

MUCKAMORE ABBEY HOSPITAL INQUIRY
SITTING AT CORN EXCHANGE, CATHEDRAL QUARTER, BELFAST

HEARD BEFORE THE INQUIRY PANEL
ON TUESDAY, 12TH DECEMBER 2023 - DAY 72

72

Gwen Malone Stenography
Services certify the
following to be a
verbatim transcript of
their stenographic notes
in the above-named
action.

GWEN MALONE STENOGRAPHY
SERVICES

APPEARANCES

CHAIRPERSON: MR. TOM KARK KC

INQUIRY PANEL: MR. TOM KARK KC - CHAIRPERSON
PROF. GLYNIS MURPHY
DR. ELAINE MAXWELL

COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY: MR. SEAN DORAN KC
MS. DENISE KILEY BL
MR. MARK McEVOY BL
MS. SHIRLEY TANG BL
MS. SOPHIE BRIGGS BL
MR. JAMES TOAL BL
MS. RACHEL BERGIN BL

INSTRUCTED BY: MS. LORRAINE KEOWN
SOLICITOR TO THE INQUIRY

SECRETARY TO THE INQUIRY: MS. JACLYN RICHARDSON

ASSISTED BY: MR. STEVEN MONTGOMERY

FOR ACTION FOR MUCKAMORE &
SOCIETY OF PARENTS AND
FRIENDS OF MUCKAMORE: MS. MONYE ANYADIKE-DANES KC
MR. AIDAN MCGOWAN BL
MR. SEAN MULLAN BL

INSTRUCTED BY: PHOENIX LAW SOLICITORS

FOR GROUP 3: MR. CONOR MAGUIRE KC
MS. VICTORIA ROSS BL

INSTRUCTED BY: O'REILLY STEWART SOLICITORS

FOR BELFAST HEALTH &
SOCIAL CARE TRUST: MR. JOSEPH AIKEN KC
MS. ANNA MCLARNON BL
MS. LAURA KING BL
MS. SARAH SHARMAN BL
MS. SARAH MINFORD BL
MS. BETH MCMULLAN BL

INSTRUCTED BY: DIRECTORATE OF LEGAL SERVICES

FOR DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH: MR. ANDREW MCGUINNESS BL
MS. EMMA TREMLETT BL

INSTRUCTED BY:

MRS. SARA ERWIN
MS. TUTU OGLE

DEPARTMENTAL SOLICITORS
OFFICE

FOR RQIA:

MR. MICHAEL NEESON BL
MR. DANIEL LYTTLE BL

INSTRUCTED BY:

DWF LAW LLP

FOR PSNI :

MR. MARK ROBINSON KC
MS. EILIS LUNNY BL

INSTRUCTED BY:

DCI JILL DUFFIE

COPYRIGHT: Transcripts are the work of Gwen Malone Stenography Services and they must not be photocopied or reproduced in any manner or supplied or loaned by an appellant to a respondent or to any other party without written permission of Gwen Malone Stenography Services.

I N D E X

WITNESS	PAGE
MR. CAHAL MCKERVEY	
QUESTIONED BY MR. MCEVOY.....	5
QUESTIONED BY DR MAXWELL	53
QUESTIONED BY THE CHAIRPERSON	58

1 THE INQUIRY RESUMED ON TUESDAY, 12TH DECEMBER 2023 AS
2 FOLLOWS:

3
4 CHAIRPERSON: Good morning, I think that is a first, we
5 are actually starting a minute early. 09:55

6 MR. MCEVOY: End of year so we will keep going.

7 CHAIRPERSON: Right, are we ready with the witness?

8 MR. MCEVOY: We are.

9 CHAIRPERSON: I've got a few words that I'll say but
10 I'll say those obviously at the end of today's 09:55
11 evidence, okay. Shall we get the witness in.

12
13 MR. CAHAL MCKERVEY SWORN, QUESTIONED BY MR. MCEVOY:

14
15 CHAIRPERSON: Good morning, welcome to the Inquiry. 09:56
16 Thank you very much for coming to assist us and I'll
17 hand you over to Mr McEvoy.

18 1 Q. MR. MCEVOY: Good morning. Just begin by stating your
19 name, please, for the Inquiry?

20 A. My name is Cahal McKervey. 09:56

21 2 Q. In front of you is a statement dated 28th of November
22 of this year. I am going to read that statement into
23 the record and then there will be a few matters I'd
24 like to cover with questions.

25 A. No problem. 09:57

26 3 Q. "My connection with Muckamore is that I worked at the
27 hospital as an agency worker on a part-time basis. The
28 agency was Axis Nursing Agency, Belfast. The relevant
29 time period that I can speak about is between October

1 2019 to the present date.

2
3 I am a registered mental health and general nurse. I
4 qualified in April 1983 and retired in December 2018.
5 I remain on the live register and currently work 09:57
6 part-time as a bank nurse for the Belfast Trust.

7
8 I became a manager in mental health services for the
9 Belfast Health and Social Care Trust, ("Belfast Trust")
10 in 2008 and held that post until 2017. This was a Band 09:57
11 8A post. I worked in acute admissions services which
12 was spread over three sites, the Mater Hospital in
13 Belfast, the Windsor House Psychiatric Hospital in
14 Belfast and Knockbracken Health Care Park Hospital in
15 Belfast. 09:58

16
17 There were approximately 138 beds across the Belfast
18 Trust Mental Health Services and these provided for
19 either detained or voluntary patients. My role was to
20 maintain and supervise these services. There were 09:58
21 multidisciplinary teams on each ward, on each site.
22 There was a Band 7 post in each ward and I was their
23 direct line manager.

24
25 From 2017 and until my retirement in December 2018, I 09:58
26 was the interim service manager for the acute mental
27 health service in the Belfast Trust. I was responsible
28 for in-patient, unscheduled care, Shannon Clinical
29 Regional Forensic Services, general hospital psychiatry

1 team and the home treatment team. This was a Band 8B
2 post.

3
4 Following my retirement I did some project work, one or
5 two days a week from January 2019 until October 2019. 09:59
6 This involved treatment and care reviews for two
7 patients who were in in-patient mental health wards in
8 the Southern Health and Social Care Trust. In or
9 around 2019 Muckamore was in the media regarding a
10 criminal investigation into the treatment and care of 09:59
11 patients at Muckamore. I had heard from other
12 colleagues that staffing levels at Muckamore were low
13 and that it was difficult for the staff who were
14 working there. This was more of a general awareness
15 than knowledge of any specific issues or incidents. 09:59

16
17 In the Belfast Trust, the director of nursing, Brenda
18 Creaney, had circulated an internal assurance document
19 with questions about complaints and reporting
20 mechanisms which I understand was as a result of issues 10:00
21 arising at Muckamore. This was to ensure that
22 complaints and issues were being dealt with properly
23 and effectively within the Belfast Trust. It was given
24 to nursing staff on the wards and across mental health
25 services. Therefore, I had a general awareness of the 10:00
26 issues at Muckamore.

27
28 As a result of this awareness, I decided that I would
29 like to volunteer to assist Muckamore in whatever way I

1 could. I had no family or friends working in Muckamore
2 prior to this. I e-mailed H296, director, and offered
3 to assist if possible at Muckamore on a part-time
4 basis. This would have been in or around late
5 September 2019. She replied positively to my e-mail 10:00
6 and introduced me to H394, Assistant Director of
7 Nursing, by e-mail. She became my point of contact
8 from then on. I agreed to work two days a week,
9 usually on a Tuesday and Wednesday, in an
10 administrative role. I worked at Muckamore from 10:01
11 October 2019 until July 2022. I did not have a
12 specific job title and I was employed through Axis
13 Nursing Agency Belfast. I recall that there was a
14 significant change of management around this time.
15 Francis Rice, a retired Chief Executive and senior 10:01
16 nurse, was asked to advise on current arrangements as
17 was Jan McGall, Band 8C service manager, Fiona Rowan,
18 band 8B social worker, and H315, who was divisional
19 nurse, Band 8C. They all started around the same time
20 as me. I worked in the administration building at 10:01
21 Muckamore with these people.

22
23 I felt well supported in my role and that I could
24 approach anyone, including senior management. During
25 my time there, I had support from H300, service 10:02
26 manager. The co-directors were H627, H234 and H301 and
27 I felt I could have approached them if I needed to and
28 if there were any issues.
29

1 I had no induction, other than the mandatory training I
2 had with the agency and their Access NI checks. This
3 involved basic life support training, information
4 governance, resuscitation, manual handling, fire
5 awareness and handling of complaints which was a 10:02
6 mandatory requirement prior to employment with
7 Muckamore. I had no job description or title. My role
8 just evolved over the time that I worked there. I was
9 providing advice and support services due to my
10 previous experience of ward and system management. 10:02

11
12 I had no prior learning disability training prior to my
13 role at Muckamore, other than as placement student
14 nurse in and around 1982. There were occasionally
15 learning disability patients admitted to the mental 10:03
16 health wards, but it was very infrequent. The majority
17 of my experience was in mental health services.

18
19 It became clear quickly that the staff were very
20 stressed in Muckamore and seemed to be traumatised with 10:03
21 the unfolding events in the media and reporting on the
22 news. There were many registered mental health nurses
23 who were agency workers, for example from England, and
24 there were difficulties in integration. The agency
25 staff reported being moved at short notice from one 10:03
26 area of Muckamore to another to cover deficits in
27 staffing numbers. The majority of agency staff were
28 from diverse ethnic backgrounds and they were reporting
29 racial abuse from the patients. At this point they

1 were advised to complete Datix reporting, the incident
2 reporting system, so that the service could get an idea
3 of the number of occasions that this was occurring.
4 They also reported difficulty in getting access to
5 PARIS records, this is the computerised record system. 10:04
6 This was raised at the time and resolved by the IT
7 department. There appeared to be very few Learning
8 disability staff as most had left or had been placed on
9 precautionary suspension pending Police Service of
10 Northern Ireland and Belfast Trust investigations. 10:04
11

12 My first impressions of Muckamore were that it was very
13 difficult from a staffing perspective. It was very
14 difficult to recruit and there were a lack of Learning
15 disability nurses across the Belfast Trust. There were 10:04
16 a lot of mental health nurses in Learning disability
17 roles in Muckamore. There is a requirement for an
18 understanding of the conditions associated with the
19 care of Learning disability patients and a reliance on
20 individual behaviour support plans. I have a lot of 10:04
21 respect for Learning disability nurses. They are very
22 patient and understanding and need to have good
23 resilience. However, my impressions from the outset at
24 Muckamore were that the multidisciplinary teams and the
25 nursing teams seemed to be very fragmented. The 10:05
26 services had become very preoccupied by governance and
27 paperwork which was understandable given the
28 circumstances.
29

1 I was taken aback with the level of assaults and
2 incidents within the hospital. I had not previously
3 seen such a high level in my work environments.

4
5 There was a very high reliance on documentation and 10:05
6 minute taking at meetings. There was a large volume of
7 paperwork with incidents happening most days. It was
8 very difficult for the staff to manage and it was
9 having an impact on staff morale.

10 10:05
11 There was a live governance meeting every Thursday.
12 This was the terminology used for this meeting. Live
13 governance meetings were chaired by the Clinical
14 Director, either H443 or H223, and senior staff from
15 the wards, who attended remotely and all meetings were 10:06
16 minuted. I cannot recall the staff members names.
17 There would have been a summary of the previous action
18 points at the start of the meeting. Typically there
19 would have been an overview of incidents and adult
20 safeguarding issues with a detailed review of adverse 10:06
21 incidents for the previous week. There would have been
22 a review of any complaints raised. There was also a
23 review of any issues relating to the use of restrictive
24 practices. I would have attended the meetings very
25 occasionally as I generally worked on a Tuesday and 10:06
26 Wednesday. I was copied into the minutes of these
27 meetings and they were an effective way of having an
28 overview of the previous weeks' governance issues.
29 However, the minutes were very detailed in nature.

1 There were a number of incidents being referred to. In
2 my previous roles, we may have had eight or nine
3 incidents in a week. In Muckamore there were a lot
4 more incidents being reported.

5
6 There was a safety briefing call each morning. It was
7 conducted by way of a Teams call. On the call were
8 ward staff bands 5, 6 and 7, as well as H290, Assistant
9 Services Manager, who was a bank staff member. The
10 ward staff would have given an overview of the wards, 10:07
11 discussed any staff absence and other staffing issues
12 for the day. Seven Learning disability wards were
13 discussed each morning, Cranfield 1 and 2, Six Mile,
14 Iveagh Centre children's units, Ardmore, Donegore and
15 Erne. There would have been an overview of incidents, 10:07
16 ASG 1 forms, contact with medical and senior management
17 and staff gave a ward report during the Teams call, I
18 do not recall their names.

19
20 During the safety brief, an overview of staffing levels 10:08
21 was given by the ward staff and staff would have been
22 deployed around wards to cover for absences and
23 deficits. The ward staff discussed any patient on
24 patient assaults. I always found the ward staff to be
25 very cooperative. There was no resistance from a 10:08
26 management perspective and I found that staff were
27 always willing to help to meet the requirements of the
28 service.

29

1 Over time my role grew and evolved. I took on some
2 responsibility for information governance. Jan McGall
3 was dealing with the form 81s. This was completed when
4 the PSNI requested information on staff for possible
5 interview as part of their investigation. I took on 10:08
6 this task. The requests came in periodically in
7 batches. The PSNI sent a form 81 to the Belfast Trust
8 Information Governance Department at Knockbracken
9 Healthcare Park Hospital. The information governance
10 department then sent it to me to source the information 10:09
11 at Muckamore. These requests could have been asking
12 for a job description, supervision, previous
13 disciplinary history of staff, contracts of employment,
14 appraisals or similar documents.

15 10:09
16 I had to go to the Human Resources room in Muckamore to
17 get this information, send it back to the Information
18 Governance Department who then sent it to the PSNI.
19 This was initially manual paper records which were then
20 transported by post. I had no assistance from HR for 10:09
21 this task, but the administrative staff helped. The HR
22 function for Muckamore is based at McKinney House in
23 Musgrave Park Hospital in Belfast, therefore, they were
24 not on the Muckamore site. The information was usually
25 there in the HR room but occasionally it was not. For 10:09
26 example, appraisal documents were not always there.
27 However, I was impressed with the level of information
28 and the HR records that were held. Eventually I
29 changed the process to an electronic process which was

1 much better. I scanned the documentation and e-mailed
2 it to the information governance department who then
3 forwarded it to the PSNI.

4
5 There was an ongoing parallel disciplinary process in 10:10
6 respect of the Muckamore staff who were the subject of
7 the PSNI investigations. Disciplinary Panels were set
8 up. I was not involved in those but they may have
9 asked for policies and procedures and staff files to be
10 sent to them. The documentation was either physically 10:10
11 held in the HR room or on the computer system. When
12 the disciplinary process was completed I received the
13 outcomes and I sent them to the PSNI as part of the
14 form 81 process, for example, termination of employment
15 letters. I was the conduit for the information and 10:10
16 documentation but I did not have anything to do with
17 the actual disciplinary process itself.

18
19 I had occasional dealings with the PSNI but anything
20 that was sent to the PSNI went through the Information 10:11
21 Governance Department.

22
23 When the PSNI wanted to view CCTV footage as part of a
24 joint protocol process, adult safeguarding, they
25 requested this by completing a form and sending it to 10:11
26 the administration team in the administration building.
27 These forms were kept in a file by the administration
28 team. This was usually sent to the secretary in
29 administration but sometimes to me. I would have had

1 to facilitate the request to view the footage. The
2 process was that I contacted Radio Contact, an external
3 contractor, who managed the CCTV storage room in
4 Muckamore. They downloaded the CCTV footage on to a
5 disc and gave it to me. I then gave it to the 10:11
6 administrative team and the PSNI collected it from
7 there. In my absence this was conducted by the
8 administrative team.

9
10 As part of my role, I wanted to implement better 10:12
11 systems and fix issues that were happening in
12 Muckamore. I established from staff on the wards any
13 issues which needed addressed. One of the issues was
14 the emergency response system. There was a pager
15 system which not did not work properly. In an 10:12
16 emergency staff used the emergency response system and
17 they should get assistance from a designated pager
18 holder on each ward. However, the signals were not
19 sending properly. I was concerned that Muckamore is
20 quite isolated and staff needed to be quite 10:12
21 self-sufficient in terms of being able to deal with
22 emergencies. I do not know how long this issue was
23 happening for.

24
25 Some of the wards at Muckamore are connected, for 10:12
26 example, Cranfield 1 and Cranfield 2. Six Mile has two
27 connected wards for assessment and treatment. Ardmore
28 and Donegore are connected. The emergency response
29 system worked within a short distance and therefore,

1 the staff got assistance from their own ward or the
2 connected ward but not from others across Muckamore.
3 The staff only got assistance from some wards after
4 phone calls. I spoke to the ward managers, H426, the
5 Assistant Service Manager. I had tried unsuccessfully 10:13
6 to sort out the issue previously. I ensured that there
7 were walkie-talkies placed on the wards as a temporary
8 measure until extra antennae could be put in place.
9 This took around four or five months to resolve. I do
10 not recall any major issues being reported to me by any 10:13
11 of the ward managers.

12
13 During my time at Muckamore I also assisted with the
14 recruitment panels for Band 3, Band 6 and Band 7
15 nursing staff. I can recall sitting on approximately 10:13
16 three panel meetings. Applications for senior
17 positions were hard to recruit into, with frequent
18 rolling adverts and expressions of interests being
19 circulated. There were often no applications,
20 particularly for the senior posts. There were very few 10:14
21 applications from Learning Disability nurses.

22
23 I was occasionally asked to assist in finding
24 information for other ad-hoc queries such as requests
25 for information from Sarah Templar, Service Manager, 10:14
26 the Belfast Trust public liaison for the Muckamore
27 Inquiry. I was asked on one occasion to send
28 information relating to an incident that was reported
29 to me by H275, Deputy Ward Sister, on 22nd October 2019

1 whilst I was in post. An agency staff member allegedly
2 over emphasised the pouring of water on a patient's
3 head when they were washing their hair. By this I mean
4 they poured an over exaggerated amount of water on the
5 patient's head. The staff member was suspended from 10:14
6 duty pending an investigation and an adult safeguarding
7 process was initiated. A form 2 statutory notification
8 of events was completed and sent to the RQIA. An APP 7
9 was completed by investigating officer from the adult
10 safeguarding team with recommendations and an action 10:15
11 plan, as is the usual process within Muckamore.

12
13 Overall, during my time working at Muckamore I found
14 there to be a culture of respect between staff and that
15 they were very patient with sometimes challenging 10:15
16 patients in very difficult circumstances. The lack of
17 learning disability nurses had an impact on the service
18 provided. It is not usual practice for mental health
19 nurses to be looking after learning disability
20 patients. The preference and best practice is to have 10:15
21 a multidisciplinary team in place who have experience
22 in behaviour support. The training for learning
23 disability nurses is different in terms of problem
24 solving, behavioral support and management of the
25 patients. Learning disability patients who have a 10:15
26 life-long condition tend to be in hospital for a longer
27 period of time, as opposed to acute mental health
28 admissions who tend to recover quicker. Learning
29 disability patients have more long-term interventions.

1 I was on the wards one or two times a day and, other
2 than the incident that was reported to me, I did not
3 see any abuse of patients, poor care or anything that I
4 was uncomfortable with. I found the atmosphere on the
5 wards to be professional, despite the difficulties of 10:16
6 recruitment and retention. There did not appear to be
7 any difference between attitudes of staff on wards.
8 Given the circumstances, the staff were dedicated and
9 resilient. I only saw staff providing good care to
10 patients. I saw good evidence of de-escalation of 10:16
11 patients in situations which could have developed.
12 This was across most wards. I was impressed by what I
13 saw and I felt the staff were not too reactive and I
14 saw evidence that the staff knew the patients well.

15
16 I have no information to provide regarding admissions
17 to Muckamore. I do not believe that there were any new
18 admissions during this time, perhaps one new admission,
19 but I cannot recall.

20
21 I did not witness any restrictive practices taking
22 place. I had previous MAPA training. Some patients
23 were managed in a pod environment. This would have
24 been to protect them from potential aggression from
25 other patients. A patient who had a pod could leave 10:17
26 the pod when required and they were monitored closely
27 by nursing staff.

28
29 As part of my role I took over the finance audits as

1 indicated in the finance policy, but this was more
2 towards the end of my employment in Muckamore in early
3 2022. I conducted an audit of patient finances and
4 property every month and sent it to the finance
5 officer, H710, and the service manager for review. It 10:17
6 included a review of cash sheet balance and signatures
7 and a requirement that checks were being completed at
8 each handover. I went on to the wards and checked that
9 the patient records matched what was in the Bisley
10 cabinet drawer. This could have been cash, phones, 10:18
11 cigarettes, et cetera. I may also have noted that
12 there were too much cash being held in the cabinet on
13 occasions. This is an issue that I would have dealt
14 with by speaking to the ward manager at the time.

15 10:18
16 I finished my role in Muckamore in July 2022. I worked
17 for a short period of time conducting supervision and
18 appraisals for bank staff at Belfast City Hospital. I
19 currently work as bank nurse for the Belfast Trust. I
20 work one day a week, usually 1 o'clock to 6 o'clock. 10:18

21
22 I work as a CCTV reviewer at Muckamore viewing
23 retrospective CCTV footage. I did not receive any
24 training for this role. There is a CCTV suite in
25 Muckamore in the administrative building and this 10:18
26 process is overseen by H234, the co-director of
27 Muckamore. There are usually around 10 CCTV viewers
28 who are a mix of registered mental health nurses,
29 social workers and occupational health staff.

1 When I arrive for work I am given a time period to
2 review, for example, 7 in the morning to 3 o'clock in
3 the afternoon on a particular date. There is a sheet
4 with a time period on it and I log in and review the
5 CCTV for that period of time. There is no sound on the 10:19
6 recording. I look out for anything of concern and I
7 can increase the speed to four times faster than real
8 time. This is not normally anything to report. It is
9 very current footage, around three weeks ago. If there
10 were was an incident to report this would trigger an 10:19
11 immediate report to senior management and adult
12 safeguarding."

13

14 So, Mr. McKervey, having heard me read that into the
15 record are you content to adopt that statement then as 10:19
16 part of your evidence to the Inquiry?

17 A. Yes.

18 4 Q. If we go back to paragraph 7 to begin with please?

19 A. Yes.

20 5 Q. And picking up on what you tell us about the internal 10:20
21 assurance document that you make reference to?

22 A. Yes.

23 6 Q. Regarding complaints and reporting mechanisms. In your
24 role what would your responsibility have been around
25 -- and you were 8B I think at this particular time; is 10:20
26 that right?

27 A. I was in my post as service manager at the time.

28 7 Q. Yes?

29 A. So this was something that was nursing led, it was led

1 by Brendan Creaney, Director of Nursing, so I didn't
2 really have any -- this was an assurance that was
3 required by nursing.

4 8 Q. Yes?

5 A. I didn't have any direct involvement in it at all. 10:20

6 9 Q. But would you and other 8Bs have had a role in
7 distributing it, in other words cascading it?

8 A. No, it would have been, to be fair it would have been
9 8C divisional nurse who was responsible. 8Bs were sort
10 of more operational management, so it was nursing led. 10:21

11 10 Q. Okay and how would its content have been broken down,
12 if you're able to help us with this, broken down and
13 sort of made easy and quick for nurses on the ground to
14 understand?

15 A. Right, okay, so I never seen the document. I just left 10:21
16 at that point so I didn't really see the capacity of
17 the document or any outcome because I had left at that
18 point.

19 11 Q. All right, thank you. Okay, and then just moving on
20 then to when you first come to work in Muckamore. Can 10:21
21 you tell us who it was you would have considered to be
22 your, if you know the name, I should have indicated to
23 you, you have a cipher list which I hope you are aware
24 of?

25 A. Yes. 10:21

26 12 Q. If you know the name of the person and if there is such
27 a person --

28 A. There is.

29 13 Q. But can you tell us who your direct report would have

1 been, in other words who you would have considered your
2 line manager so to speak?

3 A. That would have been -- her name is not on that
4 actually. I think her name is mentioned here, Jan
5 McGall. 10:22

6 14 Q. She doesn't have a cipher, that's fine.

7 A. Yeah.

8 15 Q. She would have been the person to whom you would have
9 reported then?

10 A. Yes. 10:22

11 16 Q. You did not have a specific job title?

12 A. No.

13 17 Q. Can you give us a description or something
14 approximating to it?

15 A. Okay, so myself and Jan McGall were sort of received in 10:22
16 Muckamore in and around the same time as there was a
17 change of management at that time. Now Jan, I knew Jan
18 previously, she was an 8B Operations Manager in Belfast
19 Trust Mental Health Services so I would have been
20 responding to her directly. So I was classed as a sort 10:22
21 of like a peripatetic assistant service manager which
22 was somebody who, as I have tried to explain in the
23 document, was -- from my experience people would have
24 come to me for advice and support about management
25 systems, managing off duties and the systems they had 10:23
26 to monitor staffing levels, et cetera. But I would
27 have met with Jan fairly regularly.

28 18 Q. And you're very clear in your statement that you
29 reached out, you initiated the contact?

1 A. I did.

2 19 Q. Saying 'is there any way I can help'?

3 A. Yeah.

4 20 Q. Of course, you made that offer against the backdrop of
5 what you saw developing in the media? 10:23

6 A. Yeah.

7 21 Q. Did you know more specifically whether there was an
8 actual need for somebody with your experience and
9 expertise?

10 A. No, no. Well, I suppose when I got there, yes, I 10:23
11 definitely felt -- I mean, I suppose I got the
12 impression that I was quite helpful to people.

13 22 Q. Yes?

14 A. Because you got the feeling that some systems were
15 working well and some systems weren't working so well, 10:23
16 particularly in relation to managing sort of like
17 initially when I went there they were reporting, they
18 were doing staffing numbers on a daily basis, but they
19 were just doing numbers. So they would have, for
20 instance, Cranfield 1, they would have had 12 staff in 10:24
21 the morning, 12 in the afternoon and maybe seven or
22 eight at night. But what it didn't do, it didn't
23 differentiate between who was trained, who was
24 untrained, skill mix, learning disability nurses or
25 mental health nurses, so that was the sort of thing I 10:24
26 would have been looking at, just to see if there was
27 something that could be presented to the senior
28 management team we could change this and maybe this
29 would give us a better overview or an idea of what the

1 current staffing levels were.

2 23 Q. Okay. In that role, the peripatetic assistant service
3 manager, is that something that you would have
4 considered to be patient-facing?

5 A. No, I wouldn't have been associated with any patients 10:24
6 really at all.

7 24 Q. Yes?

8 A. I wasn't a named nurse for any patients or had no
9 direct responsibility for any patients.

10 25 Q. In a few minutes we'll come back to what you said about 10:24
11 being on the wards and so forth. All right, and in
12 terms of the practical day-to-day so business of how
13 you would have interacted and communicated your
14 thoughts and recommendations about the gaps that you
15 were seeing? 10:25

16 A. Yeah.

17 26 Q. My words, not yours in fairness, but in terms of the
18 issues you were identifying, how would you have gone
19 about that?

20 A. So I would have been based in the administration 10:25
21 building in Muckamore Abbey. I would have met
22 periodically the senior management team who were in the
23 administration side. I would have been involved in a
24 Teams call, the safety brief call every morning which
25 went through a variety of what would have happened the 10:25
26 previous 24 hours and then you would look and you would
27 plan for the next 24 hours in relation to staff
28 complement, sort of looking after the pager system, et
29 cetera, and reporting of any incidents et cetera.

1 27 Q. When you entered the hospital initially, you described
2 there being no induction, for you anyway?
3 A. Yeah.

4 28 Q. Was there any other discussion or briefing given to you
5 in terms of protocols and processes particular to 10:26
6 Muckamore?
7 A. Well I would have been, I would have been aware of all
8 the protocols because they were similar to mental
9 health so I would have known things about observations
10 policies and I would have known -- I actually got a 10:26
11 tour of the wards. I can recall being introduced and
12 walked around the day treatment services which I was
13 quite impressed with, to be honest. When I say I got
14 no induction, I was brought around the system, I would
15 have been introduced to people. I would have known a 10:26
16 few people from the mental health background but I
17 would have had an induction from the point of view of
18 an awareness of all the wards.

19 29 Q. Yes?
20 A. And who was the managers of each ward, et cetera. 10:27

21 30 Q. But of course you were coming from a mental health
22 background?
23 A. Yeah.

24 31 Q. Was there anything, therefore, learning disability
25 specific, in other words specific to the environment at 10:27
26 Muckamore?
27 A. No, I mean other than, I mean a sort of general
28 awareness of the patients, but I wouldn't have had a
29 detailed overview of the patients.

1 CHAIRPERSON: Just so that I'm clear, would you have
2 expected one or not really because you weren't going to
3 be --

4 A. Not really, no. I felt comfortable enough being in
5 that environment, I wasn't anxious about it. I felt 10:27
6 that my past experience would have been used in my
7 gaining awareness of the environment quite quickly.

8 32 Q. MR. MCEVOY: I mean on that score, as for others,
9 elsewhere in your statement you are clear about the
10 delineation between mental health nurses and learning 10:27
11 disability nurses and the specific attributes that one
12 looks for in a learning disability nurse?

13 A. Yes.

14 33 Q. Is there anything more you would say about that?

15 A. Well, you see, I think the Trust were caught between a 10:28
16 rock and a hard place to say from the point of view the
17 availability of learning disability nurses were
18 becoming more and more depleted with the unfolding
19 investigation and police inquiry, et cetera. I think
20 the Trust did the right thing from the point of view 10:28
21 that they had built this relationship up with an
22 agency, the agency had confirmed and said they were
23 going to try and provide 45 whole time equivalents to
24 cover deficits, which they seemed to be quite
25 successful in doing. However, there is definitely a 10:28
26 difference between mental health nurses and learning
27 disability nurses. They do different training. So, I
28 think, if I was to reflect and say could they have put
29 45 general nurses in there, I don't think that would

1 have been --I think the Trust tried to do the best that
2 they possibly could given the circumstances.

3 34 Q. In paragraph 11 you say the staff appear to be
4 traumatised. Do you know whether there was any form of
5 support afforded to the staff? 10:29

6 A. Yeah, yeah. To be honest there was, I could see that
7 there was good support from the point of view I was
8 aware that there was counsellors on site and that the
9 staff had been made aware of these, I don't know -- I
10 wouldn't have known how often the counsellors would 10:29
11 have been contacted. But, I think, you know, that
12 availability was there for staff whenever they needed
13 to use it, they just rang up and then there was a staff
14 care service that was separate to that, that the Trust
15 managed and the staff knew they could ring also. 10:29

16 35 Q. And do you know whether staff, in fact, made use of
17 those?

18 A. Well I would have personally given them, some staff,
19 the numbers if they had have been a bit upset or
20 distressed, I would have sourced the number for them 10:30
21 and given to them to contact. Whether they would have
22 contacted or not, it would have been confidential and I
23 wouldn't have been made aware.

24 36 Q. DR. MAXWELL: Can I ask a little bit more about that,
25 because obviously there is support for their individual 10:30
26 stress, but you talk about the changing environment,
27 large number of new staff coming from England?

28 A. Yes.

29 37 Q. DR. MAXWELL: Some from ethnic minority backgrounds?

1 A. Yes.

2 38 Q. DR. MAXWELL: We know that there are challenges in
3 forming teams. What work was done to ensure that team
4 work was effective when so much change was happening in
5 a very difficult context? 10:30

6 A. Yeah, I mean, I wasn't responsible for having anything
7 to do with agency nurses coming in, but I think there
8 was, yes, there was good enough integration at times.
9 However, I think there was, this would have been
10 between the agency and the Trust, a decision making 10:31
11 about induction et cetera, I don't know what induction
12 --

13 39 Q. DR. MAXWELL: I'm not talking about induction. I am
14 talking about proactive measures. So you were brought
15 in as a professional lead manager? 10:31

16 A. Yeah.

17 40 Q. DR. MAXWELL: Not to do clinical work but to bring your
18 nursing leadership skills to management and I recognise
19 the responsibility was probably with Jan McGall as a
20 service manager? 10:31

21 A. Yes.

22 41 Q. DR. MAXWELL: But what did the local management do to
23 establish and maintain good team working?

24 A. So, the managers, the other ASMs who were in the
25 service would have been in the wards. I can recall 10:31
26 having a meeting with the agency staff on one occasion,
27 all the staff just to see how they were getting on and
28 how they felt things were going and that's where I said
29 they were raising difficulties about the PARIS system,

1 about integration from the point of view of coming to
2 Northern Ireland, et cetera, and being employed in
3 their role. I'm not saying it was all plain sailing
4 but it was, we tried our best just from the point of
5 view of meeting with them and any particular issues 10:32
6 that they had, we made sure that we tried to address
7 them as much as possible. I think from one of the
8 points that we were getting raised in the meeting, I
9 had only one meeting with them, myself and another SM,
10 there was an idea that the patients were seeing the 10:32
11 agency staff as being different. So there was a
12 proposal to see if they could get the agency staff into
13 Belfast Trust uniforms which would sort of mean that
14 for the staff on the wards, plus for the patients, that
15 there was a greater awareness, there weren't strange 10:33
16 people coming into the wards.

17 42 Q. DR. MAXWELL: So there was support for the agency staff
18 but what support was offered to the substantive
19 Muckamore staff who had this cloud of the allegations
20 hanging over them, didn't know if they were going to be 10:33
21 interviewed in relation to the allegations?

22 A. So staff on the wards would have had supervision.

23 43 Q. DR. MAXWELL: Clinical supervision?

24 A. Clinical supervision.

25 44 Q. DR. MAXWELL: And who was providing that? 10:33
26 A. That would have been charge nurses, ward sisters who
27 would have been providing it to the staff on the wards.

28 45 Q. DR. MAXWELL: And who was giving clinical supervision
29 to the ward sisters?

1 A. Well the ASMs, the assistant service managers.

2 46 Q. DR. MAXWELL: So were you involved in doing clinical
3 supervision?

4 A. Yeah.

5 47 Q. DR. MAXWELL: Did you have a named groups of staff you 10:33
6 were the clinical supervisor for?

7 A. Did I have personally? No, I hadn't, but the other
8 assistant services managers had.

9 48 Q. DR. MAXWELL: So you weren't providing supervision?

10 A. I provided some supervision to some of the staff. I 10:34
11 can remember one particular ward manager, she was a
12 Band 7, I would have seen her I think on a monthly
13 basis.

14 49 Q. DR. MAXWELL: A monthly basis, thank you.

15 50 Q. CHAIRPERSON: Just while we are on the topic of teams, 10:34
16 just so I understand, there was obviously -- there
17 appears to have been a lot of movement of staff at the
18 time that you were there, there were agency staff
19 coming in?

20 A. Yeah. 10:34

21 51 Q. CHAIRPERSON: Mixed with permanent staff. Was there
22 also a lot of movement as between the wards or was
23 there an attempt that you could see to ensure that
24 teams on wards remained cohesive?

25 A. Probably -- I wasn't aware of any sort of team building 10:34
26 days as such which probably I would have had experience
27 of in the past. So the teams, I mean, there would have
28 been a ward managers' meeting every Friday morning
29 which I didn't attend. That would have been an attempt

1 to sort of get an overview of how teams were doing. I
2 wouldn't have been part of that discussion, but I know
3 there would have been minutes taken and every, I think
4 it was probably every week that meeting happened on a
5 Friday morning.

10:35

6 CHAIRPERSON: Okay, thank you.

7 52 Q. MR. MCEVOY: Perhaps picking up on that going onto the
8 next paragraph, paragraph 12, having described the
9 particular attributes of learning disability nurses and
10 so on that we've touched on, you say about half way
11 down the paragraph:

10:35

12
13 "My impressions from the outset at Muckamore were that
14 the multidisciplinary teams and the nursing teams seem
15 to be very fragmented. "

10:35

16
17 Can you tell us how you formed that impression?

18 A. Maybe fragmented isn't the right word. So I suppose
19 what I would try to say is there were seven wards that
20 had to be accounted for, multidisciplinary team-wise,
21 and to have a proper multidisciplinary team would you
22 have required a speech and language therapist, you
23 would have required a behaviour support nurse, a
24 psychiatrist, a learning disability consultant, social
25 work and occupational therapist and of course a
26 learning disability nurse, which numbers were being
27 sort of depleted. I'm not -- I mean I don't know for
28 certain but I would have been under the impression that
29 those numbers of people in that ward to decide on

10:36

10:36

1 behaviour support plans were not as evident as they
2 could have been. I think there may have been some
3 problems and obviously Psychology would have been
4 involved also. I don't think there was a full
5 membership of the multidisciplinary team in each ward. 10:36
6 I think there was three consultants for all seven wards
7 as far as I can recollect.

8 53 Q. So by being fragmented, what do you mean?
9 A. I don't mean sort of fragmented, I suppose what I'm
10 trying to say is that there wasn't full membership of a 10:37
11 multidisciplinary team on each ward.

12 54 Q. And were there any wards in particular which seemed to
13 suffer more than others in terms of a reduced cohort of
14 membership?
15 A. I think on recollection, I think Erne possibly could 10:37
16 have been difficult to get that multidisciplinary team.

17 55 Q. Any others?
18 A. I can't really -- I'm not sure exactly to be honest.

19 56 Q. Erne is the one that stands out?
20 A. Erne is the one that stands out. 10:37

21 57 Q. The services you then say in the next sentence that
22 become very preoccupied by governance and paperwork,
23 which was understandable given the circumstances?
24 A. Yeah.

25 58 Q. Can you tell us what you think from your recollection 10:37
26 drove that?
27 A. I think, there would have been a sort of, I talked
28 about the live governance meeting which was minuted, I
29 wasn't used to that sort of level of input from teams

1 and levels of reviews of incidents and complaints every
2 week. That seemed to be quite a substantive document
3 and it took probably about an hour and a half, I'm sure
4 it took a lot longer to minute it and that sort of
5 happened on a regular basis. I think really on the 10:38
6 basis of ASG referrals, there seemed to be a lot of ASG
7 referrals. And even from my personal experience of
8 completing form 2s, form 2s seemed to be something that
9 was completed very readily. In my previous post we
10 would have completed a form 2 to RQIA if there had have 10:38
11 been a death, a suicide, a serious assault against a
12 patient, an assault against a patient or a staff
13 member. So the threshold seemed to be a lot lower.

14 59 Q. Are you saying then, are you telling the Inquiry in
15 your opinion -- 10:39

16 A. In my opinion.

17 60 Q. There was an over reporting?

18 A. Well, no, I think it was a consequence of the media
19 reports, the news, the investigations. I thought in my
20 opinion that there was a difference from what I used to 10:39
21 see previously.

22 61 Q. DR. MAXWELL: Could you give an example of the sort of
23 thing that was now being reported that perhaps wouldn't
24 have been in your experience earlier in your career?

25 A. When I make reference to -- I mean if I'm saying in 10:39
26 relation to form 2, it would have been a serious event,
27 for instance.

28 62 Q. DR. MAXWELL: I understand but what sort of thing was
29 now being reported on form 2?

1 A. I think anything in relation to staff and patient was
2 being --

3 63 Q. DR. MAXWELL: So could you give an example?
4 A. Yes, that one that I just gave in this document, the
5 water pouring of the patient. That normally wouldn't 10:40
6 have met the threshold for RQIA involvement.
7 DR. MAXWELL: Right, thank you.

8 64 Q. CHAIRPERSON: But you also say that you were taken
9 aback by levels of assaults and incidents within the
10 hospital? 10:40
11 A. Yeah.

12 65 Q. CHAIRPERSON: Are you referring to patient on patient
13 or patient on staff?
14 A. I am talking about patient on patient and patient
15 against staff. I mean, I suppose what I'm saying is in 10:40
16 my mental health background and my previous post I
17 wouldn't have seen as many incidents. I had nothing to
18 gauge this against. I hadn't worked in learning
19 disability for that type of --

20 CHAIRPERSON: You had no measure -- 10:40
21 A. I had no measure. It was a feeling that I had. It
22 seemed to be a lot of cases, probably 20 a week, and I
23 was only just ascertaining that through the live
24 governance meetings. If you see the minutes it would
25 indicate those. So it was things like hair pulling, 10:40
26 head banging and self-injurious behaviour.

27 66 Q. MR. MCEVOY: we can gauge from what you have just said
28 that nothing had prepared you for that, you knew
29 something about what was going on in Muckamore from the

1 media?

2 A. Yeah, no.

3 67 Q. And obviously then you put your hand up to go and work
4 there, but nobody had given you an indication then of
5 other assaults that were going on in the hospital 10:41
6 between patients, patients and staff?

7 A. No, no.

8 68 Q. DR. MAXWELL: Can I ask, you were taken aback, did you
9 say to anybody --

10 A. I would have said to the managers, look, I think there 10:41
11 is a lot of incidents here.

12 69 Q. DR. MAXWELL: what was their response?

13 A. Well I think looking at it through live governance and
14 see if there was anything that could have been done
15 differently or managed differently. 10:41

16 70 Q. DR. MAXWELL: I suppose what I'm asking is, did they
17 say this is a normal level for learning disability
18 services or, yes, we agree this seems higher than we'd
19 expect for learning disability?

20 A. That would have been the governance department would 10:42
21 have been looking at trends and analysis of incidents
22 and stuff like that. There was a produced document
23 every couple of weeks, every month in relation to -- as
24 I say I was only there two days a week, I wouldn't have
25 known the inner complexities of dealing with -- 10:42

26 71 Q. DR. MAXWELL: Okay, but there was a written document so
27 if the Inquiry wished, we could ask for The Trust's --
28 for the trend analyses?

29 A. Yes.

1 72 Q. DR. MAXWELL: We can see for ourselves whether it was
2 going up or down or staying static?
3 A. Yes.
4 DR. MAXWELL: Okay, thanks.

5 73 Q. MR. MCEVOY: On that theme, you said towards the end of 10:42
6 the paragraph:
7
8 "There was a very high reliance on documentation and
9 minute taking."
10
11 which is something you have also touched on.
12
13 "A large volume of paperwork with incidents happening
14 most days, it was very difficult for the staff to
15 manage and it was having an impact on staff morale." 10:42
16
17 First of all was that difficulty and impact felt by
18 staff at a particular level or at various levels?
19 A. I think various levels to be honest, and I think the
20 ASMs, some of the ASMs found it quite difficult. 10:43
21 74 Q. What about down the ranks, the bands, would you have
22 had an awareness of how more junior or less senior
23 nurses or nurse managers would have found it?
24 A. Purely just through conversations with them, just that
25 they were finding the whole process very difficult. 10:43
26 75 Q. A general struggle?
27 A. Yes.
28 76 Q. Did you make that point to anyone in authority in the
29 hospital about the your perception of the impact on

1 staff morale?

2 A. Yeah, we would have had discussions in our senior
3 management team, I think they would have been aware.

4 77 Q. would you have conveyed that concern?

5 A. Yeah. 10:43

6 78 Q. And presumably, given that we are talking about minutes
7 and a plethora of them, there would be minutes that
8 would indicate that being discussed?

9 A. I'm sure there would be minutes about it.

10 79 Q. Okay. In terms of the governance meeting, can you give 10:43
11 us an overall picture, you may have done this already
12 but just so we have it clearly, of who all would have
13 been, either in terms of grade or band, who would have
14 been in attendance at the governance meeting?

15 A. Okay, so, as I say, I didn't attend many of them, I got 10:44
16 the minutes. From my recollection there would have
17 been the clinical director, there would have been adult
18 safeguarding team, there would have been Band 7s on the
19 wards, and the divisional social worker and people, as
20 I say, from adult safeguarding would have been at that 10:44
21 meeting, the Teams, the live governance meeting.

22 80 Q. And in terms of the issues and incidents that were
23 discussed at them, do you know how then those were
24 escalated or if they were escalated?

25 A. So my understanding is that they would have been 10:45
26 carried forward to the following week, anything that
27 wasn't resolved at that meeting or discussed would have
28 been carried forward to the following week.

29 81 Q. So it was a running series of actions then?

1 A. Yes, yeah.

2 82 Q. Okay. You then identified in terms of the systems and
3 issues, looking at paragraph 18 of your statement,
4 wanting to implement better systems and one of those
5 was the emergency response system? 10:45

6 A. Yes.

7 83 Q. Were pager systems used in other services throughout
8 the Trust?

9 A. Yeah, my own service when I managed them, each ward had
10 an independent incident response system, primarily to 10:45
11 provide assistance if it is required for sort of like
12 if there was a fire or if there was an emergency from a
13 cardiac arrest point of view, or if there was an issue
14 of aggression or violence on the ward.

15 84 Q. And you say that the pager system then at Muckamore 10:46
16 you've discovered wasn't working properly. Was the
17 technology around the pager system, the equipment and
18 so on, was it as up-to-date as you would have had
19 knowledge from other --

20 A. It was a similar type of system. It was an alarm 10:46
21 system where a staff member pulls a pin and sends a
22 message to a designated area which notifies pagers and
23 notifies the staff where to go to, wherever the
24 incident is happening. Yes, so all systems, all
25 hospitals tended to work that way. 10:46

26 85 Q. Yeah?

27 A. And as I say, whenever I went to, whenever it was
28 raised with me there was something wrong with an
29 antennae, a situation which wasn't -- meant that

1 periodically the pagers wouldn't work properly. So
2 that's why I took to do with trying to get that --

3 86 Q. Do you know were they used, the pager system, you say
4 it wasn't working properly, was it used to the extent
5 that you would have expected based on your experience 10:47
6 in other facilities?

7 A. Yes, it was used, it was used in a similar way.

8 87 Q. And do you know when you became aware of the system
9 with the antennae, do you know how long that issue had
10 been prevailing for? 10:47

11 A. It was reported to me by another ASM that she had been
12 trying to deal with it and she couldn't get it sorted,
13 so then I looked to try and deal with -- I got in
14 contact with estates just to see, and I think there may
15 be some money had to be released to put another 10:47
16 antennae up to make the thing work properly.

17 88 Q. So again, any idea how long that had been a problem
18 for?

19 A. Probably, maybe about six months I think.

20 89 Q. Okay, when you went about trying to put those steps in 10:48
21 place and, as you say, getting the money to fix it, was
22 there any resistance or any difficulty securing that?

23 A. No, none whatsoever, no.

24 90 Q. Any other practical improvements like that, that you
25 identified and attempted to rectify? It's not a trick 10:48
26 question by the way, just in case there is anything
27 along that line?

28 A. That was one of my concerns because that sort of
29 system, I was very au fait with and I know I had

1 implemented such systems at Knockbracken Health Care
2 Park, Mater Hospital and in Windsor House so I was
3 fairly au fait.

4 91 Q. Something you were alive to?
5 A. I was au fait with this. In fact, I would have drawn 10:48
6 up sort of protocols in relation to that in the past.

7 92 Q. CHAIRPERSON: Can I ask on the point about the pagers,
8 you came in and it took you a little while to resolve
9 it but actually you say you didn't find any resistance
10 in terms of money? 10:49

11 A. No.

12 93 Q. CHAIRPERSON: Or actually eventually putting it in
13 place. But could you understand why your intervention
14 was necessary, why hadn't that filtered up before you
15 got there? 10:49

16 A. Probably, I think really there has to be an awareness
17 about these systems and know how they work. I think
18 really probably was it given enough priority? I'm not
19 sure. But I think there was an issue of people not
20 really understanding why it wasn't working properly. 10:49
21 All you would have got was there was something wrong
22 with the system, there is antennae, so you had to sort
23 of persevere.

24 94 Q. CHAIRPERSON: And you had to know the system --

25 A. You had to know the system. And to be fair I don't 10:49
26 think the people in Muckamore would have been totally
27 au fait. It was a mixture of me knowing how the system
28 worked and how estates worked to try and pursue that.
29 I mean I don't think any of the senior management team

1 would have had any background in relation to that type
2 of system to be honest.

3 95 Q. CHAIRPERSON: No but it is a bit of a case, it maybe an
4 example of a new broom coming in having different
5 knowledge? 10:50

6 A. Yes.

7 96 Q. CHAIRPERSON: And you were able to do things quite
8 quickly?

9 A. Yes, yes.

10 CHAIRPERSON: Yes. 10:50

11 97 Q. MR. MCEVOY: Turning to paragraph 20 at the top of page
12 8 then, Mr. McKervey, and you tell us something about
13 your experience of recruitment for Muckamore. You sat
14 on a number of panels for bands 3, 6 and 7
15 respectively, was there any specialism or any 10:50
16 particular requirement in relation to any of those jobs
17 for Muckamore?

18 A. Well, there would have been for learning disability
19 staff of that level, 3, 5 and --

20 98 Q. And they would have been advertised as? 10:51

21 A. Advertised as learning disability posts.

22 99 Q. And you say applications for senior positions were hard
23 to recruit into?

24 A. Yeah, yeah.

25 100 Q. But presumably it wasn't, the difficulty wasn't just 10:51
26 confined to senior positions then?

27 A. Well, band 3s were probably easier to recruit into, but
28 it wasn't band 3s that the service was requiring, it
29 was Band 5s, 6s and Band 7s.

1 101 Q. DR. MAXWELL: By which you mean registered nurses
2 because of course Band 3s aren't?
3 A. No.

4 102 Q. DR. MAXWELL: So it was registered nurses with the
5 learning disability registration? 10:51
6 A. Registered nurses, yeah.

7 103 Q. MR. MCEVOY: So the problem remained with registered
8 nurses across the spectrum of seniority essentially?
9 A. Yes.

10 104 Q. "...Often no applications, particularly for senior 10:51
11 posts. Very few applications for learning disability
12 nurses."
13
14 Do you know whether the Trust took any steps to try and
15 improve interest and if so what steps? 10:52
16 A. I'm not sure. I had no involvement in that from a
17 recruitment and retention aspect. I mean I was just
18 reporting on what my experience was of recruitment. It
19 seemed quite difficult to get learning disability
20 trained members of staff. 10:52

21 105 Q. Do you recall it being a topic of discussion with
22 colleagues?
23 A. I think it was, with colleagues, yeah. I mean I
24 suppose the Trusts were putting rolling adverts out and
25 they weren't getting the response that they would have 10:52
26 liked or expected for jobs.

27 106 Q. DR. MAXWELL: Did you ever know whether they considered
28 any incentives? Sometimes in hard to recruit areas,
29 Trusts will give incentives, whether that's financial

1 or otherwise?

2 A. There was, there was. I'm not exactly sure, but I
3 think there was 12% uplift in wages for staff and I
4 think they were really trying hard, positive image, et
5 cetera, but just unfortunately people weren't applying 10:53
6 for the jobs.

7 107 Q. MR. MCEVOY: Now, turning to paragraph 23 then, coming
8 back, a bit earlier on in your evidence I asked you
9 about whether or not you would have considered yourself
10 in a patient-facing role and you were pretty clear it 10:53
11 wasn't?

12 A. No.

13 108 Q. But you say at the top of the paragraph 23 you're on
14 the wards one or two times a day and "other than the
15 incident that was reported to me", which is the one 10:53
16 we've talked about, the hair washing incident, if we
17 put it that way, "I did not see any abuse of patients,
18 poor care or anything I was uncomfortable with." In
19 what capacity would you have been on the wards once or
20 twice a day? 10:53

21 A. I would have been in just to have a chat with staff,
22 speak to the managers, general conversations. Towards
23 the end I was doing my financial audits, patients'
24 property, so that would have been in meeting with
25 staff, I would have met the other ASMs to chat about 10:54
26 staffing levels and numbers.

27 109 Q. would those staff have known, the ward staff have known
28 you were coming?

29 A. No, it was ad hoc.

1 110 Q. You could have been down at 10 o'clock, 1 o'clock?
2 A. Yes, I never had any specific same time every day, I
3 would have been in at various times of the day.
4 111 Q. Yes. Was there, in relation to each of the wards that
5 you went to, was there any sense of a different 10:54
6 practice or culture?
7 A. No, I didn't get, no, everybody seemed to be, as I say,
8 operating the same way from the point of view of the
9 regular managers' meetings and everybody was adhering
10 to the policies and procedures that were in place. 10:54
11 112 Q. Okay. In terms then, what you tell us about the pods
12 to which you make reference at paragraph 25, some
13 patients you tell us were managed in a pod environment.
14
15 "This would have been to protect them from potential 10:55
16 aggression from other patients."
17
18 I suppose first things first, what would you have
19 understood the pod to entail?
20 A. The pod, it was like an individual area for the patient 10:55
21 to sleep and live and manage. It was something that
22 developed -- from my understanding it was always
23 staffed by, there was always staff in the pod with the
24 patient. So it was a way of sort of minimising any
25 other sort of -- because there would have been 10:55
26 occasional interaction and aggression between patients
27 so that was a way of minimising that. I wouldn't have
28 been involved in any decision making in relation to
29 that set up.

1 113 Q. Of course?
2 A. But I was aware of their existence.
3 114 Q. Did you ever see inside one yourself?
4 A. No, I didn't, no.
5 115 Q. You tell us that a patient who had a pod could leave 10:56
6 the pod when required?
7 A. Yeah.
8 116 Q. So in terms of, what sort of requirements would have --
9 A. The patient would probably leave to go to day services
10 sometimes. 10:56
11 117 Q. So they couldn't leave therefore as they wished, it was
12 more --
13 A. I think they could leave if they wished.
14 118 Q. CHAIRPERSON: Can I ask if you have direct knowledge of
15 this because we have heard a bit of evidence about the 10:56
16 pods?
17 A. Yes.
18 119 Q. CHAIRPERSON: So I just want to know what your basis
19 is?
20 A. As I say, my knowledge of it is limited to be honest, 10:56
21 there was an awareness about it. I didn't know the
22 actual procedures and policies in relation to the
23 management of them.
24 CHAIRPERSON: No, that's fine, thank you.
25 120 Q. MR. MCEVOY: well, I mean if you are unable to answer 10:56
26 this of course please just say, but do you know whether
27 there was an understanding about how long a patient
28 would be in a pod for, broadly?
29 A. I don't know that answer.

1 121 Q. No. Okay, in terms then of paragraph 26 and what you
2 touched on about finance audits. Can you recall who it
3 was who tasked you with conducting the finance audits?
4 A. Was it tasked to me?
5 122 Q. Yes? 10:57
6 A. Yes, I think RQIA had been in and had done a review of
7 the financial management and, I mean, they were seeing
8 some discrepancies in the audits themselves. So I
9 think, I mean I was tasked with taking these on as a
10 person who could have focus on it and trying to, and 10:57
11 manage and look to see if there was anything, you know,
12 in relation to compliance with the audits.
13 123 Q. Okay, and in terms of, had you any administrative
14 assistance to help you with that task?
15 A. Well, yes, there was a person who had been employed as 10:58
16 a finance officer, which seemed to be a new post, I
17 wasn't aware of that sort of post prior to, in my
18 mental health background, services, there was no -- so
19 there was a finance officer who had been employed. So
20 what they would do, they would send me the list of 10:58
21 names that I would have to go and do the audits, it was
22 a random selection.
23 124 Q. Patient names?
24 A. Yeah, patient names, it was a random selection so I
25 couldn't pick and chose who I was to do an audit on. I 10:58
26 would have audited a patient's property and their
27 finances.
28 125 Q. So were these spot checks as such?
29 A. Yes.

1 126 Q. Was there a pro forma document?
2 A. There was a financial protocol which I would have been
3 involved with setting up in my mental health, so there
4 was an idea that myself and another person from
5 learning disability try and devise a policy in relation 10:59
6 to the finance.

7 127 Q. Okay and the policy that you're describing, was that
8 unique to Muckamore then based on your experience?
9 A. It was the same policy for mental health was used in
10 learning disability. 10:59

11 128 Q. So it was the same one that you would have used in
12 pre-retirement so to speak?
13 A. In mental health, yes.

14 129 Q. Do you know if that policy had been revised? I
15 appreciate we don't have it in front of us but do you 10:59
16 know whether it had been revised in the time since your
17 retirement?
18 A. I think it was revised. I can't establish exactly
19 when.

20 130 Q. Yes? 10:59
21 A. Maybe 2018, '19, I'm not sure.

22 131 Q. Were staff familiar, were staff on the ground and on
23 the ward familiar with the policy?
24 A. Yeah, they were quite aware of the policy. My role was
25 to go in and check on the property and the patients' 10:59
26 finances. It's quite, it is a system that's quite
27 difficult from the point of view -- to me it's more
28 problematic in learning disability because of the
29 capacity issues and having --

1 132 Q. Yes?

2 A. Having to store money. To me it's a bit old fashioned
3 from the point of view you have to keep money in a
4 thing called a Bisley cabinet.

5 133 Q. Old fashion, locking... 11:00

6 A. An old fashioned drawer system where you lock it and
7 keep it open. I am thinking really, I mean in mental
8 health it wasn't particularly a problem but now that I
9 have experienced it in learning disability I can
10 understand how it is very hard to keep on top of all 11:00
11 the documentation. For instance, you go into a ward
12 there was a lot of money, like coins that you have to
13 go and count individually to make sure that the
14 balances tallied. And if you've got 20 patients,
15 that's quite a lot to do from a checks and balances 11:00
16 point of view twice a day, which is what is expected of
17 the policy. So I think really if there is anything.

18 134 Q. Yes?

19 A. So I think really in mental health that has sort of got
20 a bit easier because most patients had bank cards. 11:01

21 135 Q. Yes?

22 A. And my experience in previous years was that most
23 institutions had banks. So what was happening was the
24 banks were actually retracting and not available. So,
25 for instance, if you had a lot of money in a particular 11:01
26 ward, to try and get it back into the bank and exchange
27 it was quite difficult, so that's just my awareness of
28 it and how I find things changing.

29 136 Q. On that score then, do you feel that the policies and

1 protocols around patient finances here were, and I know
2 it is a broad sweeping question, but were they fit for
3 purpose given the challenges that learning disability
4 nursing staff had to contend with?

5 A. Yes, I think they were fit for purpose but they were 11:01
6 always open to sort of, you know, if somebody didn't do
7 a signature, for instance, that was the policy not in
8 effect for instance. So you would come across two
9 trained nurses, one of them might have signed it and
10 the other one hadn't signed it. But at the end of the 11:02
11 day I found that the balances were right but it's just
12 the administrative part of it which was quite difficult
13 to maintain.

14 137 Q. And I suppose in one end of the spectrum you might have
15 that sort of a slip up where there is an oversight in 11:02
16 terms of counter signature?

17 A. Yes.

18 138 Q. DR. MAXWELL: Can I ask, this twice a day checking, was
19 that done by registered nurses?

20 A. Yes. 11:02

21 DR. MAXWELL: And you seem to suggest it is very labour
22 intensive?

23 A. It is, if you've got 20 patients in a unit, it is quite
24 --

25 DR. MAXWELL: That's a large portion of the day the 11:02
26 registered nurse isn't available to be with the
27 patient?

28 A. Yeah, yeah. I mean, it is just my experience of it.
29 Administrative-wise I think it's belt and braces but it

1 is --

2 139 Q. DR. MAXWELL: Inefficient?

3 A. Yes.

4 140 Q. CHAIRPERSON: Can I just ask in mental health, I must
5 admit until I came across this, I presumed that an 11:03
6 electronic system would be used, that each patient
7 would have a card?

8 A. In mental health a lot of patients, most of the
9 patients have got capacity so they have their own
10 cards. 11:03

11 141 Q. CHAIRPERSON: I see, it's capacity.

12 A. So then you don't have to have the reliance on
13 documentation et cetera.

14 142 Q. CHAIRPERSON: No, quite?

15 A. If they have got their own cards they can go to a bank. 11:03
16 I'm sure there could be some sort of system similar to
17 that in learning disability, I don't know, in this age
18 of technology, it seems to be coins and paper notes.
19 It is just an added burden on hospital staff to
20 maintain it and it always comes up in reviews of RQIA 11:03
21 that something hasn't been checked or the balance isn't
22 right. I just think there could be a better way of
23 managing the system.

24 CHAIRPERSON: I imagine you might be right.

25 143 Q. MR. MCEVOY: I was just going to ask, on one end of 11:03
26 spectrum you might have a simple administrative
27 oversight, failure to countersign or something like
28 that?

29 A. Failure to countersign, the RQIA would come in and say

1 this hasn't been signed.

2 144 Q. At the other end of the spectrum, the Inquiry has heard
3 some evidence about this there is scope for abuse,
4 particularly on a sort of situation where there is cash
5 around a ward? 11:04

6 A. I never like having -- I never liked having cash on
7 wards because, you know, all it takes is a cabinet not
8 to be locked properly or, do you know what I mean. And
9 then you've got large amounts of, I mean, it's not the
10 staff's fault, they would get money out to maybe go and 11:04
11 do a shopping trip, then the shopping trip may have
12 been cancelled so that money would sit in the Bisley
13 until there was an available opportunity for the bank
14 to open to get the money put back in again.

15 145 Q. Whose responsibility would it have been then to ensure 11:04
16 the cash was taken out of that drawer?

17 A. The nurse in charge or the charge nurse or the ward
18 sister. But was just it might be on a Friday evening
19 and the bank wouldn't be open maybe until Tuesday.

20 146 Q. The cash would be -- 11:04

21 A. The cash would be sitting in the drawer for that length
22 of time and they would have to check it.

23 147 Q. Did you ever notice any shortcomings around dockets,
24 receipt dockets for money?

25 A. No, receipts would have been provided. There would 11:05
26 have been the odd occasion when a receipt may have been
27 lost, they could have tried to get that and the charge
28 nurse or ward sister would have had to countersign
29 anything that was bought, but again it's all down to

1 having receipts and staple receipts to bits of paper
2 and that's your way of managing.

3 148 Q. Did you ever notice any situations where one patient's
4 finances or money were confused with another's?
5 A. No, I didn't come across that, now. 11:05

6 149 Q. All right, okay. Just concluding then in terms of
7 what's going on at the minute, obviously we appreciate
8 the Inquiry's terms of reference end in 2021 but just
9 in terms of what is going on at the moment, there is
10 still a process of review of CCTV? 11:06

11 A. Yeah.

12 150 Q. It's not real-time, as you say, but there is a system
13 in place where what is happening on CCTV is then
14 examined by a team of staff?

15 A. Yes, there is 10 staff, all ex -- probably retired 11:06
16 staff who are from a variety of backgrounds, social
17 work, occupational therapists and nursing.

18 151 Q. As a nurse with very considerable years experience,
19 what do you feel about that?

20 A. I find it unusual that the Trust have to have that 11:06
21 assurance of doing this. I haven't come across that
22 before, because we hadn't got CCTV in the hospital
23 wards, but I can understand why the Trust are doing it
24 from an assurance point of view. It was very different
25 to me, you know, that this was being relied on to sort 11:06
26 of retrospectively look at things. But it is, as it is
27 at the minute, and that is one of the assurances that
28 the Trust seem to think that it is a good way of
29 reviewing things.

1 152 Q. You might not know the pounds, shillings and pennies
2 answer to this, but presumably it is an expensive
3 exercise?
4 A. From an equipment point of view or from an employment
5 of staff? 11:07
6 153 Q. A whole system process in terms of equipment and staff?
7 A. It would be quite an expensive, I mean they had to get
8 an extra data requirement because nothing, in Muckamore
9 nothing is destroyed in relation to CCTV footage. So
10 there is that requirement and then there is obviously 11:07
11 paying people to do this process of reviewing.
12 154 Q. And is this a project with an end date or is it due to
13 continue?
14 A. All I know now is probably -- I think from my last, I
15 haven't been there for at least a month I think but 11:07
16 they are about three weeks behind reviewing. Probably
17 if the place were to close down I would imagine.
18 155 Q. Of course?
19 A. I don't know, nobody is indicating to us that it is
20 going to stop at any time. 11:08
21 156 Q. Mr Mckervey, those are my questions for you. Maybe the
22 Panel have some more questions for you.
23
24 MR. MCKERVEY QUESTIONED BY DR MAXWELL:
25 11:08
26 157 Q. DR. MAXWELL: I have got two questions. The first
27 relates to the incident in paragraph 21 of the agency
28 nurse who was enthusiastically pouring water over a
29 patient's head, so this was an agency nurse, not a bank

1 nurse?

2 A. No, agency.

3 158 Q. Was this from an agency in England that was
4 supplying...

5 A. Yes. 11:08

6 159 Q. So processes were followed within Northern Ireland of
7 referring to the RQIA and presumably to the SPPG or
8 whoever it was at the time?

9 A. Yes, yes.

10 160 Q. Was the agency notified? 11:08

11 A. Yes, they were.

12 161 Q. What was the process for notifying the agency because
13 of course if this person is from England, none of the
14 safeguarding processes in Northern Ireland will apply?

15 A. I'm not sure about that now. I do know that the 11:09
16 person, the referral was also made to the bank in
17 Belfast Trust.

18 162 Q. Not the agency?

19 A. And the agency were also notified.

20 163 Q. Who notified the agency, was it the bank -- 11:09

21 A. It would have been the bank and the Trust.

22 164 Q. But the bank is part the Trust isn't it?

23 A. Yes.

24 165 Q. So nobody at Muckamore was talking directly to the
25 agency? 11:09

26 A. I'm not sure about this individual case, whether that
27 happened or not. I think it did from what I can
28 gather.

29 166 Q. Okay because there is a risk that this person then goes

1 home to England where they are not subject to anything?

2 A. Absolutely, yes, they could do.

3 167 Q. Thanks. The other thing I wanted to ask you about, you
4 talk in paragraph 18 about wanting to improve systems
5 and you answered one of my questions to say you were 11:09
6 providing clinical supervision to one of the ward
7 managers. I wonder if anybody, if you ever had any
8 discussion about the conditions that meant it was
9 difficult for staff to follow best practice. So were
10 staff saying actually we know what best practice is 11:10
11 supposed to be but actually we can't adhere to that
12 because we don't have enough staff or because this
13 patient's behaviour is particularly distressed and they
14 can't comply with the best practice for manual
15 handling? 11:10

16 A. Yeah, I think I can understand your question. I think
17 it was difficult for them to manage some of the
18 behaviours and I'm not sure whether the expertise was
19 there in relation to making sure that they could get a
20 handle on some of the behaviours that were happening. 11:10
21 I think really from the point of view it was difficult
22 for them. I think if I can reflect back to mental
23 health, there would have been, you know, teams
24 sometimes became exasperated at times and, from my
25 point of view, I would have tried to make sure that 11:11
26 there was internal rotation, increased supervision and
27 I think -- and an acknowledgment that teams do find
28 things quite stressful and difficult and there is
29 almost a difficulty in them saying we don't know what

1 to do here, we need help. And I think if I was to
2 reflect on Muckamore, I think that would have been the
3 occasion at times because in mental health we would
4 have made referrals to Carstairs, we would have made
5 referrals to the Shannon Clinic Regional Forensic 11:11
6 Service. I didn't think that that was the availability
7 of Muckamore. I think they felt that they had to deal
8 with whatever they had to deal with and there wasn't
9 that opportunity to -- so I think teams probably
10 become a bit jaded and tired over a period of time of 11:12
11 dealing with the same behaviours with not knowing how
12 to resolve them.

13 168 Q. So we did hear from a retired behavioural nurse
14 therapist from Muckamore who said that some patients
15 with particularly distressed behaviours who were on 11:12
16 level four observations, that's very intense work?

17 A. Yes, it is.

18 169 Q. And people should be given, staff should be given a
19 break after two hours?

20 A. Yes, that's right. 11:12

21 170 Q. Was that happening at Muckamore?

22 A. I think, no it was happening, but I think some of the
23 behaviours were very, very difficult, I have to say.
24 You know, and sometimes the policies didn't fit around
25 those particular behaviours. For instance, if 11:12
26 somebody, I mean some learning disability patients
27 would pick that pen up for instance and try to swallow
28 it. That person may be on observations. But the
29 special observation or close observation policy would

1 only have really two levels, it would be within
2 eyesight or general observations. So if you were a
3 nurse you were would have to be very, very aware of
4 what that patient could potentially do and you would
5 have to stop them doing that. Sometimes the behaviours 11:13
6 didn't really sit within the parameters of the policies
7 that they were aware of. So I mean, that was something
8 that I picked up on that was quite difficult for people
9 to manage situations.

10 171 Q. And just a final question about that, a lot of the 11:13
11 staff who were doing this close observation were
12 healthcare assistants?

13 A. That's right.

14 172 Q. And they hadn't had a professional education?

15 A. No, no and that was the policy. It was meant to be 11:13
16 under the direction of a supervised, registered nurse.

17 173 Q. No, I understand that but it's intense and demanding
18 work?

19 A. It is.

20 174 Q. Do you think the healthcare assistants were given 11:13
21 sufficient education and preparation to manage these
22 very complex behaviours?

23 A. I think, well they were generally Band 3 nurses. Well
24 you see the caveat of that was that it was usually
25 under the direction of the supervised nurses. I have 11:14
26 no doubt that they found it quite difficult.

27 175 Q. But do you think they were given enough education and
28 preparation, given that there are no standards for what
29 education Band 3s have?

1 A. On reflection I think there could have been a greater
2 awareness or clarity on what and how to manage these
3 policies and procedures in relation to learning
4 disability patients.

5 176 Q. Okay, thank you very much. 11:14
6

7 MR. MCKERVEY QUESTIONED BY THE CHAIRPERSON:
8

9 177 Q. CHAIRPERSON: And I think you tell us a lot of these
10 staff came over from England, a lot of the agency 11:14
11 staff?

12 A. Yes, they did, yes.

13 178 Q. Came from England, and even using agency staff and a
14 different jurisdiction, they don't seem to have been
15 able to find sufficient LD trained staff? 11:15

16 A. No, no. I mean if you think about learning disability,
17 you think about Muckamore Abbey, that was where the
18 general population of learning disability nurses were,
19 plus the community. But you would have had to all the
20 people, learning disability nurses out of the community 11:15
21 into the wards.

22 179 Q. To the hospital?

23 A. And I think they are trying to sort of move people out
24 into the community.

25 180 Q. Yes. 11:15

26 A. There just wasn't, compared to England, Scotland and
27 Wales, you would have had a greater pool of learning
28 disability nurses, whereas I think Muckamore hadn't got
29 that capacity.

1 181 Q. Sure, but even there, it doesn't seem that they were
2 able to source sufficient LD trained nurses?

3 A. No, no.

4 182 Q. So there was a problem?

5 A. That's why they went to this agency contract. 11:15

6 CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. I've asked all my questions
7 as we've gone along so can I thank you very much,
8 Mr. McKervey, you are our last witness for this year
9 but thank you very much for your statement and for your
10 very balanced and helpful evidence. If you would like 11:16
11 to go with the secretary to the Inquiry, thank you.

12 A. Thank you.

13

14 THE WITNESS WITHDREW

15

11:16

16 CHAIRPERSON: All right, well that concludes the
17 evidence today and I've just got a few words to say at
18 what is effectively the close of the year for the
19 Inquiry. It is perhaps obvious that we had hoped that
20 there would be significantly more witnesses that would 11:16
21 be called this week, which unfortunately wasn't
22 possible. Some of the statements were lengthy and
23 couldn't be finalised to the witnesses' satisfaction in
24 time. Two of the witnesses that we had hoped to call
25 were taken ill and were unable to sign their 11:17
26 statements. So, for those reasons we were unable to
27 call more evidence this week, which for obvious reasons
28 I was keen to do.

29

1 On a brighter note it is worth reflecting on what the
2 Inquiry has achieved to date. Today is our 72nd day of
3 sitting. We have just heard from our 136th witness.
4 We have completed the patient experience in its
5 entirety. We have finished the evidence in Modules 1 11:17
6 to 5 dealing with the law, legislation and policies
7 around the provision of services to those suffering
8 learning disabilities and we have started to hear from
9 staff at the hospital.

10
11 This section of staff evidence was perhaps always going
12 to be one of the most challenging areas of the Inquiry
13 and I set out many of those complexities and Inquiry's
14 approach to them in my public statement on the 2nd
15 November. I won't repeat here all the issues I set out 11:18
16 then but, suffice to say, it is a relatively complex
17 process. Again, I want to encourage potential
18 witnesses to engage proactively with CFR. Anyone with
19 concerns can speak to the Inquiry staff or to Napier
20 solicitors, who have been appointed to look after staff 11:18
21 who do not want to use DLS, the Trust solicitors.
22 Anyone who wishes to can make an appointment with
23 Jaclyn Richardson, the Inquiry secretary, or Lorraine
24 Keown, the Inquiry solicitor, who can both provide
25 further explanation about the process of engaging with 11:18
26 the Inquiry.

27
28 For any staff member coming forward they can have early
29 access to any of the Inquiry's counsellors if that

1 would provide comfort or reassurance and staff can ask
2 for special measures to assist them such as screening
3 or anonymity, just as patients and relatives did, and
4 any such request for good reason will be carefully
5 considered by me.

11:19

6
7 The Inquiry has identified a number of members of staff
8 it wishes to speak to and obtain statements from and
9 most of those have been written to. Some have
10 indicated a ready willingness to give a statement.
11 Others have been more circumspect or have sought to
12 avoid doing so.

11:19

13
14 Let me be blunt about this, so far I have avoided
15 issuing a notice under Section 21 of the Inquiries Act
16 requiring a statement to be given. I am still keen to
17 rely on people to volunteer a statement but I recognise
18 that there may be those who simply refuse or others who
19 are reluctant to make a statement because of their own
20 concerns or because of external pressures. For some of
21 those a requirement to give evidence by way of Section
22 21 will be a positive benefit in that it removes the
23 voluntary aspect of making such a statement. I expect
24 witnesses who have been identified by the Inquiry to
25 make a statement to do so and if we do face refusal,
26 for whatever reason, I will have to consider the use of
27 Section 21 notices in order to compel the provision of
28 a statement.

11:20

11:20

1 we have also slightly streamlined the process. whereas
2 previously all potential witnesses should have received
3 a pre-statement questionnaire to fill in, that process
4 will now be shortened by CFR, the appointed firm to
5 take witness statements, contacting the witness 11:20
6 directly or via their solicitors where known and
7 compiling the necessary information at the first
8 meeting.

9
10 Can I just then deal with the issue of patient document 11:21
11 requests. In March of this year we issued 19 patient
12 document requests to the Trust. Those requests were
13 based upon the evidence which the Inquiry had heard
14 from June to December 2022. In some cases specific
15 types of record were called for, in others all of the 11:21
16 patient documentation between defined periods as
17 requested. The Trust prepared the documentation in
18 response to those requests but unfortunately their
19 provision to the Inquiry was delayed significantly by a
20 judicial review. The judgment in that judicial review 11:21
21 was given last month when the claimant's argument was
22 rejected and the Trust promptly complied with the
23 original request. We are currently in the process of
24 informing those patients in relation to whom records
25 have been provided, either directly or to their next of 11:22
26 kin or authorised representatives.

27
28 A further set of patient document requests will issue
29 shortly, I hope before the Christmas break. These

1 requests will be based upon the evidence heard from
2 patients and their relatives this year. When that
3 material is supplied to the Inquiry, we will alert the
4 relevant patients or appropriate next of kin via their
5 solicitors.

11:22

6
7 Let me turn to the plan for next year. We will sit
8 again on the 5th February 2024. We will try to
9 complete the staff evidence in early to mid-March. In
10 March 2024 we hope to hear evidence relating to go
11 Module 6 which will deal, among other things, with the
12 Ennis report and the outcomes and the consequences of
13 that. I expect that evidence to take approximately two
14 weeks.

11:22

15
16 The plan is that from April to May we will hear from
17 the organisations. This time we will focus not upon
18 processes and protocols, but how effectively those
19 worked in practice and what could or should have been
20 done better. Letters will issue at the latest in
21 January next year to alert the organisations from whom
22 we'd like to hear and the topics which we would like to
23 hear about. If things go according to schedule that
24 will give us June to conclude any outstanding evidence.

11:23

11:23

25
26 Finally, I want to thank all the Inquiry staff and
27 counsel, our technical and stenographic teams who have
28 worked hard all through the year. I want to thank
29 Cleaver Fulton Rankin solicitors and our statement

11:23

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

taking team there and I want to thank all Core Participants and their lawyers for their contribution to the Inquiry.

It's a little bit early, but I will wish everybody a Happy Christmas when it finally comes, and we will meet again on the 5th of February next year, thank you very much. 11:24

THE INQUIRY ADJOURNED TO MONDAY 5TH FEBRUARY 2024 11:24