a later stage of 21 22 the Inquiry when we come to hear from those in 23 positions of responsibility within the Hospital and 24 within the various relevant authorities. I do not, of 25 course, wish to discourage questions being proposed -15:06 26 it's entirely a matter for Core Participants and their 27 representatives to decide what, if any, questions they 28 wish to propose to Inquiry counsel - I just want to

29

emphasise that this first phase of evidence is devoted

1	to the evidence of people affected by the events at	
2	Muckamore; it is for them to come and tell the Inquiry	
3	about their experience or the experience of someone	
4	close to them.	
5		15:0
6	Chair, that brings me to the conclusion of my opening.	
7	I would like to thank my counsel team for their	
8	assistance and support in the preparation of these	
9	opening remarks. I'm very grateful to the Panel and to	
10	all of the others in attendance for bearing with me	15:0
11	over the past day and a half. I've covered a wide	
12	range of topics. We shall, of course, be returning to	
13	those topics in varying degrees of detail in the months	
14	ahead.	
15		15:0
16	Panel, finally, my counsel team and I look forward to	
17	assisting you throughout the course of the Inquiry	
18	hearings. Thank you.	
19	CHAIRMAN: Well, Mr. Doran, thank you very much indeed.	
20	You've managed to be both concise and comprehensive, so	15:0
21	we're very grateful.	
22		
23	I think to add to your programme, there is going to be	
24	training tomorrow morning at 11 o'clock in this room	
25	for those who are going to be using CaseView. So, the	15:0
26	room will be available to you and there will be	
27	somebody some of you may already know how to use	
28	CaseView, but it may be worth attending in any event to	

make sure everything is actually working properly.

1	I also want to thank our AV technicians, because in
2	fact, given that these are the first two days of the
3	Inquiry, it's, if I may say so, a masterful art of
4	getting everything up and running as they have. And
5	also, thanks to our stenographer; it's extremely useful 15:0
6	to have CaseView and to be able to follow what's
7	happening on screen. But it is an arduous job doing
8	it, as I know, so thank you very much to her.
9	
10	Could I ask that we have the room cleared in the next 15:0
11	sort of ten minutes, because I need to come in and do
12	various things. But in the meantime, thank you very
13	much indeed. Those who want to attend tomorrow for
14	training at 11 o'clock, please. Otherwise we'll start
15	at 2:00. Thank you very much indeed.
16	MR. DORAN: Thank you, Chair.
17	
18	THE INQUIRY WAS THEN ADJOURNED UNTIL WEDNESDAY, 8TH
19	JUNE 2022 AT 2: 00 P. M.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	